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# AMERICAN BIBLE UNION. New V'rik, May, 1856. 

Turs revison is not final. It is circulated in the expectation that it will be subjected to a thorough criticism, in order that its imperfections, whatever mey may be, may to disclosed and correcter.

W. H. WYCKOFF,<br>Corresponding Secreaty,

## INTR0DUCTION.

## 'GENERAL RULES FOR THE DIRECTION OF TRANSLATORS AND REVISERS ETYPLOYED BY THE AMERICAN BIBLE UNION

' 1 . The exact meaning of the inspired text, as that text expresserl it to those who understond the original scriptures at the time they were first written, must be translated by eorresponding worls and phrases, so far as they can be found, in the vernacular tongue of those for whom the version is designed, with the least possible obscurity or indefiniteness.
' 2 . Wherever there is a version in common use, it shall be made the basis of revision, and all umecessary interference with the established phraseology shall be avoided; and only such alterations shall be made, as the exact meaning of the inspired text and the existing state of the language may require.
'3. Translations or revisions of the New 'Testament shall be made from tho received Grcek text, critically cdited, with known errors corrected.

## 'SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS TO THE REVISERS OF THE ENGLISH NEW TESTAMENT.

${ }^{6}$ 1. The common English version must be the basis of the revision: the Greek Text, Bagster $\&$ Sons' octavo edition of 1851 .
' 2 . Whenever an altcration from that version is made on any authority additional to that of the reviser, such authority must be eited in the manuscript, either on the same page or in an appendix.
:3. Every Greek word or phrase, in the translation of which the phraseology of the common rersion is changed, must be carefully examined in every other place in which it oceurs in the New Testament, and the views of the reviser be given as to its proper translation in cach place.
:4. As soon as the revision of any one book of the New Testament is finished, it shall be sent to the Secretary of the Bible Union, or such other person as shall be designated by the Committee on Versions, in order that copies may be taken and furnished to the revisers of the other books, to be returned with their suggestions to the reviser or revisers of that book. After being re-revised with the aid of these suggestions, a carefully prepared copy shall be forwarded to the Secretary.'

In the present attempt at revision on the above plan, as in the author's previous essays of the same kind, what he avowedly aims at is, first of all, it scholarly exactness in rendering the precise meaning, nothing more and nothing less, of the sacred text, and that in a shape as nearly resembling the original, as can be made to consist with English propriety, and with the least possible change in the common version. Elegance, flow, and even perspicuity of style, have been regarded only when these qualities appeared to be reconcilable with this primary and controlling purpose.

Of course, any such method as this must lead to very many things, which, though not absolute violations of idiom, one might look for in vain in the pages of a modern, independent, English elassic; and to quite as many more, which, however in themselves unexceptionable, will at the first utterance startle and perplex the ear, accustomed from infancy to a different form of words. But the reader is requested to bear in mind, what the writer never allowed himself to forget, that this work was to be
altogether preparatory, suggestive, recommendatory. The present reviser was, in fact, called to labour, not directly for Bible-readers at large, but for those private scholars, to whom, as the Board of final revision, should be committed the solemn and responsible office of settling the text. For the sake, therefore, of laying a firm and reliable basis for their proceedings, he from the first determinedand to this plan he still adheres-in the Version to follow closely, though within the limitations specified above, the Greek original, and then in the Notes to present a carefully condensed digest, and, in cases that might the most require it, a critical estimate also, of all such leading interpretations and translations, from 'the beginning of the gospel' until now, as might in any way aflect the ultimate result. Perhaps too, while thus keeping steadily in view his immediate object-the facilitating of the labours of the final Board-he may have secretly indulged the ambition, with God's help, to produce books of permanent value to students and ministers of the Divine word.

Such being the special character and design of the present and former publications, the author has no difficulty in confessing that in both he has made a larger use of harsh constructions, inverted arrangements, and a stiff phraseology, than he should have thought desirable under different circumstances. But, if it might be permitted to add here a word or two, on what may properly be demanded of a translation of the New Testament, intended for popular use, he should not hesitate to declare his general preference of the close version to the free. It were, indeed, absurd to think of replacing idiomatic Greek by solecistic English. But the peculiaritics of a writer are not the idioms of a language. The latter cannot always be transferred; but neither should the former be unnecessarily and wilfully obliterated.

No one, for example, can pretend, that clearness of style is eminently characteristic of all the writers of the New Testament. But the translator is not on that account to turn commentator, and labour to make plain and certain to the English reader, what the Holy Spirit chose to leave intricate and perplexed, doubtful and obscure, to the Greek reader. The best version in this respect will be that, which best succeeds in putting the English reader into the precise, relative position of the Greek reader.

And so with regard to gracefulness or clegance of style-another quality, which, it is often taken for granted, should be required in a translation of the word of God-the fact is undeniable, that the style of the New Testament, full as the blessed volume is of saving truth and heavenly beauty, is very often not, when tried by the rules of a formal rhetoric, a graceful one. Nuch rather is it characterized by a certain-shall we venture to say? - divine rudeness ( 2 Cor. $11: 6$ ), and independence of all such rules. To attempt, therefore, as has sometimes been done, and is still sometimes apparently proposed, to trick off the glorious humility of these Galilean fishermen in the garb of modern gentlemen and college-bred dilettanti, would seem to be at once a filschood, and an outrage on good taste.

In a word, a translation, as such, is valuable, just in proportion as it reflects, not only the sense of the original, but every peculiarity, and, if some choose so to reckon, defect of style. The principle applies to translations of all sorts, as translations; but, in the case of the Divine oracles, where we would know both what and how God speaks to men, it is conceived to be pre-eminently important. In all ages, indeed, its importance has been more or less distinctly recognized, as could easily be shown from an examination of the versions, which have best secured, and longest retained, the confidence of the several countries of Christendom; and in our own day the practical observance of it seems rather, amongst pious and judicious men, to be increasing in rigour. Thus, in the Preface to the latest German version of the New Testament-Das neue Testament aus dem Griechischen übersetzt von Karl von der Heydt. Elberfeld, 1S52 - the very method pursued by the present reviser is exactlv
described, as follows :- 'In translation there are, as an ingenious author strikingly remarks, two ways of going to work. Either the translator leaves the writer as much as possible undisturbed, and moves the reader up to him ; or he leaves the reader as much as possible undisturbed, and moves the writer up to him. The latter method may be commended in the case of ordinary books; in the ease of the holy book of Scripture, where even the mannor in which any thing is said presents itself as weighty and important, the former deserves the preference, from us especially, who, with our rich and plastic language, are able to say what the Greek original says, in the very same way. Even if this object be not completely attainable, I have yet had it steadily in my eye; and in the cases, where a paraphrase would have given a strange expression to the thought, I had no scruple about giving a strange or unusual form rather to the expression. It was my endeavour throughout to fashion the version after the original, not only as to sense and spirit, but in regard also to the exact significance of words, tenses, verbal arrangement, and even apparent trivialities; and, wherever the sacred writers avail themselves of a form unusual in Greek itself, to make this also pereeptible.' [For a precisely similar, and more detailed, statement, see Dr. Ebrard's Preface to his Commentary on the Epistle to the Hebrews, Königsberg, 1850.]

In the present work the same rule also has been followed as in the former one, for the correction of the 'known errors' of the common Greek Text; to wit, the general consent of critical Editors for the last hundred years. The following editions have been collated in every instance, and these alone are intended, when reference is made to Recent Editore:-

Bengel (Beng.), Novum Tcst. G'raecum, 3d ed., Tübingen, 1753.
Bloonfield (Bloomf.), The Greek Testament, 3d ed., London, 1839 ;-also the Supplemental Volume (Supp.), 2d ed., London, 1851.
Griesbach (Griesb.), Novum Test. Graece, Cambridge, Mass., 1809 (printed from the Leipzig ed. of 1805).
Hahn, Norum Test. Graece, Leipzig, 1840.
Knapp, from the edd. of Göschen, Leipzig, 1832; and Theile, Leipzig, 1852.
Lachmann (Lachm.), Noum Test. Graece et Latine, vol. ii., Berlin, 1850.
Matthaei (Matth.), Epistt. ad Thess. Gracee et Latine, Riga, 1785.
Meyer (Mey.), Das neue Test. Griechisek kritisch revidirt, Güttingen, 1829.
Scholz, Novum Test. Graece, 1830-6, from Bagster's Hexapla.
Schott, Epistt. ad Thess. etc., Leipzig, 1834.
Theile, Nourm Test. Graece, 4th ed., Leipzig, 1852.
Tischendorf (Tisch.), Novum Test. Graece, Svo ed., Leipzig, 1850.
Besides these, the Texts of Erasmus (3d ed.), Beza (last ed.), and Wells, have been examined, together with the textual criticism of Mill, De Wette, and Lünemann. The evidence in favour of readings has been gathered from the two last named, and Lachmann.

# LIST 0F THE PRINCIPAL ABBREVIATIONS, 

AND

## WORKS MOST FREQUENTLY CITED.

The authorities are commonly given in groups, as here arranged, and generally also with some regard to the chronological order, but in all cases directly from the editions specified. Of those not included in the following list, some have this specification appended in the Notes; others, as being familiar, classical authors, did not require it; and the rest are taken at second hand from various sources. In this last class it may be well to particularize the Berlenburger Bibel of 1726 \&c.; Bengel's German Testament, 1753 ; Gossner, 1827 \&c.; and Kistemaker (once Kist.), 8d ed., 1839; for all of which the Polyglotten-Bibel of Stier and Theile has been relied on.

It is especially important to bear in mind, that, except where the Note explains itself otherwise, words in Italics or within quotation-marks, and inclosed in a parenthesis, belong to the writer immediately preceding; but, when a comma intervenes between the parenthesis and the name, they are common to all the names in that group preceding the parenthesis. Where names merely are mentioned, they are to be understood as directly sustaining the Version.

For an account of the Uncial Manuscripts, A. B. C. \&c., the English reader may consult the Introduction to Clarke's Commentary; IIorne's Introduction ; or Davidson's Biblical Criticism.
E. V.-English Version. The text is printed from the American Bible Society's pica 8vo ed. of the New 'Testament, 1851. In the Notes, the Society's Revised Bible in the $8 v o$ ed. of 1854, and the original ed. of 1611, as given in Bagster's English IIexapla and tho Oxford Reprint of 1833, have been collated.
W.-Wiclif, 1380,
'I.-Tyndale, 1534,
C.-Cranmer, 1539,
from Bagster's English ITexapla, for
G.-Genevan, 1557, the most part with the modern or-
R.-Rhemish, 1582, thography.
B. - Bishops' Bible, fol. ed. of 1584.

Aeth.-Aethiopic Version, supposed to be of the 4th century.
Ar.-Arabic Version, supposed to be of the 7 th century, or later.
Copt.-Coptic Version, supposed to be of the $3 d$ or 4 th century.
Dt.-Dutch Version, 1637; Netherlands Bible Soc.'s small ed. of the N. 'T., 1836. The Annotations (Ann.), from the 4to Goriachem ed., 1748.
Fr. M.-Martin's French Version, 1690-1707, The Llague, 1743.

- S.-Swiss Version of the N. T., 2d ed., Lausanne. 1849.

Germ.-Luther's German Version, 1545; from Stier and Theile's Polygl.-Bib., od ed., Bielefeld, 1849.
It.-Diodati's Italian Version, Geneva, 1641; with the Annotations (Ann.).
Syr.-Syriae Version, probably of the 2 d century; Greenfield's ed., London, 1828.
Vulg.-Latiu Vulgate, 4 th century ; from the Polygl.-Bib.

- Am.-'Lischendorf's. ed. of the codex Amiatinus, Leipzig, 1854.

Alford, Greek Testament, 2 d € $\mathrm{d} .$, vol. ii., London, 1855.
All-Allioli's Neues 'Test., New York, 1848.
Ambrosiast.-Ambrosiaster; from vol. ii. of Ambrose's Works Paris, 1690.
Aret.-Aretius, in N. T. Commentarii, Geneva, 1618.
Athanasius, Opera, Paris, 1698.
August.-Augustine, Opera, Paris, 1679-1700.
B. and L.-Deausobre and L'Enfant, Le Noureau Test., Amsterdam, 1718.
Barn.-Barnes' Notes, New York, 1854.
Baung.-Baumgarten's Auslegung der Briefe Pauli, Halle, 1767.

Beng.-Bengel's Gnomon Nov. Test., Tübingen, 1850.
Bens.-Benson's Paraphrase aud Notes on Six of the Epistles of St. Paul, $2 d$ ed., London, 1752.

Bez.-Beza's Nov. Test., Cambridge, I 642 (which fullows Beza's last ed. of 1598).
Liackwall, Sacred Classies, London, 1737.
Hioomf.-Bloomfield's Recensio Synoptica (Rec. Syn.), vol. viii., London, 1898; Greck Test., 3d ed., London, 1839; Supplemental Volume (Supp.), 玉a ed., London, 1851.
Boothr.-Boothroyd's 1 mproved Version, 1Iuddersfield, 1824.
Brown, Expository Discourses on First Peter, $2 d$ ed., Edinburgh, 1849; and Exposition of the Ep. to the Galatians, Edinburgh, 1853.
Burt.—Burton's Greek Test., 4th ed., Oxford, 1852.
Calv.-Calvin, in N. T. Commentarii, ed. Tholuck, Berlin, 1831.

Camerar.-Camerarius, Commentarius in Novum Foedus, Cambridge, 1642.
Cameron, Myrothecium Evangelicum, Sanmur, 1677.
Campbell, The Four Gospels, with Prelimiuary Dissertations, Andover, 1837.
Castal.-Castalio, Biblia Sacra, Leipzig, 1750.
Chrysost.-Chrysostom, Opera, Paris, 1718-38.
Clar.-Clarius; from vol. vii. of the Critici Saeri, Amsterdam, 1698.

Clarke, Commentary on the N. T., Philadelphia, 1844.
Cler.-Clericus, Animadeersiones in IIammoneli N. T., Amsterdam, 1700.
Coce.-Cocceius, Opera, Amsterdam, 1700-6.
Cole, Commentary on the N. 'T., London, 1803.
Conyb.-Cony beare's Translation, in Conybeare and IIowson's Life and Epistles of St. Panl, London, 1853.
Corn. a Lap.-Cornelius a Lapide, Commentaria in Pauli Epistolas, Antwerp, 1656.
Damasc.-John of Damascus, Opera, Paris, 1712.
Dav.-Davidson's Introduction to the N. T., vol. ii., London, 1849.

De Dieu, Critica Sacra, Amsterdan, 1693.
Dodd.-Doddridge's Family Expositor, vol. ix. of Works, Leeds, 1805.
De W.-De Wette's Exegetisches Handbuch zum N. T., 2 d ed., vol. ii., Leipzig, 1845.
Drus.-Drusins; from the Critici Sacri.
Eadie, Cormentary on the Ep. to the Ephesians, London, 1854.
Engl. Ann.-English Annotations on the Bible, London, 1645.
Erasm.-Erasmus, Nov. Test., 3 d ed., Basle, 1522; Notes from the Crtici Sacri.
Est.-Estius, Commentaria in Epistt. Apost., Rouen, 1709.
Fab.-Faber Stapulensis, Epistolae Pauli cum Commentariis, Paris, 1517.
Flatt, Vorlesungen über die Briefe Pauli, Tühingen, 1829.
Gerl.-Gerlach, Das Neues Test., 3d ed., Berlin, 1844.
Gill, Exposition of the N. T., Philadelphia, 1811.
Güsch.-Güschen, Nov. Test. Graece et Latine, Leipzig, 1832.
Greenf.-Greenfield's IIebrew N. T., London, 1881.
Grot.-Grotius, Annotationes in N. T., vol. iii., Paris, 1650. Guyse, Practical Expositor, Edinhurgh, 1797.
Hamm.-Hammond's Paraphrase and Annotations, London, 1675.

IIenry, vol. vi. of Matthew Menry's Works, London, 1811.
Huth.-Huther's Continuation of Meyer's Kritisch exegetischer Kommentar, Güttingen, 1850-2.
Jerome, Opera, Verona, 1734-42.
Josephus (Jos.), Opera, Leipzig, 1850.
Kenr.-Lenrick's Epistles of St. Paul, \&c., New Iork, 1851.
Koch, Kommentar über den ersten Brief an die Thess., 3 d ed., Berlin, 1855.
Koppe, Nov. Test., vol. vi. ed. Tychsen, Güttingen, 1823.
Kranse, Die Bricfe an die Phil. u. Thess., Frankfurt, 1790.
Kuin.-Kuinül, Olservationes ad N. T., Leipzig, 1794.
Lardner, Dr. N., Works, London, 1838.
Lünem.-Lünemann's Kritisch cxegetisehes Handluch über die Briefe an die Thess., Güttingen, 1850.
Mack.-Macknight on the Epistles, Philadelphia, 1835.
Mart.-Martini's Nuovo Test., vol. v., Florence, 1791.
Mey.-Meyer, Das Neue T'est.: Göttingen. 1829.
Mich.-J. D. Michaelis' Paraphrasis u. Anmerkungen über die Briefe Pauli an die Gal., \&c., 2 d ed., Bremen and Göttingen, 1769.
Mill, Nov. Test. Graecum, ed. Küster, Leipzig, 1723.
Moldenh.-Moldenhawer, Gründliche Erläuterung der heiligen Bücher Neues Test., vol. iii., Leipzig, 1768.
Mont.-Montanus' Latin Version; from vol. v. of Walton's Polyglott (P.), London, 1657.
More, Henry More's Theological Works, London, 1708.
Murd.-Murdock's Translation of the Syriac N. T., New York, 1851.

Musc.-Musculus, In Pauii Epistolas ad Philipp. etc. Commentarii, Leipzig, 1565.
Newc.-Newcome's Attempt toward Revising our Euglish 'lranslation of the Greek Scriptures, Dublin, 1706.
Newt.-Newton's Dissertations on the Prophecies, London 1835.

Oecurn.-Oecumenius, Opera, vol. ii., Paris, 1631.
Olsh.—Olshausen's Biblischer Commentar, rol. iv., Königsberg, 1844.

Pagn.-Pagninus' Latin Version ; from Wolder's Biblia Sacra, rol. iii., Hamburgh, 1596.
Peile, Annotations on the Apostolical Epistles, rol. iii., London, 1851.

Pelag.-Pelagius ; from rol. xi. of Jerome.
Pelt, Epp. Pauli ad Thess., Greifswalde, 1830.
Penn, The Book of the New Covenant, London, 1836.
Pisc.-Piscator, Commentarii in N. T., Merborn, 1838.
Pyle, Paraphrase on the Acts and the Epp., vol.ii., London, 1765.
Raphel.-Raphelins, Annotationes Philol. in N. T., Leyden, 1750.

Ros.-Rosenmüller's Scholia in N. T'., vol. iv., Nuremberg, 1830.

Schmidt, Seb., Biblia Sacra, Strassburg, 1697.
Scholef.-Scholefield's Hints for an linproved Translation of the N. T., Loudon, 1850.
Schott, Epp. Pauli ad Thess. et Gal., Leipzig, 1834.
Scott, Commentary on the IIoly Bible, vol. v., Philadelphia 1852.

Sept.-Septuagint Tersion, Bagster's 8vo ed., London.
Sharpe, The N. T. Translated, $2 d$ ed., London, 1844.
Steig.-Steiger, Der erstc Brief Petri, Berlin, 1832.
Steph.-Il. Stephanus; from the Critici Sacri.
Stier, Polyglotten-Bibel, 2 d ed., Bielefeld, 1849.
Stolz, Die sämmilichen Schriften des N. T., IIanover and Leipzig, 1820.
Storr, Opuscula Academica, Tübingen, 1796-1803.
Symonds, Observations upon the Expedieney of Revising the present English Version \&c., Cambridge, 1789-94.
Tertull.-Tertuliau, Opera, ed. Oehler, Leipzig, 1853.
Theodor.-Theodoret, Opera, vol. iii., Paris, 1642.
Thom.-Thomson, The New Covenant Translated, Philadelphia, 1808.
Tremell.-Tremellius Latin Version of the Syriac, Genera, 1630.

Troll.-Trollope's Analecta Theologica, London, 1842.
TTurnb.-Turnbull's Translation of Paul's Epistles, Jondou, 1854.

Turret.-Turretine, Commentarius in Epp. ad Thess., Basle, 1730.

Van Ess, Die Bibel, Hildburghausen, Amsterdam and Philadelphia, 1845.
Vat.-Vatablus, Biblia Sacra, vol. ii., Salamanea, 1584.
Von der H.-Von der Heydt, Das neue Test., Elberfeld, 1852.
Wakef.-Wakefield's Translation of the N. T., Cambridge (Mass.), 1820.
Wells, IIelp for the more clear and easy Understanding of the Holy Seriptures, London, 1716.
Wesl.-Wesley's New Test. with Notes, London, 1838.
Whitb.-Whitby's Paraphrase and Commentary on the Epp., Philade!phia, 1848.
Wolf.-Wolfius, Curae Philologicae et Criticae, Hamburgh, 1738.

Zauch.-Zanchius, In Pauli Epp. ad Philipp. ctc. Commentarii, Neustadt, 1601.
Zeg.-Zegerus; from the Critici Sacri.
Bernhardy, Wissenschaftiche Syntax der Griechischen Sprache Berlin, 1829.
Bretsch.-Bretsehneider, Lexicon Manuale in N. T., 』d ed., Leipzig, 1829.
Buttmann, Greek Grammar, by Robiuson, New York, 1851.
Green, Grammar of the N. T. Dialect, London, I842; and Lexicon to the N. T., London.
Hartung, Lehre von dcn Partikeln, Erlangen, 1832-3.
Hesych.-Hesychins, Glossae Sacrae, ed. Ernesti, Leipzig, 1785.
Kühner, Greek Grammar, by Edwards and Taylor, New York, 1853.

Leigh, Critica Sacra, London, 1650.
Midd.-Middleton on the Greek Artiele, New York, 1813.
Pas.-Pasor's Manuale N. T., Leipzig, I640.
Pass.-Passow's Handwörterbuch der Griech. Sprache, Leipzig, 1841 \&c.
Phryn.-Phrynichus, ed. Lobeck, Leipzig, 1820.
Rich.-Riehardson's English Dictionary, London, 1838.
Rob.-Robinson's Lexicon of the N. T., New York, 1850.
Schirl.—Schirlitz, Wörterbuch zum N. T., Giessen, 1851.
Sehleus.-Schleusner's Lexicon in N. T., Glasgow, 1817.
Schöttg.-Sehöttgen's Lexicon in N. T., ed. Krebs, Leipzig, 1765
Suic.-Suicer's Thesaurus, Amsterdam, 1682.
Tittm.-Tittmann, De Synonymis in N. T., Leipzig, 1829.
Wahl, Clavis N. T., 3d ed., Leipzig, 1843.
Webst.-Webster's English Dictionary, ed. Goodrich, New York, 1852.
Win.-Winer's Grammatik des neutest. Sprachidioms, 5 th and 6th edd., Leipzig, 1844-55. The pages referred to are those of the 5 th.

The following Versions, having been directly collated throughout, are included under their respective general references; thus:-

English Verss. $=$ Wiclif, Tyndale, Cranmer, Genevan, Bishopss Bible, Rhemish;-Hammond, Wells, Doddridge, Wesley, Wakefield, Macknight, Newcome, Thomson, Boothroyd, Penn, Sharpe, Conybeare, Murdock, Kenrick, Turnbull.
Latin Verss. = Vulgate;-Ambrosiaster, Faber, Erasmus, Pagninus, Calvin, Castalio, Musculus, Vatablus, Montanus, Beza, Zanchins (excepting chs. ii. and iii. of the Second Epistle), Piscator, Cocceius, Sehmidt, Güschen.
German Verss. $=$ Luther (Germ.);-Baumgarten, Molden-
hawer, Stolz, Van Ess, Allioli, Meyer, Flatt, De Wette, Von der Heydt.
Italian Verss. = Diodati (It.) ;-Martini.
French Verss. = Martin (Fr. M.), Swiss (Fr. S.) ;-Beausobre and L'Enfant.
Foreign Verss. $=$ all the versions here classed, as Latin, German, Italian, French; together with the Syriae, Dutch, and Greenfield's Hebrew.

Of these, Wiclif, the Rhemish, Martini, Allioli, Kenrick, being translated from the Vulgate;
and Murdock, from the Syriac ; are cited, not as authorities, but for the sake of comparison.

# THE FIRST EPISTLE OF 

## PaUL T0 THE THESSALONIANS.

## KING JANES' VERSION.

CHAP. I.
Paul, and Sylvanus, and Timothens, muto the church of the Thessalonians which is in God the Father, and in the Lord Jesus Christ: Grace be unto you, and peace, from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.

GREEK TEXT.
снар. I .







## revised version.

CHAP. 1.
Paul, and asilvanus, and $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{i}$ mothy, unto the church of the Thessalonians cin God the Father and d the Lord Jesus Christ: Grace ${ }^{\text {e }}$ unto you, and peace, from God our Father 'and the Lord Jesus Christ.

* The American Bible Socicty's late Revision has properly restored the orthography of this name (Silv. for Sylv.), as it appears in the original edition of E.V., and in nearly all other verss., English and foreign.
b E. T., 7 times ;-W., C., R., (Timothe [e]) ;-Wells and the later English verss., except Wesl. and Conyb.
e The words áxò Qeoṽ . . . Xeıazoū are bracketed by Lachm, and cancelled by Mey. and Tisch. Mey. (who renders $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ by durch) then follows Ambrosiast. and Koppe in connect-
 struction to which Schoti oljects, that such a use of $\hat{b}$, is without example elsewhere in formulas of benediction; Lünem. objecting also the harshness of the arrangement, and the parallel 2 Thess. I: I, 2. Schott himself, while adhering to the received text, is disposed to adopt this reference of $\varepsilon_{v} \Theta \ldots$ $\boldsymbol{X}$; but, supplying xaipen hérovou', he would explain ev (as Conyb., rejecting the last clause, also does) as $=$ in the name or by the authority of. This, however, introduces an awkward repetition; which is equally apparent in Mich.'s supplement of zripetv before $\varepsilon_{v}, \theta \varepsilon(\underline{y}$ [for which Peltalsocites Win.; though the latter afterwards abandoned it. See Gram. p. 155]. Much to be preferred to any of these methods is the common arrangement, $\tau \bar{u} \hat{k} \times \times \lambda . z_{\nu} \Theta . \% \tau \%$, as teaching, not merely that the Church knows, believes in, and worships God and Christ (Tat., B. and I., Whith.) ; nur that she is connected with. belongs. sucred. 10 Go! an I C'ar"st (Castal. Cler., Storr, Stolz, Flatt,

Pelt. The last, indeed. suggests the vitality of this connection, when he compares it to that of branches with the vine.) ; nor that she crists by the agency of God and Christ (Grut. ; whu misinterprets also the Syr. to the same effect) ; nor that she is in subjection to God and Christ (Mack.); nor that by God and Christ she has been brought to belieic (Ros.; as an alternative meaning) ; but that in God and Christ the Chureh fints the ground and clement of her own being. her fortress ant high tower, and her eternal home. Comp. ch. $5: 12$, N. v and Jude l, N.f. \&c. That in such a case there is no necessity. as Schott supposes, for the repetition of the article $7 \bar{I}$ after $\Theta+u$ ockoveréตv, is plain from Phil. 1:1; Col. 1:2; fc. And see Win. p. 155.—There is nothing for the E. V. supplement, which is, in W., T., C., R. ;-Wells, Wesl., Wakef., Thom., Sharpe, Kenr., Turnb. ;-and most foreign verss.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ The preposition is not repeated by R.;-Latin or German verss. (exccpt Moldenh.), Dt.;-Hamm., Wells, Wesl., Wakef.. Thom., Boothr., Sharpe, Conyb., Kenr., Turnb.

- E. V., 2 Thess. 1 : 2 ; \&c. ;-W., R. ;-Syr., Latin and 1 talian verss. (except Pagn., Bez., Pisc.) ;-Wells, Stolz, Van Ess. All., Mey., Greenf., De W., Kenr., Von der M., Koch (' By the omission of the verb, the expressions gain in strength and emphasis.'). See Rev. 1: 4, N. m.
- The omission of xai from our Text can be only an orror of the press.


## KING JAMES' VERSION.

2 We give thanks to God always for you all, making mention of you in our prayers;

3 Remembering without ceasing your work of faith, and labour of love, and patience of hope in our Lord Jesus Christ, in the sight of God and our Father;

GREEK TEXT.
$2 E u ̈ \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau о т є ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$,
 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon v \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$,




 є’ $\mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ то̂́v $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{u}$ каі̀ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s$ ін $\bar{\omega} \nu$.

## REVISED VERSION.

2 We give thanks to God always for you all, making mention of you ${ }^{5}$ in our prayers;

3 Remembering ${ }^{\text {b }}$ without ceasing your 'work of faith, and Itoil of love, and 'patience of hope of our Lord Jesus Christ, mbefore nour God and Father,


#### Abstract

${ }^{5}$ Literally, on ; that is, in connection with, on occasion of, at the time of a common use of $\bar{\varepsilon} \pi i$ with the genitive. Lachm. cancels the second $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\nu} \nu$ in this verse. ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Ad८aleitto $\omega_{s}$ is by some ( T ., C.;-Syr. and Vulg. with their followers, Germ. ;-Schmidt, Bens., Beng., Baumg., Moldenh., Wakef., Burt. Sharpe, Bloomf., Troll.) construed with what precedes in v. 2 (comp. ch. 2: 13; Rom. I: 9). But here it is better to retain the more common connection with $\mu$ uripovevoure: ; the continual remembrance of their Christian character and its fruits being then given as the reason, why the Apostle's reference to the 'Thessalonians in his prayers always took the form of thanksgiving to God. Lünem., again, while so construing $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \alpha \neq$, regards this verse as closely parallel to the participial clause of v . $\stackrel{2}{ }$, and finds the reason of the thanksgiving only in v. 4. He, accordingly, follows Bez., Cocc.,  memorantes, making mention of-a sense which $\mu \nu \eta \mu o r^{\prime} \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \omega$ bears only in ITeb. 11: 22, out of the 20 other instances of its occurrence in the N. T.


 (Syr., It., French verss. ;-Wakef., Thom., Conyb., Peile, Turnb.), nor by aiazews alone (C., B. ;-Vulg. and followers, Dt. ;-Fab., Pagn., Castal., Wolf., Greenf., Gösch.), nor by лiorews and
 Calv., Musc., Vat.; supplying $\varepsilon$ हैع\%, to the subsequent geni-

 of Christian graces and achievements, that was thought of as characterizing this church.
 Twuévov $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \varepsilon \iota v$ : 'to suffer everything for the beloved object' (Oecum.). Comp.ch. 5: 12, N. r, and see Rev. 2:2, N. d. -W. (travail);-Syr. (llas), It. ( fatica);-Calv. ('nihil refugisse molestiae vel laboris ${ }^{3}$ ), Musc. ( molestiam ac fatigationem'), Zanch. at ch. 2:9 ('labor cum summa cura et solicitudine conjunctus'), Grot. (' molesti labores. Id enim хо́тоs.'; -and so Schott, dc.), Cler. (fatigationem), Baung. (love's mülsame beschwerliche Erweisung'), Wakef., Peile, (laboriousness), All., Kistemaker, De W., (use Mühe;-for Luther's

Arbeit), Mcy. (Beschwerde), Flatt ('beschwerliche Arbeiten'),
 Lünem. ('geschäftige Mïhualtung'), Murd., Von der H. (Bemühung), Koch ('mühsame und mühevolle Arbeit').
k According to the analogy of the two previous clauses $\dot{E} \lambda \pi i o_{s}$ is the gen., not 'of that in or as to which one persercres' (Rob. s. v. ітоиoz ${ }^{\text {; ;-and so many others), but ol }}$ that to which viouov', patience, endurance, perseverance, belongs, and from which it flows. Oecum. : itopovñs tris yaro Hevrs $\delta i \alpha$ tive zhtida: 'the patience that results in cousequence of the hope.' Comp. Rom. $8: 25 ; 2$ Cor. $4: 17,18$; Ps. 42:5, II; \&c.
${ }^{1}$ Rob. (s.v. हो..is) explains zoú xvpiov as the 'gen. of the person on whom this hope rests'; and so Conyb. and many others. But, throughout these two Epistles, our Lord is presented rather as Himself the object of Christian hope (v. 10 ; \&c.), than as its source or foundation; it being the third element in what Calv. (in loc.) calls the definition of truc Christianity, 'ut, in spem manifestationis Christi intenti, reliqua omnia despiciant': 'that, intent on the hope of Christ's manifestation, IIis followers despise all things else.' That the hope of the Saviour's return is here the prominent idea, is taught by Ambrosiast., Vat., Est., Wells, B. and L., Bens., Baumg., Koppe, Mack.. Pelt, Schott, Gerl., De W., Conyb., Linnem., Koch.-The genitive is retained in translation by E.V., ch. $5: 8$; Rom. 5:2; Tit. 1:2; 3:7; and here by W., T., C., G., B., R.;-Vulg., Fr. S. marg.;-Ambrosiast., Fab., Erasm., Calv., Musc., Vat., Mont., Engl. Ann., Cocc., Schmidt, Wells, Berlenburger Bibel, Bens., Beng., Gill (as allowable), Baumg., Mack., All., Gōsch., Kenr., Von der H., Turnb. Lu ther takes тoṽ wo. in apposition with $\tau \tilde{\eta} s \hat{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi$.; Olsh. and Steig. (on I Pet. I: 2), connect it with all the three genitives,

 'Iroov Xocozoñ, by Vat. ('qui nunc vultui Deí et. Patris nostri apparet': 'who now appears before the face of our God and
 ever in the great Taskmaster's eyc' [Milton, Sonnel vii.]-a confirmation of the sincerity and soundness of their Christian

## KTNG JAMES' VRRSION゙.

4 K̈nowing, brethren beloved, your election of God.

5 For our gospel came not unto you in word only, but also in power, and in the Holy Ghost, and in much assurance; as ye

## GREEK TEXT.




 $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ каі $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \nu \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon t$, каі̀


## REVISED VERSION.

$4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K} n o w i n g$, brethren beloved ploy God, your election; ${ }^{9}$
5 because our gospel ${ }^{3}$ came not cunto you in word only, but also in power, and in the Holy "Spirit, and in much assurance: vas ye know what manner of


#### Abstract

- 'The construction of eldöze as referring to the Thessalonians, and as either used absolutely for outace (Theodor., Fab., Erasm., Hombergk), or as dependent on the kyeuffore of v .6 (Grot.), has nothing whatever to recommend it, and jars with the general spirit and structure of the context. Equally  belongs to हizaguбtoiner. The continual thanksgiving of the Ap stle sprang from his assurance, that the Chureh had both the seals which adom the foundation of God (2 Tim. 2: 19). Verse 3 points to the one; v. 4 , to the other.


p This connection of íxò Otoũ with razaruévou appears in atl the recent editions of the Text (except Math.) ;-E. V. m:zg. ;-T.. C. ;-Syr. and Vulg. with their followers, German and French verss., It.;-Erasm., Vat., Camerar., Bez., Pisc., Grot., Wells, Turret., Bens., Wolf., Beng., Koppe, Krause, Lins, Wakef., Mack., Symonds, Newc., Thom., Boothr., Pelt: Burt., Gösch., Schott: Sharpe, Olsh., De W., Troll., Conyb., lunem., Pcile. Turnb., Koch;-and is allowed by Caly., Dt. and Engl. Ann.. Cocc., Guyse, \&c. Comp. 2 Thess. 2 : 13; Jım. 1:7; Sept. Deut. 33:12; Sir. $45: 1 ; 46: 13 . —$ For by, see Wakef., Penn, Sharpe, Conyb., Turnb.; and 2 Pet. $2: 19$, 5. 1 .
${ }^{9}$ This verse ends, as in our Text, with a colon, in Matth., Griesb., Scholz; the other recent editors and Erasm. having but a comma. The punctuation of many verss. also presents a closeness of comection between rv. 4 and 5 , greater than that indicated by E. V. Thns, the Vulg., Germ., Fr. S.;Krause, Stolz, Van Ess, All., Gösch., Mey., use a comma:Ámbrosiast., B. and L., Bootlır., Gerl., Conyb., a semiculon:-R.;-Dt. (olter ed.);-Fab., Erasm., Caly., Castal., Musc., Mont., Schmidt, Mart., Wakef., Kenr., Von der II., Turnb., a colon: -Thom. and Peile, a dash. See v. 5, N. r. I understand rv. 5. 6 as presenting the grounds of the knowledge here elamed. The election of the Thessalonians was known to Paul, from the way in which the Gospel had been preached there by himself ( v .5 ), and received by the penple ( v .6 ).
${ }^{\text {r }}$ Sce v. 4, N. q. G. ;-Srr. ( $=$ Tremell. co quod), Latin
character; comp. ch. $3: 9,13$; Luke 1:6, 15; Gen. 7: 1; 10:9; \&c.), by Ambrosiast., Chrysost., Theodor., Theophylact. Aret., Pisc., Corn. a Lap., Hamm., Cler., B. and L., Turret., M. Henry, Koppe. Krause, Mack., Coke, Scott:-3. specially with uis ixopl. vi्ֶs हों., hy Castal., Dodd., Mcy., Barn. :-4. with uir,uoveiovzes, by others generally. Musc. allows, besides the third connection, one with areiav Jocoímevoe of v . 2, all that precedes of $v .3$ being then taken parenthetically (and simi-

verss. (quia, quandoquidem, quod, quoniam;-the last being explained by Yat. as $=(x$ eo quod);-Wells (for that), 1 odd., Wakef., All. (wcil;-and so Von der II., Koch), Peile. Others (R. ;-Gern., Fr. S. ;-IIamm., Banmg., Thom., Stolz, Van Ess, Mey., Schott, Kenr.), regarding the first half of this verse as
 que, as in ch. 2: $1 ;$ \& c.

- Comp. Acts 2I: 17; 25:15; Gal. 3:I4; Rev. 10:2. N.g, \&c. Throughout this Epistle, the passive form Eyerigr, (of frequent occurrence in the common dialect, but originally Doric. See Phryn. ed. Lobeck, pp. 108, 109.) is explained by Lunnem. as intimating that the thing asserted had been brought about by the grace of God; by Peile, as implying that it 'had been on the proof of actual experience found to be.' Comp. N. w. In no single instance, indecd, out of the 36 N. 'I. instances, in which yiroure assnmes the forms of the
 simply equivalent to a past tense of $\varepsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu \alpha \iota$. Take, for illustration, the cases (14) in which E. V. so renders it:-Matt. $9: 20$ and $15: 28=8: 13$, be it done; Acts $1: 20$, be made or become desolate; $4: 4$, the number of the men in the Church altogether, not of the additional converts, came to bc $\mathbb{d c}$; Rom. 9: 2?, should have become; I Cur. 10: 6, happened; $15: 10$, did not prove to be; 2 Cor. $3: 7$, was introduced in, attended with; Col. 4:11, have become; I Thess. 1:5; 2: i; 1 Pet. 1:15, bccome, or show yourselves; 3: 6 , are become; 2 Pet. I: 16, had been made, admitted to be.
- For eis, Mey. and Lachm. read toós.
- E. V., 1 Cor. 2: 4; \&c.;-Guyse, Wakef., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Penn. Sharpe, Conyb.. Murd., Peile, Turnb. See 2 Pet. 1 : 21, N. f.
- To the writer's knowledge, and the ground of it just mentioned, in regard to the Thessalonians, there was a correspondence in what the Thessalonians knew of the writer. $K r i t$ ss ol $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$, therefore, is neither the termination of the preceding sentence (some referred to by Baumg.), nor the beginning of a new construction embracing v. 6 (Castal., Koppe, Thom.).
i, $\mu\left(\tilde{\omega} r\right.$ ), or with the $\varepsilon i \delta a t \varepsilon_{s}$ following. Decum., Bez., the Dt. and Engl. Ann., Guyse, Gill. Ros., hesitate betreen the second reference and the fourth.—For before, see W. . I. ;-Wells Dodd., Gill, Newc., Barn., Murd., Kenr., Turnb.;-nearly al. foreign verss. ;-and Rev. 19:10, N. y.
${ }^{n}$ Dt., Fr. M.,-S. ;-West, Baung., Wakef., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Penn, Sharpe, Brown, Conyb., Lünem. (allows it) Kenr., Peile, Yon der II., Turnb. See Rev. 1: 6, N. b, \&c.


## KING JAMES' VERSION.

know what manner of men we were among you for your sake.

6 And ye became followers of us, and of the Lord, having received the word in much affliction, with joy of the Moly Ghost:

7 So that ye were ensamples to all that believe in Maccedonia and Achaia.

8 For from you sounded ont the word of the Lord not only in Macedonia and Achaia, but

GREEK TEXT.
рофорía тод入 $\hat{\eta}, к \alpha \theta \grave{\omega}$ о оiठатє
 $6 \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$ ن $\mu \epsilon i \bar{s} \quad \mu \iota \mu \tau \alpha \grave{\imath} \quad \grave{\eta} \omega \hat{\omega}$ є $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$ каі то̂́ Kupiov, $\delta є-$
 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \chi \alpha \rho \alpha{ }_{s} \Pi_{\nu \epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau о s$ - A yíou,
$7 \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \tau \cup ́ \pi o v s$ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ тоîs $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ '่ $\nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta}$ Макєборía каі т $\frac{\eta}{\eta}$ ' $A \chi \alpha i \nprec$.
$8 \dot{\alpha} \phi ’ \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu \nu \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \eta \chi \eta \tau \alpha \iota \dot{o}$入óyos tô Kupíov ov̉ $\mu o ́ v o \nu$ є̀ $\nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Макєборі́ кє ка̀ ' $A \chi \alpha i ̈ \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$

REVISED VERSION.
men we wwere found among you for your sake; ${ }^{x}$

6 And ye became zimitators of us and of the Lord, having 'accepted the word in much affliction, with joy of the Holy aSpirit;

7 So that ye became 'patterns to all that believe in Macedonia and Achaia.

8 For from you shath been sounded 'forth the word of the Lord, ${ }^{8}$ not only in Macedonia

* E. V., 2 Cur. $7: 14$;-T., C., G., (behaved ourselves) ;Mont. (facti simus), Cocc. (extiterimus), Llamm., Beng, and Nich. (uns verhallen haben), Wakef. (acquitted ourselves), Stolz, Gossner, (uns erwiesen haben), Van Ess (uns gegangen ist), Mey. (uns benommen), Burt. (conducted oursclecs), Sharpe, Conyb. (behaved myself), Lünem. (' not: quales fuerimus [as even De W.]; it can only denote the having been made'. See N. s.), Peile (were found to be. See N. s.), Von der II. (uns befunden haben), Turnb. (liced).
* For the punctuation, see v. 4, N. q.
y 'You, on your part' - an emphatic nominative. See I John 2: $20, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{p}, \& \mathrm{dc}$.
${ }^{=}$Latin, Italian and French rerss. ;-IIamm. ('or imit.'), Bens., Guyse, Dodd., Wesl., Wakef., Mack.. Newc., Thom., Stolz (Nachahmer; -and so Olsh., De W., Koch), Scott, Clarke, Boothr., Penu, Sharpe, Bloomf., Barn., Murd., Kenr. marg., Eadie ;Rob. Comp. 2 Thess, 3: 7, N. y, \&c.
- In the use of $\delta \dot{f}$ zoure there is often meant to be distinctly conveyed the idea of willing assent. Thus, Beng. at 2 Cor.
 pistis. Verba diversa, rei apta. Non concurrit voluntas hominis in accipiendo Spiritu, at in recipiendo evangelio': 'the words differ, as the case requires. There is no concurrence of man's will in receiving the Spirit, as there is in accepting the Gospel.' For 'singularly enough,' as Alford remarks on Beng.'s Latin, 'in English, usage has attached the voluntary act to the verb accept.' See ch. 2:13, N. s. -E. V.. 2 Cor. $8: 17$; II: 4;-Latin verss. generally (use excipere, suscipere, admitterc. Catv. and Zanch. amplexi estis), German verss. (use aufor annchmen), Dt. (aannemen);-Mack., Thom., Turnb., (entbraced).——For Spirit, see v. 5 , N. u, \&c.
b W. (been made), R. (were made);-Vulg. (facti silis). German verss. (geworden [seid]; except Stolz, wurdet), Dt. (geworden zijt), Italian verss. (siele stati). Fr. M. (avez été), Fr. S. (êtes devenus) ;-Cocc., Schmidt, (as Vulg.), Wells, Guyse, Dord., Wesl., Thom.: Sharpe, Bloomf., Kenr., Turnb., Beas.
(are bccome;-and so Wakef., Mack.), Ros. (facti fueritis), Newc. (have been), Conyb., Peile, (have bec.).
${ }^{\text {e }}$ E. V., Tit. 2:7; Heb. 8:5;-Bens., Guyse, Wakef., Mack., Thom., Sharpe, Conyb., Murd. (a pattern;-the Syr., like the Vulg., reading tivar; which Knapp, Mey., Lachm., Tisch., edit.), Peile.
${ }^{4}$ Scholz, Halm, Lachm., Tisch., Theile, repeat the ${ }^{2} y$ before

 Vulg.;-Ambrosiast., Fab., Beng., Krause, Thow., Penn, Conyb., Kenr. ;-Rob.:-and by a perfect tense (the influence being not yet exhausted), in Germ., Dt., French verss.;-liaumg., Moldenh., Wakef., Mack., Newc., Thom., Olsh., De W., Conyb., Lünem., Von der 1I.;-Pass. See 2 Pet. 2:6, N. e and 17, N. a, \&c.
r Dodd., Wesl., Wakef., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Penn, Bloomf., Conyb., Murd., Turub.
${ }^{5}$ It has been geverally felt that the opposition, apparently
 A\% and $\dot{\varepsilon v} \pi \alpha \nu \tau i$ тóre, is obscured, if not set aside, by the introduction of a second subject and predicate in the latter clause ; for to say, with Baumg., Olsh., De W. and Koch, that the two subjects and predicates are synonymous equivalents, 'the word of the Lord' here standing for 'the report of your faith in the word of the Lord,' seems to be rather a bold evasion of a difficulty. Other methods of dealing with it are the following :-I. Very many (It., Fr. S.;-Pagn., Castal., Zanch., Bez., Pisc., Vorstius, Grot., B. and L., Koppe, Krause, Storr, Ros., Flatt. Schrader, Schott, Penn, Gerl.) assume a transposi-
 is quite peremptorily rejected by Pelt, Olsh., (monstrous), De W. (ulterly false), Lünem. (grammatically impossible). 2. According to Rückert, as represented by Lünem., 'when the Apostle had already written the largest part of the sentence, he so changed, for the sake of a climax, the originally intended form of the thonght, that the conclusion no longer

KING JAMES' VERSION.
also in every place your faith to God-ward is spread abroad; so that we need not to speak any thing.

9 For they themselves shew of us what manner of entering ill we had unto you, and how ye

## GREEK TEXT.

 $\grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{s} \nu$ Oєัo $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$,
 $\lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon i v \tau \iota$.

9 аúто̀ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тє $\rho \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$



## REVISED VERSION.

and ${ }^{n}$ Achaia, but also in every place your faith ' toward God that gone forth, so that we mohave no need to speak any thing.

9 For they themselves ${ }^{\text {d declare }}$ concerning us what sort of entrance we rave unto you,
answers to the begiming. So then the meaning should be: Vesta opera factum est, ut domino sermon propagaretur non colum in Mtieedonia et Alana, sod etiam-immo amplius quill. ipsus vestry fides it per famam sparta est, ot iulus jam sit locus, quem ejus mulla dam notitia attigerit': 'Through you it came to pass, that the word of the Lord was dillised not only in Macedonia and Aehaia, but also-nay, what is more, your faith itself was so noised abroad, that now there is no spot, which the knowledge of it has not reached.' To this Linnem. objects that, from the position of if riots iquitos, these words cannot receive the principal emphasis; and that there is nothing in the second clause to form a suitable climax to the first. 3. Fr. M. and Mich. insert a comma after Kioto and a colon after rode, this connecting all that intervenes with the preceding predicate. t. The main division of the sentence is introduced after higiou, and où horror . . . tortes is all thrown forward on the other predicate, by the Syr. (as understood by Hurd.), Vulg. (as sometimes printed, and as understood by W., R.) ;-Erasm., Muse. (as an alternative), Guyse, Lune. Of these expedients the last is perhaps the most satisfactory. But I content myself with restoring the punctuation of the original edition of E. V. (a comma is found at this point also in T., C., G., B. ;-Germ.;-Yat., Mont., Hamm., Selmidt, Wells, Tesl., Mack., Newt., Whom., Boothr., Conyb.. Turub.), it being by no means certain, that we have not here one of Paul's mixed constructions. Neither in that case is it necessary, with luäckert, to lay the main stress on in nous $\dot{\text { fucus }}$, or, except in the particular of local extent, to find any increase of force whatever in the latter clause. On

 very feeling of the writer that the former phrase implied, on the part of the Thessalonians, more of evangelical influence, if not missionary activity, than could properly be asserted of them in reference to the regions beyond their own Greek provines, may lave prompted the use, in the latter connection, of the weaker form of expression. 'From you hath been sounded forth the word of the Lord, and not only is that true, as 1 have just intimated (v. 7), in relation to Macedonia and Achaia, but everywhere, throughout all the household of faith, the fact and the circumstances of your conversion are familiarly known.'

${ }^{i}$ For $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \dot{d}$, Schott, Lachm., Tiseli., read $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$. Bloom. brackets $\alpha \alpha$.
${ }^{1}$ Lunem.: 'The unusual form in $\pi \rho \grave{o}_{s}$ tod $\vartheta$ Эtóv is purposely chosen, in order to exhibit. in marked contrast to the previous idolatry of the Thessalonians (comp. v. 9), their present monotheistic faith.' This distinguishing but delicate force of the double article (see 1 John $2: 7$, N. o, \&e.) can be but imperfleetly rendered in other languages. W., R., (that $[$ which $]$ is) T., C., G., (which ye have) ;-Syr. (= Greenf. ראׁx), Vulg. and most other Latin verses. (quale est), Dit., Italian verst. ( $=T$.) ;Kens. (as R.), Yon der 1I. ('nänlich der qu Got hin').For toward, see Wells, Dodd., West., Newc., Bohr., Penn, Sharpe (towards :-and so Cony., Kent.), Turns. See 2 Pet. 3:9, స. c.
${ }^{k}$ See N. e, de.
 ing of a living thing.'-E. V. frequently (as Lake 4:14; $7: 17$; (He.) renders $\mathfrak{z s f e x o u c t , ~ u s e d ~ i n ~ t h i s ~ r e l a t i o n , ~ b y ~ t o ~ g o ~}$ forth or out. So here W.;-IIamm., Guyse, Wakef., Sharpe, Kenr.;-and similarly I. (is proceeded) ;-Vulg. (profectu est), Dit. (uitgegaan);-Ambrosiast. (processit), Mont., Woe., Schmidt, (use exirc), Bens., West., Turnb., (use to go abroad),

${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ The Greek construction by an active verb and a noun is retained by F. V. frequently (see I John $2: 27, \mathcal{N}$. s) ; and here by It., Fr. S. ;-Calve., Mont., Coco., Schmidt, Bens., Guys, Wakef., Mack., Penn, Sharpe, Conyb., Murd., Peele.
${ }^{\text {n }}$ E. V., Luke $8: 47$; de. ;-Ir. (report);-Hamm. (proclaim), Wells (tell), Bens., Dodd., West., Wakef. (urè declaring), Mack. (publish), News., Thou. (relate ;-and so Kent., Turnb.), Boothr., Penn (as R.), Cony. (are telling), Murd. Foreign versa. use the words most nearly answering to these English terms.

- Bens., Dodd., West., Mack., Tho., Penn, Blooms., Cony., Turn. (respecting). See 1 John 1:1, N. d.
${ }^{p}$ E. V., 1 Cor. $3: 13$;-Bens., Dod. (kind ;-and so Newt., Bonthr., Penn, Turn.), Mack. Wakef., Whom., Murd., render óтoiar simply what.
${ }^{9}$ E. V.. ch. 2: 1 (entrance in ;-and so Wells here);-TV. (entry), R. (entering) ;-Bens., Dodll., Tesl., Waked. (reception), Mack., Thor., Boothr. (admission), Penn, Sharpe, Bloomf., Troll. (introduction), Hurd. (ingress), Pcile, Turnb. ;-Rob. (entrance, access). No foreign version has aught answering to the in of E. V.
$r$ Dit. But, for $\begin{gathered}\text { zेouer, Wells and all the recent editors read }\end{gathered}$

KING JAMES' VERSION.
turned to God from idols, to serve the living and true God;

10 And to wait for his Son from heaven, whom he raised from the dead, even Jesus, which delivered us from the wrath to come.

## CHAP. 11.

For yourselves, brethren, know

## GREEK TEXT.

бт $\rho \in ́ \psi a \tau \epsilon \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \grave{\partial} \nu$ ú $\pi \grave{o}$ $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \epsilon i \delta \dot{\delta} \lambda \omega \nu$, $\delta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \hat{\varphi}$,

10 каì $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ тò̀ viò̀ aủ-


 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$.

CHAP. II.
AYTOI $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oí $\delta \alpha \tau \epsilon, \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi o \grave{\delta}$,

## REVISED VERSION.

and how ye turned to God from -the idols, to serve the living and true God,

10 And to wait for his Son from the uheavens, whom he raised from vthe dead, " Jesus, ${ }^{\text {x }}$ who ${ }^{\text {s delivereth }}$ us from ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the ycoming wrath.

## CHAP. II.

aFor 'ye yourselves cknow
zozouev (so most of the nncial and many cursive MSS. Syr. Vulg. Chrysost., \&c.). I recommend that this reading be adopted: had. [Koch elrs in saying that Schott 'undertakes


- 'That ye had hitherto served.'-Almost all foreign verss.; -Wakef. (lhose), Thom., Sharpe, Conyh. (your), Turnb. See 1 John 5 : 21, N. q, and comp. =-כְלִ of Is. 2:18. On the other hand, the absence of the article in the last clanse may not be accidental or unmeaning: ' to serve a God that is living and true, very Gol, all that the name imports-so untike the idols, those (Ambrosiast. dcos mortuos) lead gods, which are not God (לx゙ Ezek. $28: 9$ ).'
' 'The heavens, into which He has ascended' (Acts 2 : 34; ©c.).
" E. V., 19 times ;-W. ;-Latin verss. (except Schmidt), Dt., It., Fr. M.,-S.;-Conyb., Von der H., Turnb.
* Excepting Beng. and Bloomf., all the recent editors insert x $\tilde{\omega} \nu($ Mill: 'Codd. plurimi').
what follows is added not for explanation but endearment. : IIe for whom believers wait is God's Son, of whom alone it can be said that He now liveth in the heavens, and once lay among the dead (Rev. 1:18). Yea, with what earnestness of desire must they be waiting for Him, seeing that for their sake this wondrons Person bears yet another name so gracions (Matt. 1:21), and achieves so great a deliverance (Tit. 2: 13, 14)!' It is no improvement. therefore, of the Apostle's rhetoric, to construe 'Irooū', either apart, or in connection with viór, as the antecedent of ov (Syr., Fr.
 veœṑv in a parenthesis (R.;-Fab., Vat., Mont., Mart., All., Kenr.).-There is nothing for even in W., R.;-Latin verss. (except Pagn., Bez., Pisc.), German verss. (except All.), French verss. (except B. and L.) ;-Mart., Sharpe, Kenr., Turnb. See 1 John 5: 4, N. j.
$\times$ W. (that), R.;-Wells and later verss. See 2 Pet. $2: 11$, N. f.
y Grot.: 'Sóuєror pro duoóuevor'; and so Bens., Koppe, Kuin., Ros., Pelt, and others. But erroncously. The deliverance, though not acomplished (E. V.), is in progress. And
equally erroneous is their explanation of zo\%outars by venturae. The Divine justice is never for a moment weary 0 : asleep; but, at however slow a pace, and by whatever secret and circuitous paths, continually advances-restigia nulla retrorsum-nearer and still nearer to its object. See 1 Pet. $1: 13, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{z}$; Rev. $1: 4, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{o}$, \&c.-The present time is retained, in the former case, by T., C., G., B. ;-Syr., Dt., It., French verss. ;-Fab. and later Latin verss. (except Schmidt), Est., Wells, Beng. ( Christus nos semel द̇̉vrocioato, redemit; semper pévact, eripit': 'Clirist redcemed us once; IIe is always delivering us.'), Guyse ('does and finally will'), Dodd., Wesl., Baumg., Krause, Mack., Newc., Thom., Stolz, Van Ess, Boothr., Mey., Flatt (as an alternative), Burt. (is saring), Penn, Sharpe, De WF., Stier, Bloomf., Scholef., Lünem., Murd., Peile. Wakef., Conyb., Turnb., (our detiverer), Von der II., Koch, (unsern Erlöser):-in the latter, by Syr. ;-Ainbrosiast : Fab., Musc. (as an alternative), Cocc., Beng., Guyse ('is coming apace, and will be ever incessantly coming'), Krause, Gösch. (venienti), Schott (instante), Penn, Kistemaker, Sharpe, De W., Conyb., Yon der II., Turnb.
$=$ The $\tau \tilde{f} s, \ldots \pi \tilde{r}_{s}$ (sce $v .8, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{j}, \& \mathrm{\&}$.) is turned into a demonstrative, by Pagn., Bez., Pisc., Schott, (illa);-into a relative constrnction. by Fab. (quae venit), Wakef. (that is at hand), Pem (which is coming), Turnb. (which is approaching).
a This $\gamma \dot{\sim}{ }^{\circ}$ is by many treated as a mere copula or particle of transition, some even disregarding it in translation, while others allow it also an intensive force. Thus, Syr. = Murd. and ; B. and L., cn effet; Koppe, 'in vers. germanica non exprimenda, saltem non vertenda enim': 'is not to be expressed in German ; at least not translated for;' Krause, Stolz, auch; Ros., Mey., do not translate it; Flatt, Pelt, ja; Conyb., yea (which is certainly less objectionable than Peile's nay, or Turnb.'s however). Grot. refers it to ch. $1: 10:$ 'Merito illam spem vitae aeternae retinetis. Vera enim sunt quae robis annuntiarimus': 'Ye do well to retain that hope of eternal life; for the things that we announced to you are true.' Beng., Flatt, Schott, refer to ch. $1: 5,6$. But the better connection is with ch. $1: 9$, as preferred also by Musc., Bens., Gill, Turret., De W., Lünem., Koch; though they fail

IINGG JAMES' VERSION.
our entrance in unto you, that it was not in rain:

2 But evell after that we had suffered before, and were shamefilly entreated, as ye know, at Philiphi, we were bold in our God to speak unto you the gospel of God with much contention.

## GREEK TEXT.




2 ѝ $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ к $\alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \rho о \pi \alpha \theta$ óvтєs каі̀ $\dot{v} \beta \rho \imath \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon s, \kappa \alpha \theta \grave{\omega} s$ oídatє, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 $\tau \hat{c} \hat{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ ì $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha}_{s}$
 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$.

## REVISED VERSION.

brethren, our entrance dunto you, that it was not erain;

2 But féven ${ }^{5}$ having suffered before and been shamefully htreated, as ye know, in Philippi, we were bold in our God to speak unto you the gospel of God lin much contention.

* See ch. I: 9, N. q.
- The first 12 verses of this chapter are occopied with a description of the apostolic ministry at Thessalonica (comp. ch. I : 5) ; the fruits of that ministry being again exhibited in w. 13, 1t (comp. ch. $1: 6, \& c$.). Unless the present clause, therefore, is an exception to this arrangement, what the writer denies is, not so much that (according to the explanation of Ruh. and many others) his labours had been fruitless, useless (as in ch. $3: 5, \varepsilon i s x v^{\circ} \dot{v}$ ), as that they had been in themselves ea $n$, idle, unsubstantial, emply of all human earnestness and of livine truth and force (comp. Eph. 5:6; Col. 2: 8; James $2: 20$-a riew confirmed by the contrast ( $\dot{\alpha} \mu, \dot{\alpha}$ ) that immediately follows.-Only in 3 instances out of 18 does E. V. render the simple zevos in vain;-W., B. (as E. V., but with this note: ' Not in uutward show and in pounp, but in travail and in the fear of God.'), $\mathrm{K}_{\text {. }}$-Latin rerss. (use inanis or ranus; except Cocc., varuus, which he explains by'sine ornamentis et insignibus, sine experimento crucis. Ita Apostolus inter decora sua numerat afflictiones suas. 2 Cor. 11 : 23-25': 'without ormament or insignia, without the trial of the cross. The Apostle thus reckons his aflictions among his decorations.' Calv.: 'Son fuisse inanem, ut ambitiosi homines multum pompac ostendunt, quum nihil habeant solidi: nam Thane Actuoso hic opponitur': • It was not emptr, as ambitions meu make much ponpons display; when there is nothing Eubstantial about them: for empty is here the opposite of efficient.' Musc.: 'rem significat racuam, nihil in se solidi, veri ac firmi, non modo in effectu, sed et ne in actu quidem habentem ': 'Something empty is meant, with nothing in it solid, true and firm, and that not merely in the result, but also not eren in the process.'), Dt. (ijdel), It. (rana), French
 'not human, nor at random') ; Pelar. (' non est inanis sermo, qui completur constantia passionis': 'that is no empty disconrse, wbich is filled with the constancy of suffering '), Oecnm. (uarkia = foolish), Grot. (mendax, fallar; ;-and so Hamm., though he translates as proposed above), Wells, Berlenburger Bibel (leer). Beng. ('non inanis, sed plena virtntis': - not empty, but full of force '), Krause (mit keinen unedlen Ab- 1 take to be as follows: 'Such are the statemerts current in the
 and results of the Gospel in Thessalonica. But as regards the spirit and methods of onv ministry there, we appeal to still more

sichten $=$ with no base designs;-and similar is the explanation of Koppe, Ros., Stolz, Van Ess, Mey., Burt. not lightly undertaken, 'Tumb. ours was not a mere visit to you ), Mack.. Coke, Barn., (fulse), Schott ( res vana, inanis, quae nullam habet vim et efficientian': 'a thing vain, empty, having no force or efficiency ${ }^{2}$ ), Kenr., Koch (grundlos, krafllos). Some (Corn. a Lap., Dt. Aun., Gill, Banmg., Mich., Jelt, De IV., Troll., Von der H.), like Musc., include or allow a reference both to the nature of the ministry, and to its results.
${ }^{r}$ On the anthority of A.B.C.I.E.F.G.I. and rery many cursive MSS., old Versions and Fathers, this wai is cancelled by Wells and all the recent editors (except Schott, who brackets it. Bloomf. also in 1839 has it in donble brackets; but the note approves of the suppression.). I recommend that, in accordance with this reading: the ford excn be omitted.
${ }^{5}$ The participial construction is retained by R. ;-most Latin rerss. ;-Mart., Kenr., Turnb.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Beńs., Gayse, Dodd., Wesl., Symonds, Newe., Thom., Boothr., Penn, Sharpe, Mard., Kenr., Turnb.
 and Turnb. (at ch. $3: 1$ ), leile;-besides many foreign verss.
 the manner, of the preaching; though De W. is too positive in saying: 'ácóv outward fight of afflictions, not inward struggle or care, comp. Phil. 1:30.' And so Linem:: 'rayou is to be understood neither of the cares and sorrows of the Apostle (Fritzsche and most others), nor yet of his assiduity and zeal (Noldenh.), but of outward rexations and perils.' At least, this restriction in the present case must be jnstified from the context, not from Paul's use of the word elsewhere. See Col. 2:1;1Tim. 6:12; 2 Tim. 4: 7.-Wt, C., B., R.;-Vulg., Dt., Fr. S. (au milicu de);-Awbrosiast., Fah., Erasm., Grot., Engl. Ann., Cocc., B. and L. (parmi), Bens., Wolf., Mart. (fra), Mich., Krause (unter;-and so Van Ess, All., Mey., De Wr., Lünem.), lios., Wakef. (amidst;-and so Mack., Symonds, Buothr., Barn, 'Tumb.), Stolz (bey), Flatt, Pelt, Ienn, Nurd., Peile (in the midst of ), Von des 11.
- T., C., G., B. ;-Bens. (you;-and so Thum., Conyb., Turnb.), Doild., Penn, Bloonf., Murd.
c The verb is giren in the same clanse with its sulject, by T., C., G.;-almost all foreign verss.;-1)odd., Wakef., Mack., Sharpe, Bloomf.: Cony-b., Kienr., Peilc.


## KING JANIES' VERSION.

3 For our exhortation was not of deceit, nor of uncleanness, nor in guile ;

4 But as we were allowed of God to be put in trust with the gospel, even so we speak; not as pleasing men, but God, which trieth our hearts.

5 For neither at any time used we flattering words, as ye know, nor a cloak of covetousness; God is witness :

6 Nor of men sought we glory,

## GREEL TEXT.

3 'H $\gamma^{\grave{\alpha}} \rho \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha_{\kappa} \lambda \eta \sigma t s$ ì $\mu \bar{\omega} \nu$
 бías, ov́т $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ סó $\lambda \omega$.
$4 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \grave{\omega} s \delta^{\delta} \delta \sigma \kappa \kappa \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ن́тò той $\Theta \epsilon о \hat{v} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{o}$ $\epsilon \dot{v} \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota o \nu$, oü $\tau \omega$ $\lambda \alpha \lambda o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$, oủ $\chi$ $\dot{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi о \iota \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$
 סías ì $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 $\lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon i \alpha s$ є́ $\gamma \in \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, каӨ̀̀s oí $\delta \alpha-$ $\tau \epsilon$, ov̀тє $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho o \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \xi i \alpha{ }^{\prime}$. Өєòs $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau т s$.


## REVISED VERSION.

3 For our ${ }^{k}$ exhortation tis not of ndelusion, nor of uncleanness nor in guile ;

4 But as we phave been ${ }^{\circ} \sim \rho$ proved rby God to be eintrusted with the gospel, so we speak, not as pleasing men, but "God, "who wproveth our hearts.

5 For neither at any time used we xwords of flattery, as ye know ; nor a cloak of covetousness, : God is witness ;

6 Nor ${ }^{2}$ sought we ${ }^{\text {s of }}$ men
*Not instruction, teaching, in general (as тapáninots is here explained by Chrysost., Theodor., Oecum., Theophylact, Est., Knapp [Scripta Varii Argumenti, Halle, I823], Koppe, Krause [Unterricht;-and so Stolz, Van Ess, Mey., Flatt], Ros., De W. and Von der II. [Ansprachc]), merely as didactic; but the entire work of 'persuading men' ( 2 Cor. $5: 11$ )-totum praeconium cvangelicum, passionum dulcedine tinctum (Peng.): 'the whole work of evangelical proclamation, imbued with the swectuess of the emotions.' Tertull. advocatio (De Pudic. 17).

1 This verse being but the negative side of what is stated in v. 4, the time of iriounucv determines that of the supplement here; which is accordingly given in the present by W.;Fr. S. ;-Castal., Cocc., Schmidt, Beng., Wesl., Koppe, All., Mey., Flatt, Pelt, Gösch., O1sh., De W., Stier, Conyb., Lünem., Peile, Koch.
m 'We are not ourselves deceived;'-and then it is added
 this ministry were pure, so its methods were simple and sin-cere.-E. V., 2 Thess. 2: II. In 7 instances elsewhere out of $\$ \mathrm{E}$. V. has error; and so, or to the same effect, is the word here rendered by W., T., C.: R.;-Vulg., Germ., Fr. S. (égare-ment;-for séduction of the other rerss.) ;-Pelag. ('Ideo non erramus.'), Fab., Castal., Aret., Cocc. ('IHomo qui errat . . . cogitur esse incertus: neque potest uti $\pi \alpha \npreceq \nsupseteq \eta \sigma i \not q, ~ n i s i ~ p e r ~$ summam impudentiam ac stultitiam': 'One who is astray cannot but be undecided; nor is it possible for him to use boldness, without consummate impudence and folly.'), Schmidt, Beng., Gill (as allowable), Baumg., Moldenh., Mart., Koppe and Mey. (Schwärmerei), Krause, Ros., Wakef., Mack., Newc., Coke, Thom., Stolz, All., Flatt, Pelt, Gösch., Schott, Olsh., De W. (Irrwahn;-and so Lünem., Koch), Bloomf., Kear., Peile, Von der H. ;-Schleus., Bretsch., Wahl, Rob. ('delusion, deceit, false judgment or opinion;'-a sense, however, which deceit does not bear), Schirl.
${ }^{n}$ For oûtc, Hahn and Lachm. read ouvdé, which is preferred also by Win. (p. 577), Olsh., De W., Lünem., Koch.

- Not causal (as Flatt, quoniam; Conyb., secing that; Peile. inasmuct as). See N. t.
- See ch. I : 8, N. e, \&e.

9 E. V., 3 times;-W. (proved), R.;-Hamni., Whitb., Wells, Bens., Guyse, Dodd., Wesl., Gill ('or, app.'), Wakef. (thought worthy), Mack., Newe., Coke, Thom., Boothr., Scott, Clarke (accounted w.), Penn, Sharpe, Bloomf., Murd., Kenr., Peile, Turnb. (csteemed w.) ;-Rob. (to approve, to judge fit). Comp. N. w.
r See ch. $1: 4$, N. p, \&c.

- Guyse and nearly all later verss.
: The oüt refers not to the subsequent $\omega_{s}$ (Flatt), but emphatically to rufas preceding: 'in a way befitting this general Divine approval,' as opposed ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ) to everything disclaimed in v. 3.-For the omission of evcn, see E. V., v. 8, and generally ;-W., R. ;-foreign verss.;-Dodd. and the later English verss. (except Sharpe. Wakef. and Conyb. translate ovizw accordingly).
a The first $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ is bracketed by Lachm., and cancelled by Tisch.
- See ch. $1: 10$, N. x, \&c.
w Comp. N. q. E. V.. ch. $5: 21$, and 9 times elsewhere;W., R. ;-Eugl. Ann. ('or, pr.'), Wakef., Newc., Penn, Kenr., Peile, Turnb. (proves). See I Pet. I : 7, N. i.
= The Greek construction is retained by W., R.;-Sharpe, Kenr., Turnb.;-besides many foreign verss.
y As regards flattery, the appeal is to the recollection of the church; as regards covetousness, to the Divine omniscience (Chrysost., \&c.). Hence the proposed change in the punctuation.

 oopev: " He "says not that they were dishonoured, nor that

KING JAMES VERSION.
neither of you, nor yet of others, when we might have been burdensome, as the apostles of Christ.

7 But we were gentle among yon, even as a nurse cherisheth her children:

## GREEK TEXT.

 $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu, \delta v \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ßápєı єỉval,

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \rho \cap ф \dot{o s} \theta \alpha ́ \lambda \pi \pi$


## REVISED VERSION.

glory, neither afrom you nor afrom others, when we might have been burdensome, as Christ's apostles; ${ }^{\text {d }}$

7 But we ewere found gentle rin the midst of you, ${ }^{5}$ as ${ }^{\text {b }}$ a nurse bmight cherish her iown children.

6 - (iencrally, in the exhibition of our apostolic dignity and authority; or, 'Specially, in the assertion of our right to be supportet by the churches.' The furmer explanation, which Is more agreable to the immediate context and is supported ly some classical references, appears in E. V. marg. (or, used aulhority') ;-C.. 1. ;-It., Fr. M.,-S. ;-Chrysost., Ambrosiast., fomem., Calv., Pisc., Hamm. (used severity, in apostolic cen-mres-an idea prominent also in Cocc, and adopted by Heinsius), Vitringa, Berlenbarger Bibel, Bens., Wolf., Wess. Moldenh., Mich., Mack., Newc., Thom., Stolz, Boothr., Pelt, Burt., Schott, Olsh., De W.: Troll., Lüncrı., Peile, Von der J., Turnh., Roeh;-Schöttg., Schleus., Wahl, Rob., Schirl.:-the sucont (comp. v. $9 ; 2$ Thess. 3:8; 2 Cor. $11: 9 ; 12: 16$; 1 Tim. 5:16), in W. (in charge), T., (G., (chargeable) ;-Fr. S. marg. ;-Theodor., Vit., Aret., Camerar.. Bez., Est., Grot., Cler., Whith., B. and L., Dodd., Turret., Krause, Coke, Koppe, Flatt, Conyb. ;-Dretsch.:-while others, as Oecuan., Zeg., Dt. and lingl. Ann., Wells, Guyse, Gill, Gerl., Bloomf., Barn., Kenr', allow either of these interpretations, or combine the two.
e The definite article is not introduced by W.;-foreign verss. ;-Bens., Wakef. and the later English. The Greek order is retained by W.;-Mack., Thom., Conyb., Peile;-besides many foreign verss.
d The negative statements of wr. 5,6 find their positive comberpart and completion in what follows; from which, accordingly, or at least from the first clause of v .7 (see there N. g), v. 6 is separated only by a colon, semicolon, or comma, in the great majority of verss., and in all cdd. of the Text, except Bez.'s.
e See ch. $1: 5$, NN. s, $\pi$.——For rirtoot, Lachm. has $\begin{aligned} \text { rituot, }\end{aligned}$ a reading found. says Schott, in some good MSS., also in some ancient verss. (Vulg. parvuli) and writings of the Fathers;
they did not obtain honour-which were to have reproached them-bot that they did not seek it.' Oecum., however,
 he, 'the glory that is from God, they both sought and re-

 Dodd. and the later English verss. (except Newc.) ;-besides nearly all the foreign. E. V. fullows Bez.-Only some Latin verss. and the Dt. preserve the participial form. Strictly, Gri.
 wis. does.
but originating, as he suggests, in a reduplication of the last letter of the preceding word, and inconsistent with the comparison that immediately follows.

Beng 'Sicut gallina pullis circumdata': 'like a her surrounded by her chickens.'-E. V., Matt. 10:16; and generally elsewhere, -W. (in the middle), R.;-most Latin verss., Dt., Fr. M.,-S.;-Dodd., Wesl., All. (in eurer Mitte;-and so De W., Stier, Lünem., Von der 1I.), Kenr., Turnb.
${ }^{5}$ There is nothing for even in W., R. ;-foreign verss. generally ;-Blackwall, Bens., Wakef., Newc., Penn, Sharpe, Conyb., Kenr.-See v. 6 , N. d. The edd. of Bez., Wells, Griesb., Koppe, Knapp. Mey.. Schott, IIahm, Blowm., Theile, close the
 as a furmal protasis with ourcos $火$ d. ; and so, though with some differences of punctuation, Theodor., Zanch., Bens., Moldenh., Wakef., Mack., Thom., Stolz, Van Ess, Boothr., All.: Flatt, Pelt, Sharpe, De W., Conyb. Lunem., Koch. Erasm., Lachm. Tisch., have nerely a comma before as well as after the clause $\omega_{s}$ â, тo. . . . véavo. I recommend the following marginal note: ' Or, as very many: As a nurse might cherish her own children, so' \&c.
 cept Castal., Cocc., Schmidt, hare si.). But the presence of the former as a modal particle is recognized by Fr. S. (une nourrice qui soignerait) ;-Bammg., De W., (ctwa), Mart., Thom. and Sharpe (would), Schott (utcunque), Peile ('This is a strictly classical use of $\omega_{s}{ }^{\circ} z^{\prime}$, corresponding to the Latin "conditional, virtual, or consequential qui or quò with a Subjunctive following," and-as in the well-known Latin phase, non quò s•c. Angl. not as though, not that you are to conclude that so and so-so far partaking even here of the nature of a conclusion drawn from a preceding word or sentence [that most fre-


- The sonndness of Schott's sugrestion, to which Olsh. and Bloomf. assent, that $\dot{\varepsilon} \%$ here marks the primary sonrce, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ the secondary or intermediate, may be very questionable; see John $11: 1$ and Rev. $9: 18$, N. z. Still, it is as well to indicate the change of preposition, and this is done by Dt ., Fr . S. ;-Ambrosiast., Erasm., Pagn., Yat., Mont., Bez., Pisc., Cocc., Sclmidt, B. and L., Wesl., Kranse, Sharpe, Pcile, Von der 11. But the interpretation of B. and L., Fr. S., la gloire qui vient des hommes; Stolz, Menschonrulm; Thom. human
 omission of yet, sce all the verss.. except T., C., G., B.


## KNNG JAMES' VERSION.

8 So being affectionately desirous of you, we were willing to have imparted unto you, not the gospel of God only, but also our own souls, because ye were dear unto us.

9 For ye remember, brethren, mur labour and travail: for la-

## GREEK TEXT.

8 ойт $\omega s$ i $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \mu \in \nu \circ \iota \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \epsilon \dot{v}$ -
 $\nu 0 \nu$ тò єv̉a $\alpha \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota o \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ Өєô̂, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{\tau} \dot{\alpha} s \dot{\epsilon} \alpha v \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \psi v \chi \dot{\alpha} s, \delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota$ $\alpha \quad \alpha \alpha \pi \eta \tau o \grave{\imath} \eta \mu \bar{\iota} \nu, \gamma \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
$9 \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \tau \epsilon \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \circ \grave{ }$,


## REVISED VERSION.

8 JThus, ${ }^{\text {k yearning after you, }}$ we are willing to ${ }^{m}$ impart unto you not ronly the gospel of God, but also our own osouls, because ye 'have become dear unto us.

9 For ye remember, brethren, our Ptoil and ${ }^{\text {w weariness; rfor }}$
j G.;-Newc., Pemm, Bloomf., Turnb. The word, moreover, qualifies the predicate, and is scparated, as above, by a comma trom the participial clanse, in G., B.;-Dt. (old ed.), lt., Fr. S. ;-Cocc., Beng., Dodd., Wesl., Gill, Moldenh., Mack., Van Ess, Mey., Sharpe, De W., Barn. ; and so in the edd. of Bez., Griesb., Koppe, Knipp, Mey., Schott, Bloomf. Others, as Matth., Schott, IIahn, Lachm., Tisch., Theile, having no comma after oütus, onit it also after i $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$.
${ }^{k}$ Scott, Sharpe, (longing afler), All. (schnsüchfig hingen wir an), Von der II., Koch, (uns sehnend naeh), Turnb. (yearn-
 Mill prefers, and all the recent editors (except Beng.) adopt, the unusual form óuerọиєгot.

1 Ambrosiast., Grot., Cocc., Nlamm., Moldenh., Newc., Flatt (as an alternative), Pelt, translate $\varepsilon \dot{\delta} \delta o \approx o \tilde{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ as a present; which time best suits the subsequent $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} u$ rov $\begin{gathered}\text {, rendered by }\end{gathered}$ Cocc. extitislis; by Newc., are become; by Güsch., eslis facti; by Peile, as above. But the reading deveritite (A.B.C.D.E. F.G.1.), marked by Griesb. as almost or quite equal, if not preferable, to $\gamma^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}$, is adopted by Wells, Schott, Scholz, IIahn, Bloomf., Lachm., Tisch. The other probably arose from regarding evidox. as necessarily in the present tense. But it may just as well be in the imperfect (see Win. pp. 81-2), to which दैzerng. would better correspond ; and considering, in atdition to the external anthorities in favour of the latter form, the internal evidence from the context, I should recommend that ǐyean 9 . be followed, and that the version stand thus: were willing . . . had become (see ch. 1:5, NN. s, w; also 2 Pet. $1: 16, N . g$ ).
and Optat. verbs], that we might translate: we have shown ourselves so gentle among you, that it might be a nurse cherishing her foster-children.'), Von der H. (wol).
' Angust. Serm. de Ps. 72 : 24 (73:23) : 'Apostolns vero, germano et pio caritatis affectu, et nutricis personam suscepit, dicendo, foret; et matris, addendo, filios suos. Sunt enim nutrices forentes quidem, sed non filios suos: item sunt matres nutricibus dantes, non foventes filios suos': 'The Apostle, under the impulse of a genuine and tender affection, assumes the part both of a nurse, when he speaks of cherishing; and of a mother, when he adds, her own children. For there are nurses, in whose case the children they indeed cherish are not their own; and there are mothers, who give up their own children to nurses; and do not cherish them.'-The above force
${ }^{m}$ Dodd. and the later English verss. (except Turnb.), together with all the forcign that employ an infinitive mood.
${ }^{n}$ The $\mu$ orvov is given in its place by W., R. ;-foreign verss. (except Greenf.) ;-Wells and the later English generally.

- Marginal note: 'Or, lives.' Beng. : 'Anima nostra cupiebat quasi immeare in animan vestram': 'Our soul longed to pass, as it were, into your soul.' " $F_{v x \eta}$, however, is rendered life in E. V. 40 times, and here by W. ;-Germ., Fr. S. marg.;B. and L., Bens., Moldenh., Mich., Krause, Los., Wakef., Mack., Newc., Thom., Stolz, Van Ess, Boothr., Clarke, All., Mey., Pem, Sharpe, Barn., Conyb., Lünem., Peile, Turnb., Koch ;-Bretsch. Wahl, Rob. Others give lives as the sense, who yet retain souls for the version. Comp. Shakespeare, Two Gcnllemen of Verona, v. 4: 'Whose life's as tender to me as my soul.'
${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ See ch. I: 3, N. j, \&c.
${ }^{9}$ Móz 90 occurs in the N. T. but thrice ( 2 Thess. 3:8; 2 Cor. I1: $27, \mathrm{E}$. V. painfulness), and always in connection with \%óatos, from which it does not essentially differ, there being really 1 no ground for Grot.'s distinction of the latter as passive and the former as active: '»óлоע in ferendo,
 rather the other way. But better, Bez.: 'Móxfos aliquid ctiam gravius significat quam жóлоs, et sicnt vernaculo nostro sermone hace tria, Labeur, Peinc, Trarail, gradus quosdam laboris significant, sic et apud Graecos, ло́ros, жóтов, मózЯos’: 'Mózधos means something even more severe than \%ótos; and as in our vernacular speech these three words, Labcur, Peine,
is allowed to the prononn by E. V.: 2 Thess. $3:$ I2; \&c.; and here by It., Fr. S.;-Fab., Mont., Zanch., Ilamm., Wells, Blackwall, Bens., Beng., Dodd., Wesl., Gill, Mich., Mack., Coke, Thom. Scott, Flatt, Pelt, Schott. Olsh., Stier, Conyb., Lünem., Turnb., Koch:-others, as B. and L., Guyse, Pyle, Kranse, Ros., Wakef., Stolz, Thom., Boothr., Mey., Bloomf., secure the same result by their rendering of toog $\delta_{s}$, mother, nursing-mother, \&c. That $\varepsilon$ evoroṽ is not always tr be given as above is true (see I John $3: 12, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{g}, \mathcal{\&} \mathrm{c}$.), but does not justify Bloomf. (Rec. Syn.) in condemning the emphasis in this instance as 'rrong,' and still less in saying that 'the exavtins is in our common version rightly omitted.' Comp. v. 11, where a father's authority and earnestness are the main idea, as here a mother's tenderness and sclf-sacrificing love, and that for 'her sucking child' (Is. 49 : I5).


## KNNG JAMES VERSION.

bouring night and day, beciuse we would not be chargeable unto any of you, we preached unto you the gospel of God.

10 Ye are witnesses, and God also, how holily and jnstly and umblamably we behaved ourselves among you that believe:

## GREEK TEXT.

 $\mu \in \nu O \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \beta \alpha \rho \eta ̄ \sigma \alpha i ́$ $\tau \iota \nu \alpha \dot{v} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$, є́кךри́そ $\alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ єis $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} s$ тò єن்ayүє́ $\lambda \iota o \nu$ той $O \epsilon o v ̂$.

10 úнєís $\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau v \rho є s$ каі̀ ò $\theta$ єòs,
 $\pi \tau \omega s$ í $\mu \hat{\nu}$ тoîs $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ v́ov $\sigma \iota \nu$ є $\gamma \epsilon$ $\nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$,

## REVISED VERSION.

sworking night and day, that we might not be oburdensome to any of you, we "preached unto you the gospel of God.

10 Yeare witnesses, and Godw, how holily, and justly, and unblamably, we behaved ourselves xfor you $y$ who zbelieved;

- E. V., in all the other (5) instances in these two Epll., and generally elsewhere, translates equásonct, to work; and so here W., R.;-Wells and the later verss. (except Sharpe).
t Lit. in order not to be. E. V. follows T.. C., G., B. Scarcely any other version fails to give here the telic force of roiss to with an infinitive. Comp. E. V., Eph. $6:$ [1; James 3:3.
u Werls, Rens., Guyse, Dodd., Wesl. and Kenr. (use the verb, burlen), Wakef., Mack. (has the verb, overload), 'Thom. Boothr., Sharpe (a burden), Conytu, Peile, Tnrnb.
* Here xponaow includes the whole comse of Panl's erangelical ministration at Thesalonica, where (Acts $17: 2,3$ ) Ėri


w R.;-Syr., Latin and German verss. (except Moldenh.), Dt.;-Wesl., Mart., Greenf., Sharpe, Kemr.
$\times 1$. The Greek dative does not express the relation, with, umong, in your presence or society, adopted here by E. V. and many others (T., C., G., B.;-Germ. bei, Fr. S. devant;-Ambrusiast., Fab, and Schmidt following the Sixtine Vulg. vobis affuimus, Erasm., Musc., Vat., Wells, Bens., Guyse, Wesl., Gill, Pyle, Banmg. allows it, Mart., Mack., Newc., Boothr., Scott, Clarke, All., Greenf., Penn, Tumb.). 2. Musc. suggests, and Banmg. allows, that ijuiv may be a dative of advantage, for your sake. 3. Very many have to or towards you (Vulg. robis, as explaned by W., I., Kenr., to you; Dt. $u$, which the Note, howerer, explains in the sense of E. V.; It., Fr. M.;-Pagn., Castal., Rez.: Pisc., ILamm., B. and L., Dodd., Kopıe, Kranse, Wakef., Thom., Stolz, Van Ess, Mey., Flatt, Gösch., Sharpe, De W., Conyb.). To this riew Lünem. objects, (I.) that ofoioss, which describes what is fitting in reference to God, becomes then unsuitahle:-(2.) that, since
íht, tots fegreiovary is not without emplasis, the idea is suggested, that the Apostle had not judged it necessary to maintain a consistent deportment toward others:-and (3.) that, since the passive form cannot denote a purely spontaneous
 4. The interpretation which on the whole I prefer, though I do not renture to express it so clearly as conld only be doue by a periphrasis, takes viuty as a dative of opinion or judgment. So Theodor. (with the Syriac restriction of $\dot{v} \mu t \nu$ to $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \in \mu \tau \tau \omega s:$
 we appeared blameless to all.') Oecum. (with ,he same restric-
 he was not blameless.' This Calv. also adopts, and Lez. and Zanch. allow.), Theophylact, Beng. ('tametsi ahiis non ita videremur' : 'though to others we did not so appear'), Pelt, Linem. (für; which he exponnds thus: 'So that this was the character, this the light, in which we appeared to you. Only thus do we find in what is added such a limitation as the case required. For how far from being general was the recognition, that God had cansed the Apostle to walk oficos
 persecution that soon arose against him, and by his expulsion from Thessalonica.'), Von der H. (as Lünem.).
${ }^{y}$ Bens., Dodd., Wakef., Mack., Thom., Conyb., Kenr.
${ }^{*}$ That ruorevovan is the participle of the imperfect is indicated by W., C., R. (did believe) ;-Gern. ;-Erasm., Musc., Vat., (credebatis;-for Vulg. credidistis), Wakef., Thom., Stolz, Van Ess, Mey., Sharpe, Stier, Kenr., Koch. A participial or substantive constrnction is given by Syr. (='Tremell. fideles) ;Castal., Mont., Schmidt, Baumg. (den Gläubigen;-and so, with or withont the article, Moldenh., Mich., De W., Lunnen.), Greenf., Güsch., Turnb. (the faithful).

Travail, mark certain gradations of labour, so also in Greek,

'labor nou solum solicitudinem, sed etian defitigationem conjunctam habens.'-W.;-Vulg. (fatigationes;-and the same word is employed by Fab., Mont., Cucc.) ;-Erasm., Calv., Musc., Vat., (sudoris), Castal., Aret., (defatigationem), Bez., Pisc., (aerumnae), Schmidt, Gösch.: (molestiam), Wells, Dodd., Wesl., Mack., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Penn, Sharpe, Kenr., (toil), Bens. (greal fatigue), Gill ('the great pains they took, even
to weariness'), Mart. (stanchezze), Kranse (mühevolle Leben), Wakef., Barn. (wearisome labour), Alford (at 2 Cor. II : 2i), Turnb. (fatigue), Koch (Mühsal, Mühseligkeit).
r Wells and all the recent editors, except Beng., Matth. Bloomf., cancel this $\gamma \dot{x} \rho$, on the authority of A.D*.F.G. Very many cursive MSS. Syr. Vulg. \&c. Chrysost., dc.). I recommend as a marginal note: 'Very many omit the word for.'

KING JAMES' VERSION.
11 As ye know how we exhorted, and comforted, and charged every one of you, as a father doth his children,

12 That ye would walk worthy of God, who hath called you unto his kingdom and glory.

## GREEK TEXT.

 є̌к $\alpha \sigma \tau о \nu \dot{v} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu, \dot{\omega}$ s татந̀ $\rho$ тє́кข
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta о и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \mu \alpha \rho т и \rho о и ́-~$ $\mu \in \nu 0$,

12 єis тò $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\alpha} s$ $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\zeta}_{i}^{\prime} \omega s$ то̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ тоरे ка入ov̀vtos
 $\kappa \alpha i \delta_{o ́ \xi} \alpha \nu$.

## REVISED VERSION.

11 Even as ye know how. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ as a father ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ his ${ }^{\text {d }}$ own children, we exchorted fyou, Eeach one 'of you, and hencomaged, and iadjured,

12 That ye should walk "in a manner worthy of God, who "calleth you into his mown kingdom and glory.
 \&c.) correspondence between the persunal attainments of the Apostlc in Christian character, and the earnestness of his efforts to promote similar attainments in his brethren.-E. V., ch. $3: 12 ; 4: 5$; Rom. $4: 6 ; 2$ Cor. $1: 14 ; 3: 18$ (here the later editions improperly mark even as a supplement );-Latin verss. (sicut or quemadmodum), Germ. (wie denn): Italian verss. (siccome) ;-Mack. (as also), Stolz (so wie auch), All., De W., Lünem., (as Gcrm.), Peile ('precisely as, just as'), Von der H. (ebenso wie).
 participles by B.;-Mack. and Thom. (though they err in supplying a verb, we addressed, exhortcd, before crery one of you), Conyb., Turnb.;-besides nearly all foreign verss.
© There is nothing for $d o t h$ in W., T., G., B.;-foreign verss. generally ;-Wesl., Wakef.: Mack., Pemn, Conyb., Murd., Kenr.
${ }^{d}$ See v. 7, N. i. In a passage of so great tenderness and fervour, the words are to be allowed all the emphasis that they will properly carry.-Bens., Wesl., Mich., Mack., Pemn, Conyb., Turnb.
e Of the many ways in which this verse has been construed, I prefer that which, simply supplying ezzevíg nuev from v. 10 to the participles, regards them all as bearing directly on the $\varepsilon$ is
 object int: immediate dependence on itself, as being the leading or, so to speak, the generic word. Peile even makes rai $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu v \vartheta$. หкi $\mu \propto \rho \tau v \rho$. strictly epexegetical: in words both of encouragement and of solemn admonilion.
${ }^{t}$ Both prononns ( $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} s, \tilde{v} \mu \tilde{\sigma}$ ) are retained, though with some arbitrariness of construction, by W., C., R.;-Syr., Vulg., Fr. M. ;-Ambrosiast., Fab., Erasm., Musc., Vat., Mont., Zanch., Schmidt, B. and L., Wesl., Mich., Wakef., Mack., Thom., Greenf., Gösch., Schott, Penn, Sharpe, Murd., Kenr., Peile, Von der II. See N. g, and comp. Rev. 2: ©3, N. a.

 among so many to omit not one, small or great, rich or poor.' And so Occum.-W. (each of you);-Sharpe, Conyb. (each one among you), Murd., Peile (each individual among you), Turnb. (at 2 Thess. $1: 3$ ). Comp. Ref. $21: 21$, N. d.
b This sense of пugapviouvareo (for which see Pass.) is required here by its position between the other two participles, and by the relation which, along with them, it bears to the next verse (see N. e).-Calv. (monuerimus), Baumg. ('zureden . . . bedentet Uebcrredungen, Vorhaltung ron Bewegungsgründen'), Koppe, Ros., ('inest vis cohortandi, admonendi'), Krause (gebeten). Stolz (aufgefordert), Van Ess (angercgl). Mey. (aufgemuntert), De W. (ermuntcrten), Bloomf. (to persuade), Conyb. (entreated), Liinem. (zurcden, ermahnen, ermuntern), Peile (sce N. e), Turnb.;-Schleus. (excite verbis, cohortor, admoneo), Green (to cheer, exhort), Rob. (to exhort, to encouragc). See ch. $5: 14$, N. e.
${ }^{i}$ R. (have adj.) ;-Fr. M., B. and L., (use conjurer); -Latin verss. generally (nse obtestor;-Cacc. contestantes), Mart. (scongiurando), Krause, Stulz, Van Ess, Gossner, All., De W., (use beschwören;-Lünem. and Koch biltend beschwörcn), Conyb. Most other verss., English and fureign, have such words as testor, protestor, testificor (Vulg.), bezeugen, to witness, to testify, \&c.-Only here and Acts $26: 22$ is нкртири́онає fonnd in the N. T. in either of thesc unclassical uses; and in both places some (in the present instance, Beng., Schott, Bloomf., Tisch.) edit forms of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau$ v́o $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
' W. ;-Dodd., Mack., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Kenr., Peile.Scholz, Lachm., Tisch., read тequtretzi\%.
jf W. (worthily to), Fr. S. (d'une manière digne de);-B. and L. (as Fr. S.), Mart. (in maniera degna di), Bens., Wakef., Sharpe, (worthily of), Newc. Nearly all foreign verss. retain the adverbial form. Comp. 3 John 6, N. c.
${ }^{k}$ This call of God is ever sounding in the ear (cll. $5: 24$ ), and stirring the heart ond life (Phil. 3:14), of the Church. A present tense is employed by Dt., It., French verss. ;-Fab., Zanch., Berlenburger Bibel, Newc., Thom., Güsclı., Schott. Sharpe, Conyb., Lünem., Peile:-an imperfect, by Pagn. Castal. :--a participle (voeantc), by Bez., Pisc., Cocc., Schmidt.
${ }^{1}$ E. V., Matt. $5: 20 ; 18: 8 ; 19: 24 ; 25: 21,23 ;$ \& c. ;-W., R. ;-Vulg. and most Latin verss. (in with the accosative) ;Berlenburger Bibel (in;-and so Beng., Moldenh., Stolz), Bens., Dodd., Wakef., Mack., Sharpe, Conyb., Turnb.
${ }^{m}$ See v. Il, N. d, \&c. Mont., Baumg., Mack., Scott, Conyb. Peile.

## KIN゙G JAMES' VERSION.

13 For this cause also thank We God without deasing, because, when ye received the word of God which ye heard of us, ye received it not as the

## greek text.

$13 \Delta \dot{\alpha}$ тои̃то ка̀ ij $\mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \chi \alpha-$
 ӧть тара入аßо́vтєs גó
 oú $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \omega ́ s$

## REVISED VERSION.

$13{ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ Therefore ${ }^{\text {owe, also, Pgive }}$ thanks to God without ceasing, because, when ye received the word of God s heard rfrom us, ye saccepted, ' not "men's word,
"Lachm. and Tisch. have xai before, as well as after, $\delta i$ ì zoṽzo.-An inferential or relative particle is employed for ס८ג тoũzo, by E. V., ch. 3: 7, and generally elsewhere; and here by W., R.;-almost all fureign verss.;-Wesl. (at ch. $3: 5$; - Thess. 2 : 11 ; \&c.), Bloomf., Conyb., (wherefore), Murd., Kenr. Pemn and Sharpe merely drop the word eause. See 3 Johm 10, N. u.

- The rai belongs neither to Suik toũzo nor to zizaototoĩuEv, but to riuct. (see 2 Pet. I. It, N. z, \&c.). Nor is the emphatic iffets (see 1 John $2: 20$, N. p, \&e.) opposed to the Thessalonians in the manner suggested by Zanch. (and Balduin): 'Non solum ros propter hanc rocationem debetis agere gratias, sed etiam nos': • Not you alone ought to give thanks for this calling, but we also;' nor to $i \mu \mu \tilde{a}_{s}$ of v. 12 (Schott); nor to 'every true Christian that hears of the deportment of the Thessalonians' (Lünem.) ; but, as I conceire, to the ifutis of v. 10, thus: 'Ye can testify how we lived and laboured among you; we, on the other hand, ire ever praising God for the result.' - For the above arrangement of the pronoun, particle, and predicate, see E. V., Eph. 1:15; Col. 1:9; \&c;-R.;Calv., Cunyb., Kem. Many others give the \%ai after ifrets.
${ }^{p}$ E. Y., ch. $1: 2 ; 5: 18 ; 2$ Thess. $2: 13$. and 23 times elsewhere ont of $34 ;-$ R. ;-Bens., Dodtl., Mack.: Thom., Conyb., Murd. Kenr., Turnb.
 other places, $=$ the tling heard, report, message ; and in the N. T. the word is uften (Matt. $4: 24 ;$ Juhn 12: 38 ; Rom. $10: 16,17$; Heb. $4: 2$; \&e.) employed in the same sense. At Heb. 4: 2, accordingly, a phrase very like the one before us is translated in E. V., 'the word preached;' and so, or similarly (the word wherewith God was preached, the word of the preaching of God, \&c ) is hojov reorfs explained here by T., G.;-Gernu., Dt., It., Fr. M. ;-Calv., Vat., B. and L., Molienh., Kranse, Mack., Thom., Stolz, Kistemaker: Gossner, All.. Mey., Flatt, De W., Conyb., Von der II., Turnb.;-Suic., Schöttg.. Rob., Schirl. We are not, however, to suppose that in any case $\dot{\alpha} \times o \dot{\eta}^{q}$ quite loses its own proper etymological force. Thus, it cannot be that in Rom. $10: 1 \overline{7}$, which is an inference from v. 16, the principal term at all changes its meaning; and yet many translators have preferred, as in E.V., to let go that identity, for the sake, probably, of bringing ont the equally obvious rerbal correspondence between vv. 17, 18. In like manner, in the passage before us, the original and always implied reference to the hearer so far predominates, as to control
 for this reason I retain the interpretation of E. V. Peile even treats áxoris, both here and Meb. $4: 2$, as in itself au abstract
genitive of the quality, or what he calls a 'characteristic genitive of relerence' $=$ 'God's uord as oljectively given to be heard-addressed to the outward car' (comp. Pelt, after Theophylact: verbum, quod audiendo creditur: 'the faith of which cometh by hearing'), and, of course, he agrees with such (W., 'T., C., G., R. ;-Syr., Vulg., Germ., It., Fr. M.;Ambrosiast., Oecum., Erasm., Musc., Pise., Grot., B. and L., Turret., Baung., Mack., Thom., Fritzsche, De W., Conyb., Murd., Kenr. Koeh) as conneet лa@ ripav with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ apór. $\tau \varepsilon$ s. But the transposition is rather violent ; and as little to be approved is the construction, which some adopt (Fr. S.;Mont., Schmidt, Baumg.) of tov $\Theta \varepsilon o v ̃$ as an epexegetical addition $=$ 'the word . . . that of God.' It is much better to legard roũ Groũ as directly qualifying and restricting the whole idea of the hóyov axoîs $\pi$ tago ruñ. - The atributive power of cerors is better preserved by means of a substantire or a participle, than by introducing a relative clause with a historical verb.

Dodd. and the later verss. See 1 John $3: 22$, N. f.

- Fur the superior force of $\delta$ ह́\%oнає to $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta$ rive sco ch. 1:6,N. a. The presence of another and a strouger worl is here recognized by the German verss. (genecally as in
 Dt., Italian verss.;-Ambrosiast., Est., Schmidt, (suscepistis;iustearl of the previous accepistis), Pagn. Mont., Bez., Pisc., Schott, (suhstitute excipere; Bez., to whom Zanch. and Raphel. assent: justifying the change thos: 'Est autem
 enim est interioris sensûs ea accipientis quae externis sensibus objicinntur, et ad judicii cognitionem transferentis, ut recepta
 vel repudict ac rejiciat': 'There is a great difference between тapri. and $\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \%$. For the former belongs to the inner sense, which takes the things that are presented to the external senses, and passes them over to the cognizance of the judgment, in order that thes may either be accepted, approved and embraced-and this is what is meant by $\delta_{\text {éxeo }}$ arat-or refused and rejected.'), Calv. (amplexi estis), Castal. (admisis(is), Bens., Mack., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Bloomf., Turnb., (embraced), Wolf, Beng. ('лapahaußáve dicit simplicem acceptionem: $\delta$ є́oure connotat prolubium in accipiendo': ' $\pi$ ueart. expresses the simple reception; $\delta \varepsilon \%$, a complacency also in the act.'), Koppe, Ros. ('Mrраддян $\beta$ árev', discere, edoceri. Jéxev9at, admittere, approbare.'), Pelt, Schott, Burt., l'eile (gave rcception to), Koch.
t Whether the ground of apostolic thankfuluess was merely, that the Thessalomians had accepted a word which was not

KING JAMES' VERSION.
word of men, but (as it is in truth) the word of God, which effectually worketh also in you that believe.

14 For ye, brethren, became followers of the churches of God which in Judea are in Christ Jesus: for ye also have suffered like things of your own countrymen, even as they lave of the Jews:

## GREEK TEXT.

$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$, $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu \quad \theta \epsilon o v$, òs каì èvefyeitau èv v̀цiv roîs $\pi t$ -


14 vi $\mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{-}$ $\theta \eta \tau \epsilon, \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \grave{c}, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$ ov́ō̂̀ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ 'Iov-

 $\sigma v \mu \phi \cup \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta \omega ̈ s$ каì aủтоì ஸ́тò т $\omega \nu$ 'Iov $\delta \alpha i \omega \nu$,

## REVISED VERSION.

but, as it is in truth, "God's word, ${ }^{\text {which }}$ walso worketh in you that believe.

14 For :ye, brethren, became simitators of the churches of God which a are in Judea in Christ Jesus; for ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ye also ${ }^{\text {b }}$ suffered the same things dfrom your own countrymen, 'even as ythey fafrom the Jews;
v Some (Vulg., Germ. ;-Mont., Schmidt, Beng., Wesl., Hofmann (Varia Sacra, Wittemberg, 1766), Mich., Koppe, Storr, Van Ess, All., Mey., Flatt, Sharpe, Conyb., Peile. Schott and Koch err in here citing Theodor.) refer ös to $\Theta_{e}$ ou, and this construction many others allow. But, I., the writer is here magnifying the word, by way of justifying his own continnal thanksgiving for the Thessalonian reception of it:-2. the common reference best accounts for the $\% \alpha i$ : 'As it is God's word, so also, and in a manner that befits and proclaims its "great Origiual," it worketh \&c.': -3 . wherever else in the
 found in the active voice.
${ }^{w}$ For the position and force of $\% a i$, comp. NN. $o_{2} v$, and 2 Pet. I: It, N. z, \&c.
$\times$ By some ervegeitac is taken for a passive verb; c. g. Est. ('agilur, exercetur, incitatur . . . ad omne genus piarım actionum'), Llamm., Bishop Bull, (is accomplished, perficitur). Schott, Bloomf., (efficax redditur, is made effectual). But commentators generally regard this and similar forms of the word, wherever they occur in Paul's writings, as in the middle voice. with an active, or middle ( $=$ shows itself operative), signification. Comp. 2 Thess. 2:7, N. j.——For the omission of effectually (first introduced by Calv., effeaciter;-B. effectuously), see E. V., I7 times out of 2 I ;-W., T., C., G., R. ;-Latin and German verss. generally, Dt.;-Bens., Greenf., Burt. (shews itself by actual proof), Sharpe, Conyb. (works inwardly), Kenr., Peile (is even now making Himself felt;-see N. u).
${ }^{y}$ Here the emphasis in $\dot{ข} \mu \varepsilon \tau_{5}, \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \tau_{s}, ~ a \cup ̀ v o i, ~ i s ~ b r o u g h t ~ o n t, ~$ in the first instance, by the transposition of $\dot{\alpha} \delta \delta_{\varepsilon} \lambda . q o i$ (see

I John 4: 4, N. k, \&c.); in the other instances, by the strong antithesis of the two clauses (see I John 3:24, N. j. \&c.)

- See ch. I: 6, N. z, \&c.
- The Greek order is retained in W., R. ;-Latin and Italian verss. (except Güsch.), Fr. M. ;-Wells, Dodd., Mack., Thom., Penn, Sharpe, Keur., Turnb.
b Historical time is given by Dodd., Wesl., Kranse, Thom. Stolz, Mey., Sharpe, Conyb., Murd.
c W., R.;-Latin, Italian, and French verss. (except Fr. S.) Germ., Dt. ;-Dodd., Wesl., Baumg., Moldenh., Wakef., Thom. Stolz, All., Penu, Sharpe, De W., Bloomf., Lünem. (das Nämliche), Kenr., Von der II.-For $\tau \alpha v \dot{\tau} \alpha$, all the recent editors (except Theile) read $\tau \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$.
${ }^{d}$ Bens. and later English verss.
e According to the general rule determining the reference of $\% \alpha i$, when used emphatically (see 2 Pet. I : I4, N. $z, \& \mathrm{c}$. and Rev. 2: 27, N. v, \&c.), this idiomatic repetition bears, not on $\varkappa \alpha \vartheta \omega$, but on aitoi; and so it is construed and translated here by R. (as they also);-Syr., Latin verss. (except Castal., Gösch.), Fr. M.;-Wesl. (as they likewise), Mart., Mack. and Bloomf. (at ch. 3 : 4), Stolz, Van Ess, All., De W., Murd. (as also they), Kenr. (as cven they), Yon der H. Some-
 introduces an illustration of a negative clause (e. g. ch. $4: 5$, I3; \&c. Comp. E. V., cb. $5: 6$; Eph. $4: 17$; \&c.), the idiom cannot well be transferred. In such cases, I either dispense with the xai, or say: cven as, by way of compensation.
; There is no supplement in W., R.;-Wesl., Murd., Kenr.; and nearly all foreigu verss.

[^0]KLNG JAMES VERSION.
15 Who both killed the Lord Jesus, and their own prophets, and have persecuted us; and they please not God, and are contrary to all men:

16 Fobbidding us to speak to the Gentiles that they might be saved, to fill up their sins always: for the wrath is

## GREEK TEXT.

 $\kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \prime \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \eta \sigma о \hat{v} \nu$ каі тоùsioíous
 $\tau \omega \nu$, кג兀 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$

$16 \kappa \omega \lambda$ vóvт $\omega \nu$ í $\mu \alpha \hat{\alpha}$ тоîs ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \nu \epsilon-$ $\sigma \iota \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ìva $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, єis тò $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \lambda \eta p \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha s$

## REVISED VERSION.

15 Who ${ }^{\text {E }}$ also killed the Lord Jesus and ${ }^{\text {b }}$ their own prophets, and ' persecuted Jyou, and they please not God, and 'are contrary to all men, ${ }^{k}$
$16{ }^{1}$ Hindering us to speak to the Gentiles, that they may be saved, to fill up their sins always: mbut the wrath "is


#### Abstract

${ }^{5}$ I. E. Y. follows G., R., B., and is followed by sereral other, especially English, rerss. But the accumulation of charges renders improper this rendering of xal, when construed with the participle. -. If translated both, it should be attached to qòz Kipor, as in W.;-laumg., Penn, Conyb. 3. Both these methods are arbitrarily combined by Erasm.. Musc., Vat.: qui ut et Dominum occiderunt Jesum. et proprios prophetas, ita et nos \&c.: 'who, as they slew both the Lord Jesus and their orn propbets. so also us '\&c. 4. The particle is treated as intensire, $=$ ecen, by the French rerss. (qui ont même \&c.), Mich. (den Herrn selbst), Tan Ess (sogar), Mey. (ja selbst Jesum). Schott (adeo). But this proportionally enfeebles the rest of the indictment. 5. For also ( $q . d$.


 'impelled by the very same spirit'), see Germ., Dt. ;-Moldenh., All., Flatt, De W., Liinem., Von der 11.s Bloomf. brackets, and Wells and all the other recent editors (except Beng., Matth., Schott) cancel, the word idion's, (n) the authority of A.B.D*.E.F.G. and many cursive MSS. Vulg., \&e.; Tertull. also asserting (Adv. Marc. V. I5.), that it was heretically introduced (adjectio haeretici). De W., on the other hand, thinks that it may have been dropled either
 sive to the anti-gnostic spirit, and commends Schott for retaining it. If genuine, the emphasis plainly is: 'those whom they themselves now claim and glory in as their pro-
 very bnoks they now parade.') A marginal note, howercer, might be admitted, thus: 'Or, as many read, the prophets.'

; For the time, see W.;-Krause, Wakef., Thom., Penn, Murd., Kenr., Yon der H.-The E. V. marginal rendering, chased us out, is supported by It.. Fr. M.;-P'agn., Castal., Bez., Pisc., Dt. Ann., Cocc., Berlenburger Bibel, Beng., Baung., Mich., Wakef., Conyb., Peile, Koch ;-Schirl. ; and it is certainly an error to say (Koppe, De W.) that $\bar{\varepsilon} \approx \delta \iota(\omega \times \omega$ is no more than סw\% Mack. : greatly persecuted.
${ }^{1}$ For the Stephanic vi $\mu \tilde{a}_{s}$, Erasm., Bez., the Elzerir, Wells and all recent editors have $\dot{r}_{i} \mu \overline{\alpha_{s}}$, which 1 recommend for adoption: us.——Wakef. gives are as a supplement.

* The absence of a \%ai (which many verss. erroneously supply) before \%odvont ov $^{\prime}$ (r.I6) tends to show, that the last clause of this verse is not dirccted independently, and in general, against 'the
morose and unsocial bigotry of the Jews respecting all Gentiles' (Scott)-adversus omnes alios hostile odium (Tacitus)—of which the heathen, indeed, often complained, but which, at least in some of its supposed manifestations, would be differently regarded by a IJebrew of the IIehrews; but is rather itself immediately explained and justified by v. I6. Wilfully to obstruct the preaching oi the Gospel to the nations is, in the riew of an Apostle, to act the part of an enemy of the human race (Chrysost.. Tbeodor., \&c.).-The rerse ends with a comma in almost all editions of the Greek Text, and F . ;-Tulg.;-Erasm., Castal., Musc., Thom., Sharpe, Conyb., Keur., Peile, Von der II. Sce especially the commentaries of Nusc., Schott, Lüncm.
${ }^{1}$ ' By cvery means in their power.' See 3 John $10, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{c}$, \&c. C., B., (use to hinder;-and so Wells, Bene., Mack., Them.: Bloomf, Conyb., Turnb.). R. (prohibiting;-and so Penn);Syrr. (as in Rom. I : 13), Latin rerss. (use prohibere, obsistere, impedire ;-except Castal., velantes), German verss. (use uchren. hindern, ubhalten, or a compound of hindern), Dt. (verhinderen), French verss. (use empêcher) :-'Iheophylact (द̨̇uzadełárev), Wakef. (not suffering), Peile (throwing every impediment in the way);-Bretseh. and Wahl $=$ Green and Liob. (to hinder, to prevent, to restrain). Nor are we to take the present participle here as implying no more than a wish or an attempl to hinder (Moldenh., Kranse, Stolz, Mey., Flatt, De W., Conyb.). They did hinder, and contimued to hinder, though they did not succeed in stopping.-For the change in the rendering of owi๗uv, see W. (simply be), R.;-Wesl., Mack., Synonds, Newc., Thom., Murd., Kenr.;-aud almost all foreign rerss. See I Pet. I: 7, N. k.
m 'Although the patience of God bore with them so long.'
 where, indeed, is $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}=\gamma \dot{Q} \rho$. Sce 2 Pet. I : 5, N. r.-Syr.;Ambrosiast., Erasm., Musc., Vat., Mont., Zanch., Cocc., Schmidt, Wells, Bens., Dold., Wesl., Banmg. and the later German verss., Wakef., Mack., Thom., Gösch., Penn, Sharpe, Bloomf., Conyb.;-TVin. p. E3I.
$n$ 'There is nothing now between it and them. It has not yet consumed them; but it is already kindled, and will con-
 owoac. If the latter is, or may be couccived of as, historical, then so also the former. Comp. Matt. I2:20: 'If it be a fact, that I am working these miracles by a Divine power

KING JAMES' VERSION.
come upon them to the uttermost.

17 But we, brethren, being taken from you for a short time in presence, not in heart, endeavoured the more abundantly

## GREEK TEXT.




17 'Hиєís $\delta \grave{\epsilon}, \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \grave{\prime}, \dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho-$ $\phi \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ к \alpha \iota-$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$ दे $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ خò

## REVISED VERSION.

come upon them oto make an end.

17 But we, brethren, phaving been qbereaved of you for ra short time, $r$ in presence, not in heart sthe more abundantly eudea-
then another fact, in which yon profess to feel a deep interest, must already have happened, little as you were aware of it;
 a use of the aorist is rather Greek than English. But we are not therefore to say, that past time stands here for present (Grot., Baung., Krause, Wakef. and Boothr. [is overtaking], Mack., Thom., [is coming]. All., Mey., Pelt), or for futnre (Bens., Guyse: Koppe, Ros., Stolz, Flatt).——For ěq尹aoz,


- Gr. unto or for an end; but the ambiguity would be apt to mislead. The meaning of $\varepsilon l_{0} \tau$ thos is not everywhere the same, but depends to some extent on the context. In the present instance it has been very varionsly interpreted; by some,
 $\varepsilon$ eis tédos (Castal, extrema ira; Vat., 'ira in aeternum, id cst, vindicta extrema et implacabilis;' Koppe, 'supplicia extrema, h. extremo mundi tempore exstitura, vel' [and so Flatt] 'secundum Hebr. $\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{i}=7$ poenae gravissimae, nee nisi cum ipso hominum interitu eessaturae. Dan. 9:27. cf. Num. 17: 13. Jos. 8 : 24. $10: 20$. ; Wakef., 'Tumb., complete, final, punishment; Olsh., wrath which shall work on to its full manifestafion; \&e.) ; by others, as belonging adverbially to zequaar, with the sense of $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda_{o s}$, vò $\tau \dot{\prime} \lambda_{0}$, finally, at lengoth, at last (Germ.;-Bens., Ros., Mack., Thom., Stalz, Van Ess, Mey., $d \mathrm{c}$. ), or of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \omega \mathrm{~s}$, thoroughly, utterly (Camerar, IIombergk, Bretsch., Burt., Troll., Koch, \&c.). Preferring the verbal connection, we shall still do better to take $\varepsilon l \mathrm{~s}$ as marking the issue, scope, or limit, of the wrath that now lighted on unbelieving, persecuting Israel; that being either an end, consummation, exhaustion, of the wrath itself (comp. Olsh. above.
 -oborn, and signifies: even to its [the wrath's] end, that is, the wrath of God has come upon them to its extreme limit, so that it must now discharge itself; now must judgment take the place of the previous long-suffering and patience. ${ }^{2}$ ), or an cnd, utter destruction, of the objects of it (the common pxplanation). E. V. goes beyoud the Greek in asserting, or too strongly suggesting, that the worst, if not the whole, had already happened.-W. (in to the ende), R. (even to the end);-Syr. ( $=$ Vulg. usque in finem), Dt. (tot het einde), Fr. M. (jusqu' au boul), Fr. S. marg. (pour la fin);-Ambrosiast., Fab., Calv., (as Vulg.), Erasm., Muse., Vat., Mont., Cocc., Schmidt, (in finem), Hamm., Penn, (to the end), Berlenburger Bibel, Mich., izum Ende), All., Kist., (bis zu Ende), De W. (zum Garaus), Dav. (to the making an end of them), Conyb. marg. (to make
an end of them), Peile ('to make an end of both their place and nation'), Von der H. ('und bleibt bis zum Ende').
${ }^{p}$ Dt., Fr. S. ;-Newc., Penn, Conyb., Turnb.
${ }^{9}$ Gr. orphanel. Chrysost. (Ep. ii. ad Olymp. 12.): oudè





 torn from you, or distant, or absent, but: bercaved [orphaned] of you. He sought for a word that might fitly indicate his mental anguish. Though standing in the relation of a father to them all, he yet utters the language of orphan children that have prematurely lost their parent.' And so Oecum. : ȧrop.
 'orphaned, for, left orphans by you.'-Syr. (as at John 14:18, where the Greek is ópg $\alpha_{2} \circ o i$ ), Germ. (beraubet), Dt. (beroofd), It. (orbati);-Fab. and subsequent Latin rerss. (as IL.), Berlenburger Bibel (als ein Fater der Kïnder beraubt), Banmg., Doldenh., Flatt, (as Germ.), Wakef., Newc., All. (durch Trenming verwaist), Clarke (bereft of children), Greenf. (as Gen. 43 : Jt), Penn, Sharpe, De W. and Lïnem. (verw.), Darn., Murd., Peile (torn from . . . and ber.), Von der II. (getremat und wie verw.);-Rob. ('bereaved and separated'), \&e.;several, it will be observed, retaining also the idea of remoral, involved in the strong constructio praegnans of the Greek. In wain would Pyle and Mich. insist that the Apostle speaks, not of himself, but of the Thessalonians, as orphaned. The other representation, which alone suits the grammar and agrees best with the context, is also the more tender and delicate of the two.
r Gr. an hour's time.-A comma after time is the punctuation not only of our Text, and of the great majority both of editions and rerss., but also of the original E. V.
- Many (Castal., B. and L., Turret., Dodd., Mich., Koppe, Ros., Newc., Pelt, Sharpe, Olsh., Bloomf., Conyb., Turnb.) treat mepoooozépus as a superlative or emphatic positive. But, while the expression is a frequent one with Paul, ho never so uses it. As strictly comparative, however, it has been explained in very different ways; e.g. Oecum.: $\bar{\eta}$ ws
 expected of those so recently separated.' So also Theophylact. But if, as Luncm. objects, there is too little psychology (un.

KING JAMES' VERSION.
to see your face with great desire.

18 Wherefore we would have come unto you, even I Paul, once and again: but Satan hindered us.

19 For what is our hope, or joy, or crown of rejoicing? Are

GREEIS TEXT.
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{i} \delta \in i \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \pi \partial \lambda \hat{i} \hat{1}$ є̇төturía.

18 Siò $\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$

 o इaravâs.



## REVISED VERSION.

voured to see your face, , with great desire.

18 Wherefore we "wished to come unto you, even I Paul, w both once and again; ${ }^{\text {and }}$ Satan ythwarted us.

19 For what is our hope, or joy, or crown of "glorying? "Or
psychologisch) in this, his own view, adopted from Schott: 'the more, as the separation had been so recent,' errs just the other way; to say nothing of the awkward implication, that the lapse of time would abate, or had already abated, the apostolie fervour. Others (Luther. Musc., Zanch.. Bretseh., De W., Baumgarten-Crusius, Koel) lay the stress on or xapSiaq: 'the more, because still with you in heart ' (with which Zanch. joins an erroneons interpretation [given also by Balduin and Turret.] of roòs \%acoò" (ows as $=$ suddenly). But. besides that, had the separation been in heart, there would
 merely as an incidental, parenthetical correction of the main
 mond's suggestion, cited by Lünem.: 'magis et ardentius conati sumus, quum sciremus pericula, in quibus versaremini' ${ }^{\prime}$ : 'the more fervently did we endeavour, as kuorwing the perils that beset Jon.' (Comp. Phil. 1:14.) To this, indeed, Luinem. objects, that it has wothing in the context to lean upon. But see r .14 ; and he himself goes back still farther (v. 13), to account for the $\eta_{j \mu \varepsilon \tau_{s}}$ of this verse; which, with the adversative $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, could be quite as rell explained thus: 'As for us, so far from being deterred by our own experience of sulfiering for the Gospel's sake, or by our knowledge of yours, we so mueh the more \&c.' But, perhaps, it is sufficient to say with Calv. (and so Aret., It. Ann., Gill, Win., \&ce.), 'adeo non imminutum fuisse amorem discessu, ut magis accensus fuerit ': that the writer's 'love, instead of being lessened by absence, was rather the more inflamed thereby ;' especially whes it is also observed that the word ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \rho \varphi \alpha \nu_{0}$ ), in whiel the separation is alluded to, expresses even more strongly the feeling of desolation (Vulg. and Ambrosiast. desolati) whieh it cansed.-The Greek order is retained by Bens., Mack., Newe., Thom., Boothr., Penn, Sharpe, Murd., Peile (though most of these, employing a compound tense, put the auxiliary have or did before the adverb), and many foreign verss.
t While it is not well, for the sake of avoiding so very slight a risk of ambiguity, to lose, as many do, the beautiful emphasis that belongs to $z_{0} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ eravvuico by position, a comma is here inserted by Dt., It.. Fr. M. ;-Erasm., Musc., Bez., Zauch., Pisc., Cocc.. Baumg., Moldenh., Murd̉., Peile.
u For $\delta_{i o ́}, \mathrm{Mey}$, and Lachm. read diótı.
 a distinet act of the will, a purpose, appears in Bens.; Wakef.,

Nerre. (but insuffieiently: have been willing), Thom., Penn, Sharpe, Bloomf., Conyb., (but too strongly, and with a quite unnecessary amplification: 'would have returned to visit you, and strove to do so'), Murd., Turnb. ;-and in all foreign verss. Peile: would fain have.
w W., T., C.;-Castal., Musc., Mont., Grot. nempe, Timotheus et silas semel ('Timothy and Silas onee'), Coce., Schmidt, B. and L., Baumg., Rus., Mey., Flatt, Gösch. Conyb., follow our Text, and that of Beng., Hahn, Laclum., Tiseh., in
 The other and more common punctuation presents the clause,
 for the purpose either of identifying the $\dot{\eta}_{\mu \varepsilon \tau} \bar{s}$, or of giving a distinct and unequirocal assurance, that what was true in general of the Apostolic company, was, to the writer's own knowledge, espeeially and cuphatieally true of himself. Compl.

 again, repeatcdly (Storr, Opusc. Acad. i. 365, Flatt, Schott, De W., Lunem., Koch. See Raphel. on Phil. 4:16. Many, however, including the lexioons, disregard this distinction, though Schleus. thinks that in the present instance the number is definite.). The first $\approx \alpha i$ is rendered by the Tulg. and most other Latin verss., Fr. S. ;-Baung. and Lünem. (sowohl einmal als [auch] zweimal), Mart., Wakef., Newe., Flatt (nicht nur cinmal, sondern zweimal;-and so De W., Koeh), Kenr., Peile.
x 'And Satan-nothing less;'-an additional confirmation both of the reality and the strength of the purpose. See 1 John 2: 20, N. o, \&c.-Syr., Germ.;-Erasm., Calv., Nuse., Yat., Mont., Cocc., Stolz, Gösch., Lünem., Peile, Von der H.
y T., C., G., (withstood) ;-Erasm., Calv., Muse., Vat., (obstitit ;-for the Vulg. impedivit), Bens., Pyle, Kear., Turnb., ([hath] prcrented), Wakef. (came in our way), Thom. (obstructed), Bloomf. (thwartcd our purpose).
${ }^{2}$ E. V. marg.; 2 Cor. $7: 4$; comp. Rom. $15: 17$. In 6 instances out of $12 \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{V}$. has boasting. And the same variation
 (glory) ;-Wells, Blackwall, Bens., Guyse, Wakef. (triumph), Mack., Newe., Boothr., Sharpe (boasting): Barn., Conyl. (wherein I glory), Murd. All foreign verss. = glory or glorying.

- 'No wonder, that we should thus long after you. For what, in the day of Christ, is our peculiar crown as ministers

KING JAMES' VERSION.
not even ye in the presence of our Lord Jesus Christ at his coming?

20 For ye are our glory and joy.

## CHAP. IIT.

Wherefore, when we could no longer forbear, we thought it good to be left at Athens alone;

2 And sent Timotheus, onr brother, and minister of God,

## GREEK TEXT.


 тov̂ $\pi \alpha \rho 00 \sigma i ́ a q ;$
 $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ к人̀̀ $̀ ̀ \chi \alpha \rho \alpha ́$.

CHAP. III.
 $\sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \bar{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ '̇v' A $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha u s$

$2 \kappa \alpha \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \mu \in \nu$ Tч $\mu \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon о \nu \tau o ̀ \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі̀ $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \kappa о \nu о \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v}$

REVISED VERSION.
are not ye balso, c before our Lord Jesus "Christ at his coming?

20 For ye are our glory and joy.

## CHAP. III.

Wherefore, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ when we could no longer bendure, we thought ' good to be left din Athens alone,

2 And sent ${ }^{\text {e Timothy, our }}$ brother, and 'minister of' God,
of Christ? What but sinners, through our instrumentality converted from the crror of their way? (James 5:20. Comp. 2 Cor. I: 14; Phil. 2:16; 4:1; Dan. 12:3; \&c.) Or is there any doubt that you are of that number?'-E. V. frequently errs in treating $\eta$ 'as a mere mark of interrogation (Matt. 26:53; Rom. 3:29;6:3; dc.), though generally it translates it as above; see Matt. $7: 4,9$; Rom. 2:4; 1I: 34, 35 ; \&c.;-Dt. (en;-omitted in the later edition) ;-Mont., Olsh., De W., Lünem. ;-Win. (oder etwa), Rob. (says of $\eta_{\eta}$ interrogative: 'The primary siguification or is strictly retained, or whelher? or if perhaps? an forte?'). Some (Syr.;-Baung., Van Ess Mey., Pelt, Von der H.) arbitrarily make $\hat{\eta}$ oủx $i=$ è $\alpha \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$, nisi, if not.
$b$ ' No less thau other churches, to which we sustain similar relations.'-In this sense do Chrysost. and Oecum. understand the rai; and so likewise the Latin and German commentaries explain et, ctiam, auch, of the verss. (except Vulg., Ambrosiast., Castal., All., which, like the Syr., omit wai. Tertull. has it, De Resurr. Carn. 24), Dt., It., Fr. S. ;-Whitb., Wells, Dodd., Wesl., Gill ('or ye also'), Mack., Bloomf.

- A comma is inserted after $\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \tau_{s}$ in all editions of the Text, except Matth. and Tisch. It helps to obviate such a misapprehension as that of Olsh. ( $q$. d. 'Shall ye not also stand before the Son of man?'), by suggesting the necessary sup-
 кrev.-It.;-Erasm., Musc., Vat., Pisc., Cocc., Wells and Sharpe (improperly treat $\hat{y}$ ovंxi $\alpha \propto i \hat{v} \mu \varepsilon \tau_{\varepsilon}$; as a parenthesis ), Moldenh., Wakcf., Penn, De W., Lūnem., Murd., Turnb.-For before, sce ch. I: 3, N. m, \&c.
d The word Xoıarov is bracketed by Knapp, and cancelled by Mey., Lachm., Tisch.
- 'The question I ask with a joyful confidence; for ye' ( $\mathfrak{f} \mu \varepsilon \tau_{\xi}$, whoever else) 'are ' (éoté, even now ;-so Musc., Whitb., Wells, Flatt. The objection of Pelt and Lünem., that the passage contains no indication of this distinction of time, is, perhaps, answered by the introduction of the present copula [which some, indeed, choose to translate as future], in connec-

$\varkappa \tau \hat{l}$ ] as determine the reference of the preceding verse. It is true, however, that the clause might be otherwise explained. thus: $Y e$ are what shall then be manifested as) 'our glory and joy.' Many (T., C., G., B. ;-Germ., Fr. M. ;-Pagn., B. and L., Bens., Mart., Mich., Krause, Wakef., Mack., Stolz, Van Ess, All., Mey., Flatt, De W., Troll., Scholef., Conyb., Lünem., Peile, Turnb., Koch ;-Win., Rob.) take $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ as intensive, yes, indeed, truly, de.
a Some verss., in retaining here the participial construction, fail to express the conditional or subjective $\mu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, and thus they convey or suggest a simply historical sense, which would
 $\mu \varepsilon v, \varepsilon v \delta \frac{\varkappa \dot{r} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu}{} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$.
- The verb aréy $\omega$ is used in various senses (for which see the lexicons), but in none that would here allow the interpretation: 'When we could no longer refrain from doing what we did.'-Escepting here and at v. 5 , the word occurs in the N. T. only in I Cor. $9: 12 ; 13: 7$, and there E. Y. renders it to suffer, to bear. In the present instance E. V. adopts the phrase of the older verss. (except W., suffered), and is followed only by Hamm. (but the paraphrase thus: 'Being no longer able to bear the want and desire of seeing $\left.\& c^{\prime}.\right)$, Wells, Sharpe. Other English verss. (excepting Conyb. being no longer able to restrain my desire, Peile could no longer contain [our feelings] $=$ Bez. nos non amplius contineremus, Turnb. containing) use to endure or to bear, sometimes with a supplement. such as our anxiety, our solicitude, \&c.; and the same general sense (sustinere, tolerare, ferre, ver- or ertragen, endurer, \&c.) pervades almost all the foreign verss. Hesych.:


- Wesl., Bloomf., Kenr. (thought well).
${ }^{d}$ See ch. 2: 2, N. i.
e See ch. 1: 1, N. b.
 Lachm., Tisch., Bloomf., read ovveoyòv qoũ Geoṽ. The words,
 Theile.


## KING JAMES' VERSION.

and our fellow-labourer in the gospel of Christ, to establish you, and to comfort you concerning your faith:

3 That no man should be moved by these afflictions: for yourselves know that we are aprointed thereunto.

4 For verily, when we were with you, we told you before that we should suffer tribulation; even as it came to pass, and ye know.

GREEK TEXT.

 $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \xi \alpha \iota$ vj $\alpha \hat{s} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \iota$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$,
$3 \tau \hat{\omega} \quad \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \alpha$ баivє $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \nu$ таís $\theta \lambda i ́ \psi \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha \iota s^{*}$ aủтоì º̀ $^{\rho} \rho$ о" $\delta \alpha \tau \epsilon$ öть єis тои̃то кєі́цє $\theta \alpha$.

4 кац̀ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ö $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho$ òs $\dot{v} \mu \bar{\alpha} s{ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$,
 $\theta \lambda i ́ \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, каӨ̀̀s каі є́ $\gamma$ ย́vєто каѝ olסatє.

## REVISED VERSION.

and our fellow-labourer in the gospel of Christ, to establish you, and to ${ }^{\text {E }}$ exhort "you 'concerning your faith,
 ${ }^{m}$ moved vin these aftlictions; for oye yourselves know that ${ }^{\text {p }}$ unto this we are appointed.

4 For aindeed, when we were with you, we foretold you that we sare to the afflicted; "as also it came to pass, and ye know.
${ }^{6}$ E. V., ch. 4:1; 5:14; 2 Thess. 3:12; \&c. Here this sense of exhortation, admonition, encouragcment, \&c., is especially proper, from the connection of the clause with F .3 (see there N. k). It appears in R. ;-Vulg., German and French verss., Dt. ;-Pagn., Calv., Castal., Mont., Bez., Zanch., Gruyse, Wakef., Mack., Coke, Scott, Gösch., Penn, Bloomf., Conyb., Kenr., Turnb. ;-Wahl, Schirl.
${ }^{n}$ The second $\tilde{v}_{\mu} \tilde{\alpha}_{s}$ is cancelled by Schott, Lachm., Tisch.
 - in the sense of $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$, or (as Lünem., Koch) in fazour of. Vulg. and Ambrosiast. pro.
) Lachm. and Tisch. hare no pause here, and all other recent editors (except Matth.) hare only a comma, which is found also in W., T., R.;-Yulg., Germ., Fr. S.;-Ambrosiast., Fab., Erasm., Calr., Castal., Musc., Vat., Cocc., Hamm., Schmidt. D. and L., Mich. and later German verss. (except Von der H.), Wakef., Sharpe, Conyb., Kenr. See v. 3, N. k.
k The object of Timothy's exhortation, expressed in a sort of dative of advantage. The harshness, however, of such a use of the dative of the infinitive has led to Cocc.'s interpretation: 'ad vos confirmandum-hoc verbo: Neminem \&c.'; and to Rückert's (according to the reading mentioned in 5.2 , N. h), q. d. 'to establish you, and to comfort [us] concerning your faith, by, in consequence of, no one \&c.'; as well as to the preference by others (Win., De W., Lünem., Koch) of Lachm. and Tisch.'s reading $\tau \grave{o} \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon^{\prime} v \alpha$, which, again, is rariously explained: 1. as gorerned by an $\varepsilon \ell$ to be supplied from r. 2 (Matth., cited by Lünem.) ;-2. as an absolnte accusative, quod attinet ad (Schott, Koch);-3. as dependent on $\pi$ repr-
 (Win.) ;-4. as in apposition to the whole of the preceding clanse descriptive of the apostolic aim, $\varepsilon l_{5}$ тò ormoīat i, $\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{s}$
 same idea (Lünem.).
${ }^{1}$ Guyse, Wakef., Thom., Conyb., (none of you), Wesl., Mack., Penn, Sharpe, Turnb. See Rev. 3:7, N. p, \&c.
${ }^{n}$ The common secondary sense of axivo, to flatter, cajole, is here retained in the passive voice by Fab. (adulationi
cederet), Aret. (though with a middle force in his comment: Non oportet se impiis conjungere simulando et dissimulando; non oportet in gratiam malorum mutare rultum.'), Elisner, Wolf, Beng., Baumg. (as allowable), Tittm.;-in the middle, by Bez. (as allowable: 'adblandiri, adversariis videlicet Erangelii'), Burt. (who gives the whole clause thus: By paying court to no one improperly).
${ }^{-}$Whether as the cause, or (see N.m) the occasion.-W., T. C., B., R. ;-Vulg., Germ., Dt., It., Fr. M.,-S. (au milieu de) ;-Fab., Erasm., Pagn., Calv., Musc., Vat., Mont., Aret., Tremell., Zanch., Cocc., Krause, Van Ess (unter), All., Pelt, De W., Lunnem., Kenr., Von der H., Turnb. (amidst), Koch.

- Sec ch. -: 1, N. b.
p A pronominal construction is employed by W. (in this thing), R. (to this) ;-Dodd. (to these), Newc., Coke, Tarnb., (as R.), Thom. (for this), Penn (to that end), Sharje (as above);-besides very many foreign verss. (in or ad hoc, a questo, \&c. $\mathrm{Dt} .=\mathrm{Wells}$, Wesl., hereunto, hereto).-The Greek order is retained by W.;-Whitb., Penn, Sharpe;besides most foreign verss.
${ }^{9}$ E. V., Phil. 2 : 27 ;-R. (even);-Bens.: Guyse. Dodd., Mack.: Kenr., ( $\alpha$ s R.), Wakef., Penn, Murd. (also), Turnb. (and, indeed; for $\approx \alpha i \gamma^{\circ} \rho$ ). No foreign version has the formal emphasis of E. V., but some such particle as et, auch, ja, \&c.
r E. V., 2 Cor. 13 : 2 ;-R.;-Bens., Wakef., Mack., Sharpe, Turnb.一xpochéरoucv, imperfect, used to foretell; which may be given in the margin. Such forewarnings were common with the Apostles. See 2 Thess. $2: 5$, N. g.
s The permanent and inevitable lot of Christians in this
 distinctly recognized by most verss.; as implying appointment, certainty, necessity, \&c., by Germ., Fr. M.,-S.;-Fab., Moldenh., Mich., Krause, Mack. (were to be ;-and so Sharpe, Murd.), Van Ess: Gossner, Lünem., Koch ;-as implying nearness, by Wakef. (were going to be), Thom. (should soon be). See Rev. $10: 7$, N. y, \&c. Fr. S. retains the present indicative, devons.
t E. V., 2 Cor. $1: 6 ; 1$ Tim. $5: 10$; IIeb. $11: 37 ;-$ Dodd.,
king James＇version．
5 For this cause，when I could no longer forbear，I sent to know your faith，lest by some means the tempter have tempted you， and our labour be in vain．

6 But now，when Timotheus came from you unto us，and brought us good tidings of your faith and charity，and that ye

## greek text．

 $\gamma \omega \nu$ ，＂̈ $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha$ єis тò $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \iota$ т̀̀ $\nu$ тíctiv $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega s$ є́ $\pi \epsilon i \rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu$
 $\gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau а є ~$ о ко́тоs $\grave{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ ．
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，каi є $\dot{v} \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon-$
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \alpha^{\alpha} \pi \eta \nu$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，ка̀ öт८ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$

## revised version．

$\overline{5}$＂Therefore，＂when I xalso could no longer yendure，I sent to know your faith，zlest aper－ haps the tempter thad tempted you，and our ctoil dshould eprove in vain．

6 But fjust now，${ }^{5}$ Timothy ${ }^{\text {b }}$ having come ${ }^{\text {ito }}$ us from you， and brought us good tidings of your faith and ilove，and that ye
${ }^{*}$ See ch．2：13，N．n，\＆c．
＊See v．1，N．a．
${ }^{x}$ Not：＇I，like you Thessalonians＇（v．6．So Schott，Olsh．）， but：＇I，no more than my companions．＇Comp．ch．2：18， N．w．－R．；－Syr．，Latin and Italian verss．（except Fab．，Castal．）， Germ．，Dt．，Fr．S．；－Whitb．，Dodd．，Mack．，Newc．，Mey．，Flatt and later German verss．，Greenf．，Pemn，Conyb．，Murd．Lïnem．， Kenr．．Koch；though many of these erroneously（see 2 Pet． $1: I 4, N . z, \& c$ ．）attach $x \alpha i$ to $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ voṽo or to the predicate． As little to be approved is Eadie＇s rendering，indeed；for which he cites such texts as Acts 26：29；Rom．3：7； 1 Cor．7：8，40；\＆c．
${ }^{7}$ See v．1，N．b．
＝Dt．；－Baumg．，Moldenh．，Van Ess，All．，Flatt，Schott， Fritzsche，De W．，Scholef．，Bloomf．，Lünem．，Von der II．；－ Bretsch．，Wahl，Green，Schirl．，Koch，translate ún tos as an indirect interrogative，dependent on $\gamma^{2}$ eavou：whether，whether perhaps，whether not perhaps．But I do not find that either the simple $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，which occurs so often，or $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega s$ ，which occurs other 11 times（and，excepting Acts $27: 29$ ，always in Panl＇s epistles），is ever thus nsed in the N．T．（thongh the $\mu$ rimes of Gal．2：2 is so explained by Usteri and Green）．And even here Fritzsche，De W．，Scholef．，Green，introduce the common rendering in the last clanse：＇lest our labour；＇and Koch re－ solves the supplied particle into＇dass doch oder damit doch nicht．＇

2 E．V．， 2 Cor．2：7；9：4（haply）．The same sense is found here in（besides nearly all those cited in N．z）$\Pi_{\text {．，T．，}}$ ， R．；－Vulg．，Germ．；－Calv．，Castal．，Mont．，Tremell．，Schmidt， Güsch．，Ǩenr．，Peile；－Rol．

1．On the mutnal relation of the two aorists，हैлєнчш ．． żєє́pagと，comp． 2 Pet．I ：16，N．g．－T．，C．，G．，B．；－Engl．Ann． （＇or，had＇），Newc．，Conyb．；－Green．The form of the pluper－ fect subjunctive appears in Germ．，Italian and French rerss．；－ Erasm．，Pagn．，Calv．，Castal．，Vat．，Tremell．：Bez．，Zanch． Schmidt，Bens．，Boothr．，All．，Gösch．，Penn，Fritzsche，De W．， Murd．，Turnb．
－See ch． $1: 3$, N．j，\＆c．
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fact of the temptation might be assumed；but the Apostle must still be doubtful and anxions as to the result．
 here nor elsewhere is the idea of the success of the temptation involved in жet९áSb［Hamm．，Whitb．，Mack．，Brown］，however it may sometimes be suggested by it．）－Bens．，Newc．（might）， Boothr．，Conyb．，Green．Of the others cited in N．b，au im－ perfect subjunctive is here employed by Germ．；－Tremell．， Zanch．，Schmidt，All．，Fritzsche，De W．
e Bens．，Green．But the sense of fieri，to be made，to be－ come，\＆c．，is given also by W．，T．（had been bestowed；－and so C．，G．And to the same effect Peile，should have been put forth），R．；－Wakef．，Mack．，Penn，Kenr．；－and foreign verss． generally．
${ }^{5}$＇In this classical sense，even now，now lately，recently，\＆c．， is a้oz taken by E．V．，Matt．9：18；and here by I．，C．，G．， $\mathrm{B}_{\text {：}}$ ；－French verss．；－Fab．and later Latin verss．generally， Guyse，Gill，Wakef．，Flatt，Pelt，Schott；－Schöttg．，Schleus． Wahl，Green，Rob．，Schirl．Many connect it with EスJóvios． Comp． 2 Thess．2：7，N．n．
${ }^{5}$ See ch．I：I，N．b．
${ }^{h}$ The participial construction is retained in one or both clauses，by R．；－Yulg．，Italian verss．，Fr．M．，－S．；－Mont．，Cocc．， Dodd．，Wakef．，Thom．，Penn，Turnb．
${ }^{1}$ The $\pi \rho \dot{o}_{s}{ }_{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha}_{s}$ comes first in W．，R．；－Syr．，Latin verss． generally，Germ．，Fr．M．；－Bens．，Dodd．，Wesl．，Banmg．，Mart．， Wakef．，Mack．，Thom．，Flatt，Penn，Sharpe，De W．，Bloomf．， Murd．，Kenr．，Peile，Von der H．，Turnb．；－the English verss． named（except R．）having also to．
${ }^{1}$ E．Y．， 6 times out of 8 in these two Epistles，and gener－ ally elsewhere；－T．，C．，G．；－Fr．S．（amour；－for the previous charitê）；－Fab．，Erasm．，Calv．，Musc．，Vat．，Schmidt，（dilec－ tionem；－for Vulg．charitatem．Gösch．and Schott use amor）， Bens．，Guyse，Dodd．and later English verss．（except Kenr．）． See $コ$ Pet． $1: 7$, N．a．

Wesl．，Mack．，Thom．，Murd．A passive verb is fmployed also by Syr．，Dt．，It．；－Pagn．，Castal．，Mont．，Bez．，Zanch．，Pisc．， Schmidt，B．and L．，Bens．，Baung．，Gösch．，Schott，Sharpe， De W．，Turnb．；most of these at the same time preserving the
affinity between $\vartheta \lambda \ell \varepsilon \varepsilon \vartheta \vartheta \alpha$ here and $\vartheta \lambda i \psi \varepsilon \sigma \iota$ in v． 3 ．This is done likewise by many others，as the Vulg．，whose phrase in the present instance，passuras nos tribulationes，is followed by the older English verss．
${ }^{u}$ See ch．2：14，N．e，\＆c．

KING JAMES' VERSION.
have good remembrance of us always, desiring greatly to see us, as we also to sce you:

7 Therefore, brethren, we were comforted over you in all our aftliction and distress by your faith :

8 For now we live, if ye stand fast in the Lord.

9 For what thanks can we render to God again for you, for all the joy wherewith we joy for your sakes before our God;

10 Night and day praying exceedingly that we might see your face, and might perfect that which is lacking in your faith?

## GREEK TEXT.

$\mu \nu \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \nexists \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau о \tau \epsilon$,
 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\epsilon} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{s} \dot{v} \mu \bar{\alpha} s$,

 $\theta \lambda i ́ \psi \in \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \eta \eta \mu \omega \nu$, $\delta \dot{\jmath} \tau \bar{\eta} S$ $\dot{v} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$.



9 тiva $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ єừ $\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau i ́ a \nu ~ \delta v \nu \alpha ́-~$ $\mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \tau \hat{\omega}$ Ө $\theta \hat{\varphi} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \delta o \tilde{v} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \pi \epsilon p i ̀$
 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ dí $\dot{\text { juas }}{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \rho \rho \sigma \theta \in \nu \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$,
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o v ̂$ ठєónєvo兀 $\epsilon i s ~ t o ̀ ~ i o ́ \epsilon i ̀ v ~$ ¿цল̄̀ tò $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau i-~}$ $\sigma \alpha l ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \bar{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ $\dot{\nu} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu ;$

## REVISED VERSION.

have good remembrance of us always, kearnestly desiring to sce us, keven as we also to see you;

7 Therefore 1 we were comforted, brethren, mon your aecount, ${ }^{\text {in }}$ all our ${ }^{\circ}$ affliction and distress, by your faith:

8 For now we live, if ye stand fast in the Lord.

9 For what thanks can we render to God ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ concerming you, pfor all the joy wherewith, we rejoice for your rsake before our God;

10 Night and day praying -very exceedingly that we imay see your face, and u make up "the deficiencies of your faith?

[^1]
## MING JAMES' VERSION.

11. Now God himself and our Father, and our Lord Jesus Christ, direct our way unto you.

12 And the Lord make you to increase and abound in love one toward another, and toward all men, even as we do toward you:

13 To the end he may stablish your hearts unblamable in holiness before God, even our Father, at the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ with all his saints.

## GREEK TEXT.

11 Av́ròs dè ó $\theta \epsilon$ òs каı̀тат̀̀ $\rho$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, каі̀ ó Kv́pıos $\eta \mu \omega \bar{\omega}{ }^{\prime} I \eta \sigma o v ̄ s$ Xpıбтòs, катєvقv́val тウ̀ $\nu$ ó סò $\nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha}{ }^{\text {s. }}$

12 v́⿲âs $\delta є$ ò K Kúplos $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \alpha$ $\sigma \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ т \hat{\eta}$ а’ $\gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta$ єis $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta$ ǵdovs каi єis $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s, \kappa \alpha-$ Өর́тєр каі̀ $\eta \mu \epsilon i s$ єis $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$,

13 єis тò $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \xi \alpha \iota \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} s$
 $\epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ тои $\theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s$

 $\tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma i ́ \omega \nu \alpha \dot{u} \tau o \hat{v}$.

## REVISED VERSION.

11 wBut xmay sour God and Father, and our Lord Jesus ${ }^{2}$ Christ, shimself direct our way unto you:
$12{ }^{\text {² }}$ And you, ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ may the Lord make to increase and abound in love toward done another, and toward eall, even as we falso toward you;
$13{ }^{\text {s }}$ That he may ${ }^{\text {n }}$ establish your hearts unblamable in holiness before ${ }^{\text {i our God and Father, }}$ at the coming of our Lord Jesus ${ }^{3}$ Christ ${ }^{\text {b }}$ with all his boly ones.
 (autem, vero, aber), Kenr.

* Wakef, and later English verss.
${ }^{r}$ See cll. I : 3, N. n, \&c.
${ }^{2}$ The word Xocotós, bracketed by Schott, is cancelled by Lachm. and Tisch.
* As the singular racevधivact (by which, says Athanasius
 viov हैuvikesev: 'he guarded the oneness of the Father and the Son'), so aúrás also refers to both Persons as one in will and action. It is even construed as an independent personal pronoun, to which ó $\Theta e \dot{o}_{s}$. . . Xéofotós then stands in apposition, thns: may He or may He himself, God our Father [or God and our Father, or our God and Father] and our Lord \&c., by Germ.;-Baumg., Moldenh., Thom., Stolz, Mey., Flatt, De W., Stier, Yon der I.., Koch. Some (T., C., G. ;-Syr., It.;Dodd., Mack., Van Ess, Conyb.), connecting (as E. Y.) aixús with $\delta$ ©eós alone, either suppress the raí, or (Bens., Dodd., Mack.) render it by even, thus: may God himself, [cven] our Father \&c. But the $\delta$ belongs equally to $\pi \alpha x i f$, and $\mathfrak{y} \mu \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ equally to ó Oeós. Others (Fr. S.;-Wesl., Newc., Penn, Slarpe, Kenr., Turnb.) attach divós to the whole clause $\delta \Theta_{\varepsilon \delta \dot{c}_{s}}$ xai лazท̀̀ $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{v}$, thus: may our God and Father himself, \&c. In preference to all these methods, I regard os $\Theta_{\varepsilon \text { às }}$. . Xoptarós as one complex subject, emphasized by citòs.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The viuãs $\delta e ́$ is to be explained thus: 'Such is our prayer for oursclves; but ( $\delta$ é. See 2 Pet. 1:5, N. r.) you, whether Te come or not (Beng.: sive nos veniemus, sive minus), \&c.' All Latin verss. (except Bez. and Pisc.) have vos autem or vero; all Germ. verss., Euch aber. In English, the antithesis is sufficiently preserved by the above arrangement.
c See v. II, N. x.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Bens., Dodd., Wakef., Penn, Turnb., (each other), Wesl., Mack., Thom., Conyb., Murd. See ch. $5: 11$, N. k and Rev. 11: IO, N. v.
e Whether all men (as most) or all Christians (Theodoret), is not determined by any supplement in Latin and Italian verss., Dt., Fr. M.,-S.;-Moldenb., Krause, Wakef., Mack., Stolz, Van Ess, All., Flatt, Greenf., De W., Kenr., Turnb. See Rev. 19 : 18, N. s.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ See ch. 2:1I, N. a and I4, N. e, \&c.——For the omission of do, see W., B., R. ;-Mack., Kenr.;-besides various foreign verss.
${ }^{\text {g }}$ E. V., v. 10 ; \&c.;-T., G., B., R., (a simple infinitive, as E. V. at v. 2 ;-and so Kenr.), C. ;-Bens., Wesl., Wakef. (so as to ;-and so Thom., Peile), Mack., Newc., Boothr., Penn, Sharpe (unto the establishing).
${ }^{\text {h }}$ E. Y., v. 2; \&c.;-Hamm., Bens., Wesl., Mack. and later verss, that use this verb.
${ }^{i}$ See ch. I : 3, N. n, \&c.
${ }^{i}$ The word Xetotoṽ, bracketed by Knapp, is cancelled by Mey., Schott, Lachm., Tisch.
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ The comma here inserted by T. ;-Germ., It.;-Calv., Musc., Vat., Zanch., Flatt, admits of the connection of the words
 reoovaíx, q. d. 'that ye may be associated in fellowship and holy blessedness with all the saints at that day'-an interpretation allowed by Calv. and the It. Ann.; adopted by Musc., Aret.; and preferred by Est., Flatt. But the lyper-
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CHAP. IV.
Furthermore then we beseech you, brethren, and exhort you by the Lord Jesus, that as

## GREEK TEXT.

## CHAP. IV.

TO $\lambda о \iota \pi \grave{o} \nu$ ov̂v, $\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \circ \grave{\iota}, \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega-$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ vi $\mu \hat{\alpha} s \kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \alpha \lambda о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $K v \rho i(\omega)$ 'I $\eta \sigma 0 \hat{v}, ~ к \alpha \theta \grave{\omega} s \pi \alpha \rho \in \lambda \alpha$ '

REVISED VERSION.

## CHAP. IV.

${ }^{a}$ Finally ${ }^{\text {b }}$ therefore, ebrethren, we beseecl you, and exhort ${ }^{d}$ in the Lord Jesus, cthat, faccording

* Most verss. here come etymologically nearer to rò hoctóz. Thus, R., Kenr., (for [as to] the rest) ;-Tulg. (de caetero;-other Latin rerss. generally haring quod superest, quod reliquum est. \&c.). Italian verss. (nel [del] rimanente), French verss. (au reste) ;-lberlenburger Bibel and later German verss. (im Uebrigen, ubrigens), Bens., Guyse, Dodd., Wesl., Mack., Thon., Barn., Conyb., Pcile, Turnb., (as to that which remains, what remains, \&c.). The phrase occurs chiefly in Paul's writings, and there generally as locutio properintis ad finem (Grot.): ' the word of one lastening to a close.'-E. T., 2 Thess. 3 : I (where nearly all the English verss, just cited retain the phrase, or the sense, of E. V.), 2 Cor. 13 : II (ho九тóv). Eph. $6: I 0$, Phil. $3: I ; 4: 8$ ) ;-Newc., Boothr., Penn, Sharpe (last$l y)$. All the recent editors (except Beng., Mattlı., Bloomf.) cancel the $\tau \dot{\delta}$.
b 'As working together with God to the same end' (ch. 3 : 13).-E. V., often;-W., R.;-Dodd., Thom. and Murd. (wherefore), Turnb. See I Pet. $2: I, N . b$.
c This is giren before the rerb by $\mathrm{TV}^{\circ}$., R.;-Bens. and the later English verss. generally;-nearly all foreign ferss.
" Decum. asscrts that footoúи and raparaioũuev are
 (R.;-Vt.;-Castal., Moldenh., Krause, Wakef., Mack., Stolz, Van Ess, All., Mey., Flatt, Penn, Sharpe, Conyb., Kenr.: Von
der II., Turnb.) translate as if the Greek stood thus: Ép $\omega \tau$ च
 words $\varepsilon \nu K .1$. to both verbs. But it is better to regard $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \%$ है $\boldsymbol{K}^{\prime}$. $I$. as adding the solemnity and authority of Apostolic exhortation to the friendly urgency of épor. (Mich., Linem. ). -Of those who translate $i \mu \tilde{x}_{s}$ with zoat., the following do not repeat it with $\pi r$ eax. : W.;-Latin and Italian verss., Germ.;-Greenf., De W.-It is also quite common to
 the same. The former expression exhibits the writer's personal position and relations to the Lord Jesus, as the ground or element of his exhortation. Comp. E. V., Rom. 9:1; 2 Cor. 2:17; 12: 19; \&e.; and so here, W., T., G., R.;Vulg., Germ., Dt., It., Fr. S.;-Ambrosiast., Fab., Calr., Mont., Engl. Ann., Cocc., Schmidt. Iodd., Gill ('or, in'), Moldenh., Wakef., All., Gösch., Sharpe, De W., Liunem., Fenr., Von der H., Turub., Koch.
e Lachm. here inserts a first i iva.
${ }^{r}$ Here again (comp. ch. 2: $4, N .0$ ), not causal (Mack. seeing). The full force of \%av'ós (\%ará, $\omega_{s}$ ) enables us better to dispense with a supplement in the final clause (see N. j)E. T., Rom. II : 8; I Cor. I : 3I ; (very often, even as) ;-T., C., G.: (even as);-Latin verss. (quemadmodum or sicut), Mart. (conforme), \&c.
baton (which Conyb. also, among other very questionable liberties in the rendering of this verse, formally rednces thus: 'And so may He keep your hearts stcadfast and mblamable in holiness, and present you before our God and Father, with all His people, at His appearing.') is unnatural, and is suggested only by supposed difficulties in the common construction. See N. 1.

1 The word ayicu here has been restricted to mean, 1. angels exclusively ( $\mathrm{D} t$. Ann., It. Ann. [if the connection with हैv $\tau \tilde{!}$ тapovoír be maintained], Pisc., Grot., Mamm., Wolf., Moldenh., Koppe, Krause, Ros., Mack., Ney., Pelt, Schott, De W., Troll., Lünem.;-Schleus., Rob. But see ch. $4:$ I\&; 1 Cor. $6: 2,3$; \&c.):-2. souls of departed saints, coming to be reunited to their bodies (Gill, while allowing the previous opinion, prefers this. The Dt. Ann. also suggest that it may be included with the other. But when the writer of this epistle speaks, ch. $4: 14$, of Gorl bringing with Jesus those that sleep in him, the context shows that he thinks of them as already awaked out of sleep.) :-or 3. true believers (Bens., Olsh. [die früher vollendeten Gläubigen: the earlier perfected], besides those named in $\mathrm{N} k$, and others). For myself, retaining the connection with $\dot{\varepsilon}_{2} \times \frac{\pi}{\eta}$ ruoovoice, I still sce no reason to abate the natural force of the clarse: 'with all the holy beings, saints and angels,
that shall then belong to Christ;-elect and for ever reconciled in him.' Comp. Jude 14, N. I. Musc., indeed, objects, as the consideration that determined him in farour of the transposition, that 'poterit controverti, quomodo omnes sancti venturi sint cum Christo': 'it may be a question, how all the saints are to come with Christ;' and by this he probably meant what Conyb. specifies as his own difficulty: 'Onr. Lord will not come with all His people, since some of His people will be on eartl.' But even if these are to be excepted, the language will none the less admit of easy explanation. A person might write from a distance, that he is coming with all lis friends to sec me, and not mean thereby to exclude me from the number of his friends. Or another answer may be given. From ch. $4: 14-17$ it is erident that, although for the purposes of present consolation the writer expressly cortifies that the sleepers in Jesus shall be brought with him. yet neither shall they hare any adrantage, as to the time of entering into the presence of their Lord's glory, over those who are alive and remain. The troo dasses shall be caught up together to meet the descending Sariour; and forthwith to conclude that this meeting shall arrest Ilis progress, or turn Him back whence IIc came, is a hasty inference.-Hamm., Bens., Thom., Scott, Barn. See ch. $4: 14$, N. c.

KING JAMES＇VERSION。
ye have received of us how ye ought to walk and to please God，so ye would abound more and more．

2 For ye know what com－ mandments we gave you by the Lord Jesus．

3 For this is the will of God， coen your sanctitication，that ye should abstain from foruication：

4 That every one of you should know how to possess

## GREEK TEXT．

$\beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho ’$ خ̀ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тò $\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ vi $\mu \hat{\alpha} s$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{\eta} \eta \tau \epsilon \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$.

2 оíठатє $\gamma$ व̀ $\rho$ тivas $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon$－入ías є́ $\delta \omega \dot{\kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu i ̀ \nu ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v}} \mathrm{Kv}$－ píov＇İбой．

3 тои̃то $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota$ ө́є́ $\eta \eta \mu$ то仑ै $\theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v}, \dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu$ òs $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon-$



## REVISED VERSION．

as ye ${ }^{5}$ received ${ }^{\text {u from }}$ us how ye ought to walk and＇please God， 1 ye would abound kyet more．

2 For ye know what icom－ mands we gave you by the Lord Jesus．

3 For this is ${ }^{\text {m }}$ God＇s will，${ }^{\text {n }}$ your sanctification ；othat ye ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ abstain from fornication；$;$

4 That every one of you ${ }^{r}$ know how to＂possess himself of his

E＇While we were yet with you．＇－E．V．，v． 2 （for a similar
instance of at least unnecessary vacillation，see 1 Cor． 11 ： 23 ）； instance of at least umnecessary vacilation，see 1 Cor． 11 ：23）；

- Wakef．，Scholef．，Conyb．See v．6，N．h aud I John 2：27， N．q．\＆c．
${ }^{4}$ See ch．2：13，N．r，\＆c．
：Wakef．，Mack．，Thom．，Sharpe，Kenr．
 $\pi \approx \tau \varepsilon$ IT $\varepsilon$（A．B．D．E．F．G．\＆c．Vulg．Am．and Ambrosiast．sicut et ambulatis；－the Clementine Vulg．sic et ambulctis）．－There is nothing for the supplemental so of E．V．，in T．，C．，B．，R．；－ Syr．，Germ．；－Fab．，Erasm．，Pagu．，Calv．，Musc．，Tat．，Mont．， Bez．，Pisc．，Newc．，Thom．，Boothr．，Gösch．，Turnb．
${ }^{k}$ Some（as R．；$\rightarrow$ most of the Latiu verss．；－Mack．，\＆c．）are satisfied with a simple comparative，magis，more．But the emphasis that here belongs to müh hov by position is generally recognized；e．g．W．，Kenr．，（the more）；－It．（vie più）；－Takef．： Conyb．at v．I0，（still more），Sharpe（as above），Von der II． （noch mehr）；－Rob．（the more，the rather，much more）；－besides the many that agree with E．V．in phrase or sense．
${ }^{1}$ R．（precepts）；－Guyse（as R．），Wakef．（charges），Thom． （instructions），Penn，Sharpe，Conyb．，Murd．；－Green（direction， precept），Rob．（command，charge，preeept）．
${ }^{m}$ Lachm．inserts $\tau o ̀$ in brackets．Grammatically，it is not required．Comp．Rom． $9: 8$ and Gal． $3: 7$ ，in both of which places the English article also might properly be omitted．－ Beng．（＇Sic c． $5: 18$ ，sine articulo．Multae sunt voluntates． Act．13：22．＇：＇As at ch． $5: 18$ ，without the article．The wills are many．＇），Stolz，Mey．，（Gotles Wille），Flatt（Wille Gottes；－ and so Länem．，who adds：＇withont article；the snbjoined ex－ planation not exhausting the will of God．＇）．
${ }^{n}$ W．，1．；－all foreign verss．（except 1t．，Fr．M．；－Pagn．， Bez．）；－Sharpe，Conyb．，Murd．，Kenr．
 $\tau$ ．$\Theta$ ．，the predicate，but to roṽo，the subject；and this is better indicated by the puuctuation proposed，which，or else a colon，is fonad in the Greek text of Wells，Koppe，Griesb．，

Mey．，Bloomf．，Troll．；and in R．；－Dt．（earlier edition），Italian verss．，Fr．S．；－Calv．，Vat．，Mont．，Pisc．，Bens．，Dodd．，Wesl．， Wakef．，Nemc．，Boothr．，All．，Flatt，Gösch．，1＇eun，Sharpe，De W．，Murd．，Von der H．，Turnb．
${ }^{p}$ For the omission of should，see E．V．，v． $6 ;-$ W．，R．；－ Guyse，Wesl．，Wakef．，Newe．，Thom．，Penn，Sharpe，Murd． Kenr．，Turnb．
 fies two virtues，chastity and honesty，as specimens and main ingredients of evangelical holiness，or only one（v．6，N．b）； and whether even，on the former view，the cotuouós of this verse is，as many think，to be taken in a restricted sense，that is，with a special reference to chastity（so also Lunnem．，who yet generalizes the word at $v .7$ ），or not；in any case a semi－ colon here is sufficient．So Dt．，Fr．S．；－Schmidt，Wells，B． and L．，Wesl．，Wakef．，Newc．，Thom．，Boothr．，Mey．，Flatt， Gösch．，Sharpe，Murd．，Von der H．，Turnb．Nearly all others have but a comma；and so the Greek text of Beng．，Burt．， Hahn，Lachm．，Tisch．，Theile．
r See v．3，N．p．
s It has been too readily supposed，that the classical dis－
 in consequenec of having acquired，is disregarded in the N．T． But what the Plarisee boasts of in Luke 18：12 is，that he gives tithes，not of all his property，but of all his increase； and the exhortation of Luke $21: 19$（the other place misin－ terpreted by E．V．）is easily explained by such texts as Matt． $16: 25 ; 24: 13$ ；Luke $9: 24$ ．The phrase proposed，while preserving the grammatical force of the Greek word，is equally suitable，however axcũo be understood（see N．u．It is，iu－ deed，urged by Koppe and Schott，that．if axevos $=b o d y$ ，then $\varkappa \tau \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \sim \iota$ strictly rendered gives no intelligible sense．Bnt certainly the conception of the body as something to be sub－ dued by the Christian，and so，as it were，appropriated，made his own，is neither rery strange in itself，nor foreign to Paul＇s style of thonght；comp．Rom．7：23，24；I Cor． $9: 27$ ；\＆c． Only then，says Olsh．，＇does the body become a true oxsvos，a

## KING JAMES' VERSION.

his ressel in sanctification and honour;

5 Not in the lust of concupiscence, even as the Gentiles which know not God:

6 That no man go beyond

## GREEK TEXT.

 $\sigma \mu \hat{\omega}$ каі $\tau \iota \mu \bar{\eta}$,

 rò̀ $\theta$ єóv.

6 тò $\mu \grave{\jmath}$ ن́л $\pi \rho \beta \alpha i v \epsilon \iota \nu$ ка̀̀ $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ -

## REVISED VERSION.

town "vessel in sanctification and honour,

5 Not in ${ }^{v}$ passion of vlust: weven as the Gentiles, ${ }^{x}$ who know not God;

6 That no $y_{\text {one }}$ transgress
serviceable organ for the soul . . . Quite correct, therefore, is Chrysost.'s explanation of these words: ひ̈pe riuets «ủxò [tò

 get possession of it [the ressel], when it remains pure and holy; but when impure, sin does-i.e. get possession of it.' De $W^{\prime}$., Lunnem. and Koch, again, lay still greater stress, as against finding here any such idea of gaining, mastering, the body, on what they consider its irreconcilableness with the negative clause in v. 5. But if the writer really meant to say: ' Instead of serving divers lusts and pleasures [Tit. 3:3, dov devovess zervouiaus $\kappa \tau$..], and thus making the body your tyrant [Rom. 16:18;2 Pet. 2: 19] and your Crod [Phil. $3:$ 19], let every one of you seek to get possession and control of it, in a holy and honourable use, not in a vile abuse,' it does not appear that such a construction would be in any respect mose harsh or difficult, than what is often met with; c. g. Rom. 3:8; I John 3: I~.).-Koppe ('Neque enim est $\approx \tau \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \mathscr{\vartheta} \alpha$ simpliciter i. q. Ězev tenere, multo minus, quod Lutherus voluit, conservare, aut secundum alies, imperare, sed tanturu acquirere, comparare sibi': 'rrãova is not just the same thing as ězeov to hold; much less, to preserve, as Lather would have it; or, according to others, to rule; but simply to acquire, procure for onessclf.' And so Scbott. In his second edition, indeed, Koppe refrains from urging this against o\%cvios $=b o d y$, on the ground that incorrect and inelegant writers do use
 ben, sich verschaffen), Conyb. ('Kzūafat cannot mean to possess; it means, to gain possession of, to acquire for one's own use.' In the version: to get the mastery over.) ;-Wahl, Green (to get under control, to be winning the mastery over), Rob. (to acquire), Schirl. (as Gerl.).
t Fr. S. ;-Mont.: Zanch., Mart., Mack., Thom., Stolz, Penn, Gerl., Bloomf., Lünem., Von der II., Turnb. ;-Rob.
u Whether axevos here designates the human body (so most commentators, from Chrysost. down), or a wife (Wesl., Stolz, Clarke, Gerl., Lünem., Huth. [Einleit. 1 Pet.]-Wahl [s. ахвṽos; for $s$. жтćopac be gives the other view], Rob.;-besides the following named by Lünem.: 'Theodor. Mopsuest. . . . rivés bei Theodoret . . . . Augustin . . . . Thom. Aquin., Zwingli, Estins, Balduin, Heinsius, Seb. Schmid, Wetst., Schoettg., Michael.: Koppe, Schott, De Wette, Koch.' Of these, however, Est. allows the other interpretation, and Nich. holds to it in his version.), such a question no translation has a right to determine (as Bens., Wakef., Mack., Newc., Boothr., body;

Mart., corpo; Mich., Yan Ess, Flatt, Von der 11., Leib; Stolz, Ehebett; Mey., Körpcr; Conyb., bodily desires; Turab., person). Be it even perfectly certain what the metaphor means, the metaphor should none the less be preserved (just as in Rom. $9: 22,23$ ).
${ }^{*}$ In the other two places in which $\pi \dot{\theta} 9 o_{8}$ occurs in the N. T. (Rom. 1: 26; Col. 3:5), E. V. renders it affections, inorlinate affection; and Ercovpulix, found 38 times, is in E.V. always lust, except thrice desire, and thrice concupiscence.Of those who retain the Greek coustruction with two nouns, the following do not use the article before $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \vartheta \varepsilon$ : W. ;-It., Fr. M.,-S. ;-Penn, Lünem., Von der H., Koch; all of whom also translate $\pi$. by passion or its cognate equivalent (and so R. ;-Vulg. ;-Ambrosiast., Mont., Cocc., Zanch., Schmidt, Beng. [Erasin., Calr., Musc., Vat., Aret., having affectu], Eng]. Ann., Llamm., Mart. [in the plural], Mack., Newc., Sharpe, Kenr.) or Lcidenschaft (and so Baumg.). Ertovpió here is lust, Lust, in W., R.;-Hamm., Bens., Baumg., Mack., Penn, Sharpe, Murd., Kenr. The first noun is turned into an adjective by Wesl., Turnb., (passionate desire [lust]), All., De W., (leidenschaflicher Lust [Begierde]), while the second is so treated (as in Rom. I : 26, by E. V.) by Boothr., Wakef., Conyb., (lustful passions), Mey. (wollüstiger Leidenschafl).
w See ch. 2: It, N. e, \&c.
$\times$ See ch. $1: 10, N . x, \& e$.
${ }^{5}$ Bens., Guyse, Wesl, (with the $\mu$ i, none), Boathr., Penn, Sharpe.
 times found with a personal object in the accusative, in the sense of transcending, surpassing, excelling; never, it is believed, as $=$ to circumvent, overreach, deceive, \&c. (E.V.;Fab. [supplanted], Castal., Bens., Dodd., Wesl., Krause, Wakef., Coke, Thom., Stolz, Boothr., Van Ese, Scott, All., Gësch., Sharpe, Bloomf., Barn., Kenr. ;-Schöttg.), or to tread upon, oppress, wrong: aggrieve, \&c. (C., G., B.;-Dt., It., French verss. ;-Erasm., Pagn., Calv., Musc., Vat., Mont., Aret., Bez., Zanch., Pisc., Baumg., Moldenh., Koppe, Peile [invading], Von der H., Turnb. ;-Pas., Leigh, Green). Accordingly, it is here taken as standing absolutely, or with some such object understood as rò dixatov, justos limites, bounds of matrimony, \&c., by W. (go over), T. (go too far), R. (overgo);-Syr. (= Greenf. Mu: $=$ Murd. transgress. Tremell. has irritare, probably because the IIthpael of in Prov. $20: 2$, for which the later Grcek rerss. uee ineqpaiv, is rendored by the Sept. to

## KING JAMES' VERSION.

and defraud his brother in any matter: because that the Lord is the avenger of all such, as we

## GREEK TEXT.





## REVISED VERSION.

and defraud ain bthe matter his brother: because ${ }^{c}$ the Lord is ${ }^{\text {dar }}$ avenger "for all ${ }^{\text {it }}$ these ${ }^{\text {b }}$ things,
oo 太̌ivo.), Tulg., Germ.;-Ambrosiast., Chrysost., Damasc., Oecum., Grot. (violare pacta), Schmidt, Whitb., Wells, Guyse, Ros., Mack., Flatt, Schott, Penn, Gerl., Olsh., De W., Conyb., Lünem., Koch ;-Schleus., Bretsch., Wahl, Rob., Schirl.
a The Greek order is retained by the Latin verss. generally, Baumg., De W.
b I. That $\tau \tilde{\theta}$ is not indefinite, and that it cannot, according to N. T. usage, stand for $\tau v \nu i$, (such being the interpretation of G. ;-Fr. M. ;-Fab., Grot., Cler., Turret., Pittershasius and Leyser [cited by Wolf.], Moldenh., Koppe, Scott, Flatt, Greenf., Sharpe, Barn. ;-Schöttg., Schlens.), is generally admitted, and is, indeed, indicated by the E. V. Italics and marg. 2. Very many, on the contrary, render it eren too strongly, by a demonstrative pronoun (Syr. = Murd. this, not, as Tremell., aliquo; It. marg. ;-Schmidt, Whitb., B. and L., Bens., M. Henry, Lardner [vi. 358], Guyse, Wesl., Gill, Baung., Ros., Wakef., Mack., Newc., Coke, Thom., Stolz, Mey., Boothr., Burt., Schott, Penn, Troll., Conyb. Comp. E. V., 2 Cor. 7 : II.), thus expressly restricting the reference to the $\sin$ of impurity. 3. And the same reference is held by as many more, who would give $\tau \tilde{0}$ at least its own force as a definite article (Vulg. negotio [as explained by Jerome, at Eph. 4 : 17-19; and Kenr.] ;-the Greek Fathers, Erasm., Vat., Clar., Zeg., Est., Corn. a Lap., Heinsius, De Dieu, Wells, Bens., Wetstein, Kypke, Beng., Mart., Mich., Clarke, Pelt, Gerl., Olsh., Bloomf., Peile, Turnb.;-Midd., Scholef.). 4. Others, again (W. chaffering; T., C., bargaining; I. business;-Germ., Van Ess, Von der H., im Handel; Dt. 'in zijue handelinge;' It. 'negli affari di questa vita;' Fr. S. dans les affaires;-Calv., Musc., Castal., Aret., Bez., Zanch., Pisc., Cocc., Wolf., Krause, Kistemaker, in G'cschäften; De W., Lünem., in dem Geschäfte; besides the following names cited by Lünem.: Nic. Lyr., Zwingli, Bullinger, Elunnius, Luc. Osiander, Balduin, Vorst., Gomar., Calov, lioch), either understand to $\pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \mu \mu \alpha$ as used generically for $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \varrho \alpha ́ \gamma \beta \alpha \tau \alpha, \dot{\eta}$ т९ळүнатєí, acting or affairs in general, especially mattcrs of trade and commerce, or explain it as pointing especially to the transaction of that kind on hand at any particular time. To the view common to 2 . and 3 . it is objected, (I) that the natural sense of the words does not sustain it (Musc., Cler., Moldenh., Koppe, Kranse, Flatt, De W., Lünem., Koch). But while there is nothing in this as against $\dot{\text { une@ßaiveи }}$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \rho \dot{\gamma} y \mu \alpha \tau$, so neither is $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v e x \tau \varepsilon \tau \nu$ limited to cases of pecuniary gain (see the lexicons,
 previons mention of more than one offence (Moldenh., Koppe, De W., Lünem., koch). Pat it is even more satisfactory to regard that phrase, as well as $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \tilde{\varrho} \pi \varrho \dot{c} y \mu c c t$ here, as a euphemistic generalization for all sorts of uncleanness, than to con-
fine it to two specified sins, lust and avaricious fraud; -and (3), that the presence of the article before $\mu \dot{\eta}$ virep $\beta \alpha i v \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} \ldots \alpha$,
 proses that this clanse does not, like those, stand in dependent epexegetical apposition to ó éveaouòs ípũv', but sets forth another and a different explanation, parallel to of ácoouos
 This, however, is to make the whole sentence somewhat too artificial in its structure, besides that in that case we might
 article alone is introduced, may be accounted for by the want here of any cepressed subject of the infinitives, such as exists
 With regard to 4., Green remarks: 'If $\pi \rho \tilde{a} \gamma \mu \alpha$ be considered as here used to signify "worldly business in general," it would rightly have the article on this account, from the necessary familiarity of the idea: still proof is required that the nonu is ever used in this sense in the singular. . . . It is well known that жоãнн was used as a decorous expression for licentious practices; and this signification seems the only resource, if the former one be untenable: it is also best suited to the context, especially $u$. 7.' On the whole, I recommend the following as a marginal note: 'Many understand, in business.'
${ }^{\text {c T. T. P. ;- Wesl., Wakef., Mack., Newe., Penn, Murd., Kenr., }}$ Peile, Turnb. Other English verss. (except C., B.;-Wells) render $\delta \iota o ́ t \varepsilon$ by for. Comp. I John 2: II, N. v.-Lachm. and Tisch, cancel the $\delta$.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ The inclefinite article is employed by T., G. ;-Dt. ;-Baumg., Moldenh., Mack., Newc., Lünem., Peile, Von der H., Turnb. Comp. E. V., Rom. 13 : 4.
e E. V., ch. I: 2; Luke 3:19; and often;-Syr. (= Greenf. Zy), Vulg. (de), Germ. (über), Dt. (over) ;-Ambrosiast., Fab., Erasm., Musc., Vat., Mont., Zanch., (as Vulg.), Cocc. (circa), Bens., Gill ('or with respect to, or for'), Baumg., Moldenh., (as Germ.), Mack., De W. (wegen;-and so Von der H., Koch), Bloomf, Turub., (in respect to), Scholef.
i A demonstrative is employed by W., R.;-Bens., Wesl., Wakef., Scholef., Murd., Kenr., Turnb. ;-and almost all foreign reres. See 2 Pet. $3: 14$, N. v.
${ }^{5}$ E. V. follows B. in cancelling the word things of all the other old English verss., as if the Translators supposed zovzon, to be masculine, for the transgressors (Wells, Barn., Sharpo punisher of all such, Conyb. all such the Lord will punish), or those whom they injured. But that it is neuter, and points to the sins spoken of, is the view of nearly all commentators, and is clearly indicated by the great majority of verse.
kING JAMES' VERSION. also have forewarned you and testified.

7 For God hath not callerl us unto uncleanuess, but unto holiness.
s He therefore that despiseth, despiseth not man, but God who hath also given unto us his Holy Spirit.

GREEK TEXT.
$\pi \rho о \epsilon i \pi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{v} \mu \bar{\imath} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \delta \iota \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \alpha ́-$ $\mu \in \theta \alpha$.

7 ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є́ка́ $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ خ́ $\mu \hat{a} s$ ó $O \epsilon$ òs $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma i ́ \alpha, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\dot{a} \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{L}$.

8 тогүарой $亠$ ò á $\theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ои̉к $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i, \dot{u} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{o} \nu \theta \epsilon \grave{\partial} \nu$
 тò" "A rov єis ìmâs.

REVISED VERSION.
as we also nforetold you and 'fully testified.

7 For God idid not call us for uncleamess, but unto msanctification.

8 "Therefore he that orejecteth, rejecteth not man, but God, who ralso agave r his Holy Spirit unto ${ }^{\text {r }} 1 \mathrm{~s}$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the omission of have, see W., T., C., G. ;-Mart., Wakef., Mack., Thom., Sharpe, Turnb. ;-and v. 1, N. g, de. Here also the reference of the tense is to "the Apostle's personal presence among the Thessalonians' (Lünem.); but the $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$, which Rob. and many others understand in the same way (have said before, already declared, formerly told you, \&c.), is better explained of the time preceding the execution of the Divine rengeance (Lünem.). Comp. Gal. 5:21.For the rerbal part of $\pi \rho o \varepsilon \epsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau, \mathbf{E}, \mathcal{Y}$. has elsemhere to spcak, to tell ;-and here $\mathbb{W}$., to say (and so Murd.) ; T., C., G., R. (foretold), to tell (and so Bens., Dodd., Wesl., Mack., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Kenr., Turnb.). Nor has any foreign version whit answers to warn. F. V. follows B.--For roozitcuev, Beng., Griesb., Knapp, Mey., Scholz, Schott, read Toozitouev,
${ }^{\text {i Calv.: }}$ 'Notandum vero quod dicit, Obtestati sumus. [The Vulg. has testificati sumus.] Tanta enim est hominum tarditas, ut nisi acriter perculsi mulo divini judicii sensu tangantm' : 'Observe what he says: we adjured. For such is the sluggishness of men, that, without vehement blows, they are touched with no sense of the Divine judgment.' The intensive $\delta u \alpha$ is here recognized by (among others) Bez. (asseveranter), Bens. (solemnly), Baumg. (oft), Ros. (graviter), Mack. and Peile (as abovc), Stolz (ernstlieh), Bloomf., Turnb. (distinctly), Koch (feierlichst);-Wahl, liob.
${ }^{3}$ For the tense, see v. 6, N. h, \&c. The above form of the tense is cmployed by Murd., Green, Turnb.
k'Snch was not the law-condition-ain-of our Divine calling;' somewhat as we might speak of a man being engaged on ( $\dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ i) wages ; comp. Rom. 8: 20 ; I Cor. $9: 10$. And closely allied to this is the classical use of $\varepsilon \pi i$ with the dative of the purpose or object; comp. Gal. 5:13; Eph. 2:10.-Erasm., Musc., Vat., (causa), Cocc. (propter), Mack., Thom., Schott - ('hac lege s. conditione; vel propterea'), Lünem. (auf die Bedingung oder für den Zwech), Peile, Eadie (in orler to), Von der H. (auf), Turab.;-Green (with a view to). See Win. pp. 469-70, and Steig. on I Pet. 1:2.

1 Here $\quad$, , according to a quite common lreviloquence in the use of this preposition (see Win. pp. 490-I), seems to include entrance into, along with continuance in. Not a few, however, resdrict themselves to the proper characteristic force of the particle, because (in some cases, at least) they regard

द2. 'ey. as describing; not the result, but the manner or nature, of the call. Thus: Engl. Ann. ('Gr'. in'), Beng. ('in sanctificatione. होगi, super, magis exprimit fincm, हैr, in, iudolem rei.'), Baumg., Steig. (on I Pet. 1: 2), Harless, Lünen., Yon der 1I., (in), Conyb. (" Uis calling is a holy calling '), Turnb. ;Green (under a system of sanctity). Comp. 2 Thess. $2: 13$,
 others take $z_{v}$ as instrumental, by; and 1 Cor. $7: 15$, which Creen translates, under a dispensation of peace, and where Mey. finds (as here and at Eph. 4:4) a description of the 'ethical form' of the call. I recommend the words, 'Or, in,' for a marginal note.
 in classical Greek, but occurring 10 times in the N. T., for which E. V. has 5 times sanctification, and 5 times holiness) is in any instance quite synonymous with áyoózrs or áyecoizn. It rather, I think, denotes the process, or the separation and consecration from which the process starts.-T.;-Yulg. and most other Latin verss., nearly all German verss. (Heiligung), Dt. (heiligmaking), It., Fr. M.,-S.;-Newc., Thom., Roothr., Mard., Kenr., Peile, Turnb.
n E. V., IHeb. I2 : I (the only other instance) ;-W., R.;foreign verss. (except most of the German) ;-Wakef., Mack., Bloomf., Conyb., Kenr.

- See Jude 8, N. j. E. V. marg. ;-Dt., Fr. M.,-S.;-Erasm. and subsequent Latin verss. (rejicit or repudiat, instead of the Vulg. spernit;-except Bez., who in different editions has rejicit, aspernatur, dedignatur; and Gösch. aspernans), B. and 1. ('viole ces préceptes'), Berlenburger Bibel, Stolz, Kistemaker, Van Ess, Flatt, De W., Lunem., Von der H., (ver-wirft;-for Luther's verachtet), Wakef., Thom., Murd. and Turnb. (use to spurn). Very many, like B. and L., supply an object to $\dot{\alpha} \mathcal{J} \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega}$; but it is quite as well taken absolutely.


## p Lachm. cancels $\% \alpha$.

- For the time of Sóva, see 1 John $3: 24$, N. 1. To give the idea of a continuous communieation, some copies read itסóvte, which Lachm. alone adopts.
r Whether the pronoun ( $\left.\bar{\eta}, \mu \tilde{\alpha}_{s}\right)$ stands for Apostles, or Christians in general, it nay be considered emphatic by position. This position it retains in W., T., C., R. ;-most Latin ver: :

KING JAMES＇VERSION．
9 But as touching brotherly love ye need not that I write unto you：for ye yourselves are taught of God to love one another．

10 And indeed ye do it to－ ward all the brethren which are in all Macedonia：but we be－ seech you，brethren，that ye in－ crease more and more；

11 And that ye study to be

## GREEK TEXT．

9 Пєрì $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \bar{\eta} s \quad \phi \iota \lambda \alpha \delta є \lambda \phi i ́ a s$




10 каі̀ ز㐫 $\rho$ тоぃєiтє аúrò єis
 ซ̈入ך т $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ס̀̀ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s, \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ \grave{ }, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon v^{\prime}-$ $\epsilon \iota \nu \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ，

11 ка兀 фєлотє $\mu \epsilon і \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi \alpha ́-1$

## REVISED VERSION．

9 But sconcerning brotherly love tye＂have no need that vone write unto you：for ye your－ selves are taught of God＂to love one another；${ }^{x}$
$10^{y}$ For ye salso do it toward all the brethren ${ }^{2}$ that are in athe whole of Macedonia：but we bex－ hort you，brethren，cto dabound cyet more，

11 And ${ }^{\text {t }}{ }^{\text {B }}$ study to be quiet，

It．，Fr．M．；－Wakef．，Mack．，Penn，Sharpe，Murd．，Kenr．，Peile． The emphasis is even more marked with the reading $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha}_{s}$ （for $\eta_{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ ），which Griesb．regards as nearly or quite equal，if not preferable，and which is adopted by all other recent editors，except Bloomf．，on the anthority of B．D．E．F．G．I． very many cursive MSS．the Syr．Ar．and old Itala verss．\＆e． I recommend the insertion of the following marginal note： ＇Or，as very many read，you．＇
－See ch． $1: 9$, N．o，\＆e．
＇For $\varepsilon^{\ell} \notin \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ，Bez．，Beng．in the Gnomon，and Lachm．read そ̌ouev，as does also the Vulg．，ive．
u See ch．1：8，N．m，de．
－Castal．（scribatur；－impersonal，as in ch． $5: 1$ ），B．and L．， Fr．S．，（on），Van Lss，Mey．，（＝have need of no writing），Peile （＇of any one＇s writing＇），Von der II．（nan），Koch（irgend Einer）．
${ }^{v} E i_{s}$ тò $\dot{\mu} \gamma \pi \pi \bar{\alpha} \nu=$ into the（that great lesson of the Gospel） loving \＆c．
x No recent editor，except Matth．，eloses this verse with a period；and the same thing is true of Dt．，Fr．S．；－Castal．，Newe．， Thom．，Stolz，All．，Mey．，Gösch．，Penn，Sharpe，Conyb．，Peile， Von der H．Several of these have only a comma．Seev． 10 ， N．$y$ ．
y On xaì زáe（which E．V．frequently mismanages；e．$g$ ． Matt．8：9；26：73；Luke 22：59；Johu 4：23；Acts 19：40； Rom． 16 ：2； 2 Cor．2：10；\＆c．）Hartung remarks，I．138：＇In no single instance is zai superfinons，and everywhere the meaning is one and the same，for also＇［denn auch］．The jóo，De W．thinks，indicates another reason why the Thessa－ lonians weed not be written to on this subject．It rather confirms the statement that they had already been divinely instructed in regard to it．Musc．：＇Ubi vides efficaciam operis， agnosce vitam traditam a Deo＇：＇Wherever you see a vigorous activity，there recognize the God－given life．＇And so Cenyb．， Lünem．，Peile，\＆e．－E．V．，Lake 6：32－4；\＆c．；－Vulg．（etenim）， Dt．（want ．．．ook），It．（perciochè ．．．ancora）；－Ambrosiast．， Erasm．，Pagn．，Musc．，Vat．，Bez．，Coce．，（nam et），Fab．，Calv．， Mont．，Zanch．，（as Vulg．），Castal．（quippe quum），Schmidt
（nam etiam），Bens．，Mart．（imperocchè ．．．pur），Mich．（denn）， All．（denn．．．ja），Flatt，De W．，Lünem．，Von der H．，（denn ．．． auch），Kens．（for even），Peile（seeing that）；－Bretseh．（etenim， nam etian），Wah］（＇vel ctenim，vel namque etiam，nam et，denn auch＇），Rob．（for also，for ．．．too，for even）．See 2 Thess． $3: 10, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{q}$.
${ }^{2}$ Wesl．，Nerre．，Boothr．（who），Penn．See 2 Pet． $2: 11$ ， N．f．－This second roves is cancelled by Lachm．
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Latin verss．（universa or tota），German verss．（ganz），Dt． （geheel）；－Conyb．Comp．Rev． $3: 10$ ，N．d．
${ }^{\text {b }}$ See ch． $3: 2$, N．g．Bens．，Dodd．，Wesl．，Wakef．，Mack．， Conyb．，Turnb．；and to the same effect the German rerss． （except All．；－the Vulg．being rogamus），Dt．，Italian and French verss．（except Fr．M．）；－Ambrosiast．，Calv．，Castal．， Bez．，Cocc．（as allowable），Schmidt．
${ }^{\text {c }}$ E．V．，Acts 14 ： 22 ；－French verss．；－Bens．，Baumg．，Mart．， Mich．and later German verss．（except All．），Wakef．and the later English（except Newe．，Boothr．）．Comp．Jude 3，N．p．
${ }^{\text {d E．V．v．l ；\＆e．；－W．，R．；－Wells，Bens．，Dodd．，Wakef．}}$ and later English verss．（except Murd．and Turnb．）．Except－ ing the German，almost all foreign verss，employ the same phrase as in v .1 ，and generally abundare or a derivative． Here E．V．follows T．，C．，B．；who，however，have increase at จ． 1 also．
－Comp．r．1，N．k．
${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ See v． $10, \mathrm{~N}$ ．c．

 litical pihoturiox blushes to be quiet，${ }^{2}$ rests on the primary meaning of giot．，to make a point of honour，to be ambitious． Nor does there appear to be sufficient reason for saying with Alford，after De W．，at Rom．15： 20 （the only other place where it occurs in the N．T．being 2 Cor．5：9），that＇the word in the Apostle＇s usage seems to lose＇this sense（so Koch）；any more，at least，than it frequently does in classical usage．This sense is expressed here by Fab）．（pro honore ducatis），Bens．，Wakef．，Scott，Sharpe，（be ambitious），Dodd （make it your ambition），Micl．，Kranse，（euch eine Ehre dar－ aus machet），Thom．（make it your ambition），Stolz，Mey．

KING JAMES' VERSION.
quiet, and to do your own business, and to work with your own hands, as we commanded you;

12 That ye may walk honestly toward them that are without, and that ye may have lack of nothing.

13 But I would not have you to be ignorant, brethren, concerning them which are asleep, that ye sorrow not, even as others which have no hope.

14 For if we believe that Jesus died and rose again, even so

GREEK TEXT.
 є́p $\quad$ á乡є $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ i \delta i ́ \alpha \iota s ~ \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \nu ~$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta \grave{\omega} s$ víì $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \in i-$ $\lambda \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu^{.}$

12 iैva $\pi \epsilon р \iota т \alpha \tau \eta ิ \tau \epsilon \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \chi \eta \mu_{0}-$
 $\chi \rho \epsilon i \alpha \nu$ є' $\chi \eta \tau \epsilon$.
 $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о \grave{ }, \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кєког $\mu \eta \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \omega \nu$, $i \quad i v \alpha \mu \bar{\eta} \lambda v \pi \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, каөìs каi oi $\lambda о \imath \pi \circ i$ oi $\mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\prime} \chi \chi \nu \tau \epsilon s$ є́ $\lambda \pi i \delta \alpha$.
$14 \epsilon i$ र̀̀ $\pi \tau \iota \tau \epsilon$ v́o $\mu \epsilon \nu$ öт८ ' $I \eta$ -


## REVISED VERSION.

and to do your own business, and to work with your nown hands, as we commanded you;

12 That ye may walk ibecomingly toward ithose $k$ without, and a may have meed of nnothing.

13 But ${ }^{\circ}$ I would not rthat ye should be ignorant, brethren, concerning "those "who rare asleep, that ye smay not sorrow, ${ }^{\text {enen }}$ as "the others ${ }^{\text {r }}$ who lave no hope.

14 For if we believe that Jesus died and "arose, " so *also,

Lünem., Von der H., (cure Ehre [darin] zu setzen [suchen]),
 (let this be your amb.) ;-Leigh, Bretsch., Wahl;-and is acknowledged in the Dt. Ann., and in the commentaries of Erasm., Cocc.. Turret., M. Henry, Guyse, Wesl., Gill, Baumg., Koppe, Lios., Flatt, Pelt, Bloomf., Barn.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The word idinus is bracketed by Knapp, Hahn, and cancelled by Mey., Lachm., Tisch.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ The use of honest as $=$ honourable, comcly (see E. Y., Rom. 12:17; 2 Cor. 13 : 7; Phil. 4 : 8; \&c.) is now obsolete. -E. V., 1 Cor. 14: 40 (decently;-and so here Whitb., Wells, Dodd., Wesl., Gill, Mack.), Bens. (with credit and reputation), M. IIen'y (creditably), Wakef. (with credit), Newc., Boothr. (in a becoming manner), Penn, Sharpe, Bloomf. ('respectably or creditably'), Murd., Kenr., Turnb. (reputably). One or other of these (or similar, as decorously, with propriety, \&e.) phrases is employed also by Green, Rob., and all other modern expositors.
' Dodd., Wakef., Newc., Boothr., Penn, Sharpe, Bloomf., Conyb., Murd., Kenr., Turnb.
k Of the rerss., which translate zoús by a demonstrative pronoun, and ${ }^{2} \xi \omega$ by an adverb, the following supply nothing between :-It., French verss.;-Sharpe, Murd.
${ }^{1}$ For the omission of that ye, see R.;-Wells, Bens., Wesl., Wakef., Mack., Penn, Sharpe;-and nearly all foreign verse.
$m$ The word gocio ocours 49 times in the N. T., and is nowhere else lack in E. V., which here follows B.-Dodd., Mack., Newe.. Boothr., Penn, Sharpe, Turnb. No version since Wesl. has lack.
${ }^{n}$ Marginal note: 'Or, no one.' This sense, which E.V. also gives in the margin (of no man), is adopted by Syr., Germ.
 the other in the marg. ;-and so B. and I.) ;-Camerar., Bens., Wolf. and Pelt (explain as Luther), Stolz, Van Ess, Mey.,

Gossner, Flatt, Greenf., Güsch., Schott, Olsh., De W., Bloomf., Koch. Others, as Erasm., Bez., Dt. and Engl. Ann., Turret., Gill, Banmg. and Moldenh. (with a preference for the masculine), Koppe, Ros, Coke, allow either interpretation. The Vulg. combines the two: nullius aliquid desideretis.

- For $\vartheta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$, Mill, Wells, and all the recent editors (except
 cursive MSS. ancient verss. [except the Syr.] and Fathers). I recommend that this reading be adopted: we would.
${ }^{p}$ E. V., Rom. $11: 25 ; 1 \operatorname{Cor} .10: I ; \& c . ;-T V$. (that ye unknow), C.;-Bens., Penn, Turnb. Many others merely omit the words to be of $\mathbf{E} . \overline{\mathrm{V}}$.
${ }^{\text {q }}$ See v .12, N. j and Rev. $2:$ 2, N. h, \&e.
r See v .10 , N. z, \&c.-For жexoч,., Lachm. and Tisch.
 $=$ are from time to time falling aslcep; but кєxочиии́vev $=$ hate fallen asleep and continue in that state.
- 'In order to the correction of a heathevish sorrow for the death of Christians, it is only necessary to know the truth about them.'-Bens., Guyse, Dodd., Mack., Thom., Penn, Conyb., Turub.
t See ch. 2: 14, N. e, \&c.
${ }^{4}$ 'That other class, to which all belong, who have no hope in Christ.'-LIamm., Wells, (the rest;-and so Dodd., Wakef., Turnb., at el. 5: 6), Bens. ('the other Gentiles'), Mack., Penn, Sharpe, Fenr. ;-and almost all foreign verss.
- Only in 15 instances, out of 111 , does E. V. render riwormu, 'to raise up again,' 'to rise again.'-E. V., Matt. 9:9; and often. Comp. v. 16; Rom. 14:9; ic.;-Syr. (= Greenf. epp $_{\text {) }}$, German verss. (auferstanden;-except Stolz, wieder auf.; and Mey., erstanden), Dt. (opgestaan) ;-Turnb. (urose to life). See Green, Rob.; also v. 16, N. q.
w Sce ch. 2:4, N. t.
x The rai belongs, not especially to rove rour, hut to the

whole clause, $\delta \Theta_{z o}^{s} \varkappa \tau \lambda$. It is, accordingly, translated in immediate connection with ovito, or else with the subject and predicate of the clause, by R. ;-Bens., Dodd., Mack., Thom., Boothr., Penn, Kenr., Murd. ;-and most foreign verss.
y Sce v. 13, N. q, \&c.
: See v. 10, N. $z$, \&c.
a The aorist here and at v .15 implies a backward look from the time of the resurrection, when of each one of the departed
 Acts $7: 60 ; 13: 36$ (fell on sleep); 2 Pet. $3: 4 ;-$ Bloomf. Latin verss. (except Mont.) use a perfect tense of dormire or obdormire.
b 'The quickening of the dead being one of those great works of God (ch. 1:10; Deut. 32:39; 1 Sam. 2:6; Acts 26:8; Rom. 8:11; \&c.), which the Father showeth the Son.' Comp. John $5: 20,21,28 ; 6: 39 ;$ \&0.-especially 2 Cor. $4: 14$.


 14:13. But this explanation is scarcely justified by Grot.'s reference to Rom. 4:11, and Knapp's (ad loc. cit. ch. 2:3, N. k) to 2 Cor. 3:11. In the latter place the $\delta, \alpha^{\prime}$ is not strictly synonymous with the $e^{2} \nu$; and in both places it is construed, as often elsewhere, with the circumstances in, through, and out of which, a thing is done. 2. Others, retain-
 according to its common force, when followed by a genitive. Thus : W., T., C., B., (by);-Vulg. (per. In Am., however, which has no comma after Jesum, the connection is doubt-ful;-and so with R.'s by, and Von der H.'s durch.), Germ. (durch), Fr. S. marg. (par le moyen de);-Tertull., Ambrosiast., Erasm., Calv., Castal., Musc., Vat., Mont., Cocc., Schmidt, Gösch., (as Vulg.; but with various explauations, c. g. Ambrosiast. : 'per Jesum, id est, sub spe fidei hujns': 'by Jesus; that is, in the hope of this faith.' Calv.: 'Dormire per Christum est retinere in morte conjunctionem quam habemus cum Christo: nam qui fule in Christum inserti sunt, morten cum eo communem habent, ut sint vitae socii' : 'To sleep by Christ is to retain in death the union which we have with Christ: for they who by faith are engrafted into Christ have their death in common with Ilim, that they may be partners in Ilis life.' Musc.: 'Fideles per Christum moriuntur, dum propter illum ab impiis hujus seculi tyrannis occiduntur': 'The faithful die through Christ, when on His account they are slain by the impious tyrants of this world.' [The same view is given by Aret. propter; llamm. through,
which he paraphrases by 'for the testimony, or by occasion of the faith of Chisist;' Tillotson for Jesus' sake. Lünem. cites also Salmeron and Jos. Mede, but justly objects, that such a special reference to martyrs is unsuitable to the Apostle's immediate object, and is not sustained by anything in these two Epistles.] Cocc. combines Calv. and Musc. : 'Per Jesum, h. e. qui in communione Jesu mortui sunt, et quibus causa moriendi fuit gratia Christi et ipsius communio': 'Through Jesus ; that is, those who have died in the fellowship of Jesus, and the canse of whose death was the grace of Christ and communion with Him.'), Baumg. (as Germ.; but hesitates between the sense of Caly. and that of Musc.), Scott, Barn., Kenr., (as Hamm.; but with various explanations. Thus, Scott: 'Death was become ouly a sleep through Jesus'-an unsatisfactory suggestion of Mich., but adopted also by Barn.: 'In Jesus-or through [ $\delta \ell \dot{x}$ ] him; that is, his death and resurrection are the cause of their quiet and calm repose.' Kenr.'s note is : • in faith and grace.'). 3. The connection of
 from the dead by Jesus, and then bring \&c.') is allowed by Occum., Musc., Turret., Dodd., \&c., and adopted by Dt. marg. (door), Fr. S. (par le moyen de) ;-Fab., Storr, Ros., Pelt, Schott, (per), B. and L. (par), Bens., Guyse ("through the power of the deatl, and the virtue of the resurrection of Jesus '), Moldenh., Flatt, Gerl., Olsh., De W., Lünem., Koch, (durch), Wakef., Newc., Conyb., (as above), Thom. (by the agency of ), Bloomf., Murd. and Turnb. (by);-Wahl, Rob. F. T. and G. follow Bez. and Pagn.
c Beng.: " ${ }^{\circ}$ " $\varepsilon \iota$, ducet; suave verbum. dicitur de viventihus': 'will lead-a sweet word; spoken of the living.' Not: from the grave (Mich., Koppe, Kranse, Schleus., Mey., Turnb.; who then make ov̀ cevit $=$ ws avizós), nor: into heaven, to God, \&e. (most others) ; but: 'in the train of his magnificent retinue, at his final appearance' (Dodd.). Comp. ch. $3: 13$, N. 1; Jude 14; Rev. 19:14; Zech. 14:5.

 the word of the Lord. And so the ex, is here given hy W., T., C., G., B., R., (in the w.);-Vulg., Dt. marg. (in't woord), Fr. S. marg. (en parole) ;-Tertull., Ambrosiast., Fab., Erasm., Catr., Muse., Vat., Mont., Aret., Zanch., Engl. Ann., Cocc., Berlenburger Bibel, Beng., Win. and De W. (in einem Worte. The indefinite artiele is used also by Wakef., Thom., Stolz, Flatt, Lünem., Koch), Lünem. ('in, oder vermögc'), Von der II. ( $\mathrm{im} W^{r}$.), Koch. Symonds, Bloomf., Keur., Turnb., have an the. Some of these, and others, understand the writer as amouuciug a special revelation made immediately to himself.

KING JAMES' VERSION. we which are alive and remain unto the coming of the Lord

## GREEK TEXT.

oí $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ єis $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi \alpha \rho o v-$

## REVISED VERSION.

we ewho are ${ }^{\text {f }}$ living, ${ }^{5}$ who ${ }^{\text {b }}$ are left over unto the coming of the
 people, down to the time of their Lord's appearing, being divided into these two classes; of which the members of the former are continually passing over by death into the latter. And that the Apostle had no thouglht of teaching, that this process was arrested when he wrote these words, so that he himself and those whom he addressed were secure, all or any of them, against dissolution, may perhaps be inferred even
 participle implying that this remnant was not then defined, but in the course of formation, however the individuals composing it might change. Nor does the rínets present a valif objection to this view. Paul's wont is, to identify himself in interest and destiny with all believers ( 1 Cor. 15:51), sometimes, as here, with the living, sometimes ( 1 Cor. $15: 30-32$; 2 Cor. $4: 10-14$ ) with the departed. Indeed, however eamestly the Apostles cherished in their own hearts the Saviour's promise of His speedy return, and longed for its fulfiment (き Cor. $5: 2$; \&c.); with whatever tenderness and emphasis they kept repeating that promise in the ear of the Church (see their Epistles, pussim);-nay, little as they appear to have known respecting the length of the interrening period (see 1 John $2: 18$, N. b), and vigilant as for that reason they were, and would have the Church to be, in preparation for 'that day' (see 2 Cor. $5: 9$; \&e.) ;-there is still no warrant, so far as I know, for the assertion (Koch and Lïnem., here; Mey., Olsh., Wiu., on 1 Cor. $15: 51,52$ ), that any one of these stervards of the Divine mysteries positively expected that he 'should not die' (John 21: 23), or ever iutimated that he did. The utmost that can be said is what Olsh. more cantiously remarks on the phrase now before us: 'Decidedly to be rejected is the method of the older expositors, who supposed that the Apostle speaks in the plural merely by àvaroivooos [communicatice], without actually meaning to say that they themselves, he and his readers, might be still alive at the occurrence of that eatastrophe. Certainly, this passage does not stand alone; on the contrary, all the writers of the N. T. regard Christ's cuming as near (1 Cor. $15: 51,52 ; 1$ Pet. $4: 7$; 1 John 2:18; James 5:8); the whole doctrine, in fact, would not even have the least practical significance, if the longing for Christ's return werc not every moment active, because viewing the event also as continually possible. Not until toward the end of his life (Phil. $1: 23$ ), did the Apostle conceise of Christ's coming as more remote.' Comp. Calv. in loc.: 'Eo vult Thessalonicenses in exspectationem erigere, adeoque pios omnes tenere suspensos, ne sibi tenpus aliquod promittant. Nam ut demus ipsum ex peculiari revelatione seivisse venturum aliquanto serius Christum, hane tamen Ecclesiac communem doctrinan tradi oportuit, it fideles ommibus horis parati essent': 'His aim in this is to rouse the
expectation of the Thessalonians, and so to hold all the pious in suspense, that they shall not count on any delay whatever. For even supposiug him to have kuown himself by special revelation, that Christ would come somewhat later, still this was to be delivered as the common doctrine of the Church, that the faithful might be ready at all hours. ${ }^{2 *}$ Important also is this note of Beng. : 'Fivenles, et qui supersunt ad adventum Domini sunt iidem : et hi pronomine nos denotantur. Unaquaeque generatio, quae hoc vel illo tempore vivit, ocenpat illo vitae suae tempore locum corum, qui tempore adventus Domini victuri sunt": "The living, and they who survive to the coming of the Lord, are the same: and these are distinguished by the pronoun we. Eacli several generation, at whatever period existing, occupies during that period the position of those, who shall be alive at the Lowl's coming.' And see to the same ellect the Dutch and Eughsh Amotations.- For who, see v. 10, N. z, \&e. ;-1or fell asleep, see v. 14, N. a.
${ }^{f}$ Guyse, Penn, Conyb., Turnb.
${ }^{5}$ Besides nearly all (Baumg., Mack., Thom., Greenf., Lünem., Yon der H., Koch, \&e.) of the verss., which at oi $\zeta$ wuzes retain the form of the Greck = the living, \&e., and those which ruu the two participial phrases into one relative clanse $=$ who shall be left alice, \&c. (Dt.;-B, and L., Berlenburger Bibel, Dodd., Wakef., Symonds, Mey., Sharpe), the folloming also, while employing two relatives, supply no conjuuction: W., S., R.;-Tulg. ;-Tertull., Ambrosiast., Fab., Cocc., Schmidt, Wesl., Mart., De W., Conyb., Kenr.
h 'From the ravages of death.' For this verb (which in the N. T. occurs only in the present coutext) is not equipaleut to the $\mu$ 伯 $2 \varepsilon \sigma$ of John $21: 22$.-It retains the force of a passive, in W. (be left) ;-Syr. ( = Greeaf. בְּ relieti sumus), Fab., Gösch., (relinquimur), Mont. (rclicti), Schmidt (reservabimur), Guyse, Symonds, (shall be l.), Wesl., Dodd. and Kenr. at v. 17, Wakef., Thom., (as above), Banug., Stolz, (über-[Uebrig-]gelassenen), Mart. (siam riscrbait), De W., Lünem., (übrig gelassen werden;-' not,' adds De W. [Koch], 'superstites [Brtschn. Schtt.]; that would be of repl-


* Dav. (Introd. to the N. T., Vol. ii. pp. 463-4) objects even to the idea that the Apostle ever, here or elsewhere, thought of 'Christ's final coning as possibly takiug place in his own day,' that he 'distinctly disarows such an interpretation of his language as this supposition implies (2 Thess. 2:2).' But see N. m at the passage referred to.

KING JAMES' VERSION.
shall not prevent them which are aslcep.

16 For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first:

17 Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and

## GREEK TEXT.

бía $\tau$ тov̂ Kvpíou, ov $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ тoùs коццך $\theta$ є́ $\nu \tau \alpha s^{\circ}$

16 ö́t aútòs ó Kúpıos є้̇ $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \iota, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \phi \omega \nu \eta$ ŋ̀ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́-$


 $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau 0 \nu$,

17 Є' $T \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ jijeís oi $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon S$ oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \epsilon \tau \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$, व" $\mu \alpha$ бن̀v av̉тoîs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ '่ $\nu \quad \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \iota s$ єis $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ той Kvpiov $\epsilon i s$ ảépa,

## REVISED VERSION.

Lord, shall 'in no wise 'precede ${ }^{k}$ those ewho fell asleep.

16 For the Lord 'himself ${ }^{1}$ with a shout, with ${ }^{n}$ voice of ${ }^{\text {" }}$ archangel, and with o trumpet of God, shall descend from heaven, and the dead pin Christ shall arise rfirst;

17 Then we swo are tliving, " who vare left over, shall. " together with them be canght xaway yin " clouds, ato meet the
 as an elliptical expression, $=o v$ dé $\delta o \star \alpha$, ov qóßos, $\mu \dot{n}$ (sce
Bernhardy p. 402 ; Hartung 11. p. 155 ; Win. p. 592 ; Kühner §3I8. 7; Pass. s. v. ov, E. iv. ; \&c.).-E. V., often; Matt. $5: 18$; \&c.;-Erasm., Musc., Vat., (nequaquam), Koppe (nulla in re, nullo modo, nec tempore, nec felicilatis gradu), Schott (nullo modo, nequaquam), Bloomf. (by no means), Lünem. (keinesfalls), Peile (no [precedence] in any way), Von der H. (mit nichten), Koch (auf keine Weise;-and so Win., Schirl.);Rob. (not at all, by no means). See also Schöttg., Schleus., Bretsch., Wahl ; and ch. $5: 3$ : N. j.

1 The E. V. prevent, in a sense which is now obsolete, was adopted from G., B., R.--W. (come before), T., C., (come ere) ;Gill, Wakef., Symonds, Penn, (go before), Pyle ('shall have no advantage above, or shall not get the start of '), Mack., Thome, Bloomf, Troll., Kenr., (anticipate), Newc. (be before), Boothr. (go up before), Sharpe (get before), Murd., Peile (take precedence of), Turnb.;-Green (to outstrip, precede), Rob. (to precede, to anticipate).
\& See references at v. 13, N. q.
$1^{\prime}$ 'No phantom, nor providential substitute, nor even the vicarious Spirit ; but the Lord himself-the personal Lordthis same Jesus (Acts 1:11).' Not to be approved is the construction by apposition, employed by De W. and some others in such cases, $=$ ' $H e$, the Loml.'——The Greek order
 (the German and Dt. prefixing to $\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \nu \varepsilon \delta \lambda_{\text {. merely the auxiliary }}$ of the future, wird, zal);-Thom., Kenr.
${ }^{m}$ It. The indefinite article appears in Fr. Mo,-S. ;-Guyse, Wakef., Penn, Koch.
${ }^{n}$ It., Fr. M.,-S. ;-Penn. The indefinite article appears in W., R.;-Engl. Ann., Barn., (as allowable), Wesl., Baumg., Mich., Wakef., Mack., Newc. marg., Thom., Sharpe. De W.; Bloomf., Lunem., Kerr., Yon der II., Turnb., Koch.

- T., C., G. ;-It. Tbe indefinite article appears in Fr. S. ;Wakef.. Flatt, Win., Yon dev H. Turnb., Koch.--Trumpet for
trump, is given by E. V., 9 times out of 11 ;-G., R.;-Bens. and all later rerss. (except Penn, Murd.).
 oroũ. The mriter's immediate object did not require any reference to the resurrection of 'the rest of the dead' (Rev. 20:5), nor do the Thessalonians appear to have had any doubt concerning the general fact of a resurrection. Accordingly, the connection of zv Xotorep with avaothoovzat, shall arise in or through Christ, is adopted only by Fr. S. marg., Krause, Pelt, Schott, Peile. Win., who is cited by Pelt, Schott, Conyb., as in favour of this construction, abandoned it in the later editions of the Grammatik.
${ }^{\text {q }}$ See v. I4, N. v. T., C. ;-Conyb. (arise to lifc), Murd.
r Howzoy-not: before the rest of the dcad, the wicked dcad, arc raised (Oecum., \&c.); there being no reference whatever in this passage to a sccond resurrection; but: before the rapture of the living saints, spoken of in the next verse. ——The reading лобтo九 (of a few MSS. and the Vulg. primi ;so 'l'ertull. and Ambrosiast.) is found in no edition.
- Sce v. 10, N. z, \&c.
- See v. 15, N. f.
" See v. 15, N. g.
${ }^{v}$ See v. 15, N. h.
w The Greek position of $\alpha \mu \alpha$ o $\dot{v} \nu \quad \alpha \dot{v} \tau o J_{S}$ is retained by Bens. (shall be, logether \&c.), Guyse, Dodd., Mack., Thom.;besides most foreign verss.
$\times$ The direction is determined, not by the verb, but by $\varepsilon i_{5}$ $\dot{\alpha}$ \&oa. See Rev. $12: 5$, N. z.—The E. V. specification is avoided by W.;-all foreign verss. (except the Dt., opgenomen; and perhaps the two older French, enlevés, for which Fr. S. substitutes ravis ) ;-Thom.
${ }^{y}$ This $z \nu$ has also been explained as 1. = $\varepsilon i_{s}$, into, by Pagn., Aret., Bez., Hamm., Schmidt, Dodd., Pyle, Moldenh., Nerre., Boothr., Scott, Bloomf., Barn. (as possible). Tremell.. in like manner, renders the Syriac $\sim$ by in with the accusa-

KING JAMES' VERSION.


1s Wherefore, comfort one another with these words.

## CIIAP. V.

But of the times and the

## GREEK TEXT.

$18 \stackrel{\prime}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}-$ dous év tois dó ơols toútols.

CIIAP. V.
Mєр̀̀ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ кай т $\omega \nu$

## REVISED VERSLON.

Lord, ainto the air; and 'so shall we ever be with the Lord.

18 Wherefore comfort one another with these words.

## CHAP. V.

But aconcerning the times and
a Gr. to the meeting of. So Matt. $25: 1,6 ;$ Acts $28: 15$, the only other places of the N. T., where the phrase ocems; and in all of them the party met continues after the meeting to adrance still in the direction, in which he was moving previously. It cannot, therefore, be safe to infer, at least from what is said here, cither that the returning lort is to stop and, with his gathered saints, abide permanently in the air (Pelt, Usteri, Schrader, Weizel-as cited by De W. 'Non sic accipiondum est,' says August. de Civit. Dei, XX. 20. 2, 'tanquam in aëre nos dixerit semper cum Donino esse mansuros; fuia nee ipse utique ibi manebit, quia veniens transiturus est. Venienti quippe ibitur obriam, non manenti': 'This is not to be so understood, as if he said that we shall remain for ever with the Lord in the air; for certainly neither will lle himself remain there, because in coming He is to pass through it. It is, in truth, as He is coming, not abiding, that we shall go to meet Tlim.'), or that He will return with them to heaven, whether as a place of perpetual residence (Est., Dond., Scott, Flatt, Olsh., Barn.), or of temporary sojourn (Gill: 'He 'll stay in the air, and his saints shall meet him there, whom he 'll take up with him into the third hearen, till the general conflagration and burning of the world is over, and to preserve them from it; and then shall all the elect of God descend from heaven' $\mathcal{d c}$. ). Nore conformable to the analogy of the texts already referred to, and, as I believe, to the general testimony of Scripture on this subject (Zech. $14: 4,5$; Matt. 24: 20-31 comp. with 25:31, \&e.; 1 Cur. 6: 2; Rev. 12:11, de. to the end of the book; besides the numbertess prophecies with which these connect themselves), arc Chrysost.'s



 Hom. in Aserns. Theodor. and Oecum. retain it here.] wei


 'll lle is to descent, for what purpose shall we be canght atwa ? To honour us. For so, when a king is entering a city, those in honouralbe station go forth to meet him, but the criminals await their judge within. And when a fond fither arrives, the children, worthy of the name, are taken out in a chariot, to see him and caress him, but oflending domestics remain within.' Or as, without a figure, Ambrosiast.: 'rapiemur . . . obriam Christo . . . nt cum Domino omnes veniant ad proelium': 'We shall be canght away to meet Christ, that all may come with the Lord to battle.' There is, therefure, as littlc ground in Scriptural eschatology: as there
 be done, throngh the air! Nor do these words syntactically belong, as designating the place of mecting, to $z i_{s}$ axaurtrou'; bnt, as marking the terminus ad quem, to fogтa, rooutira; while $\varepsilon$ eis axintron denotes the purpose for which. And accordingly the preposition is rendered as above, or by in with an accusative, \&e., by W., R. ;-Latin verss. (except that Gösch. adopts Flatt's suggestion: per), Dt.;-the moidern German verss. (instead of Luther's in der Luft), lions., Mart., Turnb. The same construction is further indicated by a comma after Kepiov, in J., ;-Dt., Fr. M.;-Castal., Turnb.
b ' $A$ s the result of all this, and of the other proceedings of that day;' not, as Olsh.: 'under these circumstances, in the relations specified . . . in higher regions.'

- See ch. 1: 0, N. o, \&e.
tive; Murd., by $t o$ ) $:-\sim=\frac{2}{2} i$, upon. by E. and L., Mart., Krause, Mey., Flatt, De W.. Liinem. (as best):-3. $=$ the instrumental $\delta \iota x$, by, by Turnb.
${ }^{z}$ W.;-Wakef., Mack., Thom., All., Flatt, De W., Barn. (Gr. in clouds; . . . that is, iu such numbers, and in such grouping as to rescmble clouds. So it is rendered by Macknight, Koppe, Roscnmüller, Bush [Anasta. 26C], and others. The abseace of the article here wonld rather seem to demand this interpretation.' 'There being no article in Latin, the re-
ference to Koppe and Rosenmaller appears to lie an oversight. Nor does Koppe's vecti nubibus [Ambrosiast. bajulis nubibus; Theodor. ह̇ंi regcken" ózoúuevoz; Olsh. von Wolken gelragen], or his commentary, at all admit of Barn's explanation of in clouds. With that explanation might be compared Is. $60: 8$; lut that it is by no means demanded by the absence of the article, is certain, as well from the frequent anarthrous use of nouns gorerned by prepositions, as from the है vegeinate of Mark 13: 26.), hinem., Turnb., Koeh.

KING JAMES' VERSION.
seasons, brethren, ye have no need that I write unto you.

2 For yourselves know perfectly, that the day of the Lord so cometh as a thief in the night.

3 For when they shall say, Peace and safety; then sudden destruction cometh upon them, as travail upon a woman with child; and they shall not escape.

4 But ye, brethren, are not in darkness, that that day should overtake you as a thief.

## GREER TEXT.






 каì и́бфа́入єєа, то́тє аiфvíoıos аủтois $\dot{\epsilon} \phi i \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ ö $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s, ~ \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\dot{\eta} \omega \dot{\omega} \delta \nu \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \grave{\epsilon} \notin \chi$ v́ $\sigma \eta$, к $\alpha \grave{\imath}$ ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ є́кфט́ $\gamma \omega \sigma t \nu$.

 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \eta\rangle$ катала́ßŋ.

## REVISED VERSION.

the seasons, brethren, ye have no need that bone wite unto you:

2 For 'ye yourselves know perfectly, that dthe day of the Lord so cometh as at thief in the night.

3 Cor when they fare saying: Peace and safety! then sudden destruction ${ }^{5}$ cometh upon them, heven as travail upon her that is with child, and they shall in no wise escape.

4 But ye, brethren, are not in darkness, that kthe day should overtake you as a thief:"
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Gr. it be written. Comp. ch. 4:9, N. v.-Dt. (men selırijve), Fr. M.,-S., (on éerive). An infinitive active is employed by Flatt, Greenf., $=$ it is not necessary to write; while the passive impersonal furn of the Greek is preserved by most of the Latin rerss., and by Scolz, Van Ess, De W. Wakef. it need not be written; Turnb. you need not to be written 10 .
c See ch. 2: I, N. b. For the additional emphasis at ch. 4:9, onr idiom does not well provide.
d The $\dot{\eta}$ is cancelled by Lachn. and Tisch.; 'perhaps rightly,' says Bloomf., 'for the reasons which I have given on the parallel passage at 2 Pet. $3: 10$.' Among the reasons this one is there made prominent, that the 'adjunct [heotov] so evidently adrerts to one day alone that, from the very notoricty of it, the article is ncedless.' Here, however, in the same note that contains this reference, he says that the Apostle 'meaus, not, (as Chrys. points out) the general day of judgment, but the particular day of each individual; for, as observes Bp. Jebb, Serm. p. 20, "the day of his death is to each man the day of judgment."' Chrysost., it must be added, while he, gecum. and others, allow the passage this practical turn, does not understand the Apostle as directly, much less exclusively, intending it.

- The gáo is cancelled by Griesb., Mnapp, Mey., Scholz, Schott, Hahn, Lachm. (who substitutes for it $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in brackets), Tisch., Theile. I recommend this note for the margin: 'Jany omit for.'
\& A present tense is emplosed by E. V. often in such a case, e. g. Matt. $6: 2,5,6,16 ; 10: 19,23 ; \mathbb{\&}$. . ; and here by Wesl., Baumg., Krause, Wakef. (as above;-and so Thom., Burt., Turnb.), All., Mey., Güsch., Sharpe, De W., Conyb., Lūnem., Von der II.
${ }^{8}$ Or, impends over. This sense is given by most Latin
verss. (imminet, instat, adstat, \&c.;-for the Vulg. superveniet), Banmg. (bevorsteht), Lünem. (as allowable: 'imminct, odeı überfallt') :-Schottg., Wiahl, Green, Schirl.
${ }^{4}$ The characteristic of $\omega_{0} 0 \pi \varepsilon \rho$, as a strengthened $\omega$ s, is retained by most Latin reiss. (sieut, quemadmodum), Germ. (gleichwie;-and so De W., Yon der Jr.), Turab. (just as). Peile almost always has cien as, just as, preciscly as, \&c. See Rev. $10: 3$, N. h.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ There is nothing for woman in R. (as above);-Hack. (her who \&c.), Murd. (a ehild-bearer) ;-besides very many foreign rerss.
${ }^{1}$ Dt. (geenszins), It. (non . . . punto), Fr. M.,-S., (ne . . . point) ;-Camerar. (neutiquam), Mnsc. ('Gr. haudquaquam'), Bez., Schott. (nequaquam), Est. ('quasi dicat; nec ulla ratione poterunt'), Pisc. (nequaquam poterunt), B. and L. (ne pourront). Bens. (by no means), Guyse ('none . . . shall by any means be able to') Koppe ('ov $\mu \eta$ h. $\mu$ д $\delta a \mu c o s$ '), Krause, Mey., ([gar] nieht. . . können), Ros. (nullo modo possint), Wakef.; Conyb., ('there.can [shall] be no escape'), Thom. Turnb., (cannot), Peile ('there shall bé no means or possibility of escape'), Von der H., Koch, ([ganz] gewiss nicht). See ch. 4: 15, N. i, \&c.
k Whether the day already mentioned, v. $\sim$; or generally the day. as the time of light.-Germ., Dt. ;-Mont., Schmidt, Dodd., Baumg., Moldenh., Mich., Wakef., Newc. ('the last'),



1 Lachm. reads xiéxtas (A.B. Copt.).
${ }^{m}$ This verse is closely connected with the first half of จ. $5(\sec \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{n})$; the rest of that rerse inclining rather to what follows.

KING JAMES＇VERSION．
5 Ye are all the children of light，and the chilitren of the day：we are not of the night， nor of darkiness．
（ 6 Therefore let us not sletp， as do others；but let us watch and be sober．

7 For they that slecp，sleep in the night：and they that be dronken，are drunken in the night．
s But let us，who are of the

GREEK TEXT．
5 тávtes v́reís vioì $\phi \omega$ тós
 $\nu \cup \kappa \tau \grave{s}$ ои́ס̀́ бко́тous．
$6^{7} A \rho \alpha$ ồv $\mu \eta \eta^{2} \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon v ́ \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$
 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ кגi $\nu \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \omega \mu \in \nu$ ．

〒 oi үàp каӨєúסovtєs，עvктòs
 vuкtòs $\mu \in$ Өv́ovot


REVISED VERSION．
5 ＂All ye are＂sons of light， and e sons of＂day．q We are not of r night，nor of darkness：

6 so then，let us not sleep wen as u the others；but let ns watch and be suber．

7 For they that sleep，sleep ＂hy misht；and they that ware ＊Jrunken，are＂drunk by night．

8 But yro being a of a day，let
${ }^{\text {n }}$ E．V．，Matt． 23 ：8；20：3I；\＆e．；－M．，R．；－Mack．Newc．；－ hesides some（mostly Latin）verss．In English，this order brings out the emphas：s in ifetz（see ch．1：6，N．．f．\＆c．）．－＿ Excepting Beag．ami Matth．，all the recent editms，aftel Jeir－ $\tau \varepsilon$ ，insert $\gamma \dot{\rho}$（A．B．D．E．F．G．L．many cursite MSS．most of the old Verss．and Fathers．）．I recommend that，in accordance with this reading，the version staml thus：For all \＆c．
－These articles are omitted by Bens．，Wesl．and later Enghsh verss．（except Conyb．）；－all foreign verss．W．and It． omit the second－—For sons，see E．V．． 2 Thess．2：3；and generally ；－W．；－Fr．S．；－Berlenburger Bibel，Reng．，Wakef， Nack．，Newe．，Stolz．Boothr．，All．，Sharpe，DeW．，Lünem．，Tumb．
p W．；－It．；－B．and L．，Bens．，Wakef．，Mack．，Newc．（has the as a supplement）：Penn，Sharpe，Kenr．，Pcile，Turnb．
\＆See r．t，N．m．
＝W．；－13．and L．（who，however，improperly repeat the voi， as do also the Syr．，Bez．，Est．，Bens，Wesl．，Moldenlı，Krause， Mack．，All．，Flatt，Pelt，Schott），Bens．，Wakef．，Mack．，Nerre． （hás the as a supplement），Thom．，Van Ess，Sharpe，Kenr．， Fon der H．Turnb．
－Paul＇s farourite，though unclassical，čou oùz－（no one else uses it；and he，I think， 12 times）—serves for the vivid intro－ duction of an immediate（ $\quad$ ipo．See Hartung p．422，fe．and Pass．s．$v$ ．）inference（ $o c^{\circ} v^{2}$ ）from what he has been saying； very much as our why then！is sometimes employed．－F．V．， Rom．7：3， 25 ； $9: 16$ ；It：In ：－Germ．（so ．．nun），Dt．（zoo ．．dan），Fr．M．，－S．，（finsi done）；－Pagn．，Mont．，Ber．，Zanch．， Pisc．，（Nempe igitur），Cocc．（Ergo igitur），Wakef．，Newc．，All． and Flatt（So ．．．denn），Sharpe，De W．and Koch（Dem－ nuch nun），Eadie（now therefore），Peile（here，and in Rom． 14：19；Gal．6：10； 2 Thess．2：I5 would render čoce oṽ， If it，or this，be so，then；in hom． $7: 25$ ，so be it．then；else－ where，as above），Tmmb．；－Schöttg．．Schlcus．，（make äpo here， 2 Thess．․ ：I5，and other places，age），bretsch．（jam igitur， ergo），Wahl（ilaque ergo），Win．（also nun），Rob．（therefore then，so then，wherefore），Schirl．（here，2 Thess．2：I5，and other places，wohlan！）．
t See ch．2：It．N．e，\＆e．Itere the zai after wis is cancelled by Lachm．
${ }^{4}$ Fur the omission of ds，see E．V．，ch．4：13；fe．；－foreign retss（except Baums．）；－IF est．，Wakef．，Mack．，Newe ．Sharpe， Murd．，Kenr．，Turnb．－For the，see ch． $4:$ I3，N．u．
＊E．V．，Matt．2：I4；27：6t；\＆c．；－Latin verss．（nocte or noehu），German verss．and Dt．，except Flatt，（des Nachls）；－ V゙on der I1．Nachts），It．（di nolte），French verss．（la nuit）；－ Wakef．，Neme．，Thom．（at night；－and so，in the first instance Turnl．），Sharpe kenr．；－Rob．
w Dudd．，Wesl．，Newc．，Pem，Conyb．，Murd．，Kenr．
 sunt ．．．tbrii sunt，Ambrosiast．，Erasm．，Pagn．，C＇a－tal．，Musc．， Vat．，leze，Zanch．，Pisc．，Coce．，Gösch．，have qui incbriantur ．．sum cbrii ；which Beng．and Schott also adopt，the former explaining thus：＇Mtginzoute notat actum＇（denotes an act）；＇$\mu$ fitw，statum，vel habitum＇（the state or habit）． The sane distinction is made by Mack．，who tianslates：they who get drunk ．．．are drunken（Tham．＇s version；but with the form drank in buth instances），and probably by Fr．S．： eeux qui s＇cnivrent，sont ivres．It is，however，denied by Schott and Lünem，and is gencrally disregarded．
s＇Orves，without the article，is not used to specify a class； it rather assumes，as the ground of the exhortation，what had just been asserted，v．5．Comp．Matt．I：19；\＆c．This is brought out by It．（noi essendo ．．．siamo）；－Castal．，Coce．， （quam simus），Mondenl．（Da wir nun aber ．．．sind），Krase （als Kinder），Mack．，Turnb．，（as abore）．Thom．（as we are）， Peile（＇let us，berng－i．c．seeing that we are－of \＆c．＇）．The i，$\mu$ 泣，moreover，is treated，not as the subject of aforouer，but as standing absolutely in an independent clanse，by Dt．（wij die ．．．zijn，laat ons），Fr．M．，－S．，（［pour］nous qui sommes ．．．； soyons）；－Fab，and most of the later Latin verse．，Baumg．： Muldenlı．Mack．：Thom．，Ton der H．，Turnb．
$z^{\text {Here also，as in the latter half of } v .5 \text { ，many（Syr．，It．，}}$ Fr．M．；－Oecum．．Est．，Whith．，B．and L．，Wolf．，Moldenh．， Mart．Krause，Mack．，Van Ess，Grecuf．，Schott）err in sup－ plying vioi．
a Throughout this context，the distinction is maintainel between $i_{i}$ nefor，day，that element of light，and of free，joyous
king James' version.
day, be sober, putting on the breast-plate of tiath and love; and for an helmet, the hope of salvation.

9 For God hath not appointed us to wrath, but to obtain salvation by our Lord Jesus Christ,

10 Who died for us, that, whether we wake or sleep, we should live together with him.

## GREEK TEXT.

$\phi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, द́ $\nu \delta v \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \quad \theta \dot{\omega} р \alpha к \alpha \pi i^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ каì $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\pi} \pi \eta \zeta$, каґ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha-$


 бшт $\eta$ ías, sià rov̂ Kupiov $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$


10 той $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \theta \alpha \nu$ óvтos $\dot{v} \pi \grave{t} \rho$

 $\sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$.

REVISED VERSION.
us be sober, 'having put on the breastplate of faith and love, and, for ${ }^{\text {d }}$ helmet, the hope of salvation.

9 For God edid not appoint us to wrath, but to 'the obtaining of salvation ${ }^{\text {r }}$ through our Lord Jesus Christ,

10 Who died for us, that, whether we tare watching or sleeping, we should live itogether with him.
aetivity, to which Christians now belong, and it iutupx, if iftépo Kupiov, the perfect day, the clay of the Lord, for which they are still waiting. Comp. r. 5, NN. p, r.-B. and L., Bens., Takef. and Newc. (mark the as a supplement), Mack., Sharpe, Kenr.
b The Christian's sobriety is the result, not the cause, of his gracious endowment. Or we may say with Chrysust. : ̈̈pa

 what it is to watch and be sober: it is. says he, to hare the breastplate of faith and love.' Comp. Col. 3: 9, 10.-Dt, Fr. S.;-Bens., Wesl., Peile (as the literal meaning. He would, however, adopt in translation a passive construction: elad with \&c.;-and so the Vnlg. and foreign verss. generally, induti, angethan mit, \&c.; W., clothed in; 'I., C., G., armed with; Turnb., equipped with).
c Marked as a supplement by Dt., It. ;-Dodd., Mack., Kenr. Some, indeed, supply nothing, but connect $\pi$ eporacutatier, like Fopares, directly with indvaduzvot, with Ekaider in apposition.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ It., French rerss. ;-De W., Lünem., Koch.

- Whether in the counsel of eternity, or when IVe set us in the Church. Both ideas are equally Pauline. See ch. 4:7, N. j, \&c.
${ }^{\prime}$ E. V., 2 Thess. 2: 14;-W', R., ([the] purchasing of );Dodd, Mack. (the acquisition of ;-and so Murd., Peile), Newc., Boothr., Sharpe (the carning of), Kenr. (the attainment of). The same construction is adopted, and with one or another of these senses (acquisitionem, possessionem, Erlangung, Erwerbung, \&c.), by Syr., Vulg., Dt., Italian verss., Fr. M.,-S.;Ambrosiast., Fab., Calv., Zanch., Coce., Schmidt, Baumg., Stolz, Van Ess, Kistemaker, Gossner, All., Flatt, Pelt, De W., Lünem., Koch.
${ }^{8}$ E. V., Rom. 5: 1, 9, 11; \&c. ;-Bens., Wakef., Maek., Thom., Scott, Penn, Sharpe, Conyb., Kenr., Turnb.
b 'When the Lord comes.' Under this watching and sleeping, Cocc. includes 1 . the alternate states of the body in this life;-2. life and death;-3., and principally, spiritnal
slumber and its opposite ('hoc quidem praecipuè intendi ab Apostolo, patet'!). Much more tolerable is Whitb.'s restriction of the words to the first of these senses (which is preferred also by Musc., Aret., Cajetan as cited by Est.; and allowed by It.;-Calv. [non inepte], Beng. ['dormiamus, corpore, in somno vel morte'], Gill, Pelt ['posset . . . tamen languidins']), on the ground that, when in other places Paul speaks of the death of Christians as a sleep, he uses, not $\% \alpha$ -
 word, however, occurs in the Sept. Ps. $88: 5$ and Dan. 12: 2 (Lunem. refers also to 2 Sam. $7: 12$; but there кoturioucu is found); Matt. 9:24; Mark 5:39; Luke 8:52; and that the phrase should just hare been employed with another meaning in v .6 , is nothing strange to one familiar with Pal's style. Nor is the antanaclasis avoided by Whitb. The objection, again, of Musc., that yoryopezr is not elsewhere $=\xi \tilde{y}^{2}$, disappears, when we consider that this whole diseussion bears directly on the relations of Christians, the living and the dead, to the Lord's coming and kingdom, and that the present permanent attitude of faith is here (r. 6), and everywhere in the N. 'I'. (Matt. 24: 42 ; $25: 13 ; \mathbb{\&}$.; Lulse 12:37; Rev. $3: 2,3 ; 16: 15$ ), required, or assumed, to be one of vigilant, earnest expectation (кंлоzapa $\delta$ oxio, liom. $8: 19$ ) of that event.
 connection with what there immediately fullows.-The rerb үoryopéc occurs 23 times in the N. T., and, excepting here and 1 Pet. $5: 8$ be vigilant, is always in E. V. to wateh (Rev. 3:2 watchful, for the participle);-1. and Keur. (watch or sleep), Turnb. (are watching or at rest). Lxcepting Stolz and Mey., all foreign verss. employ the same term for yon\%oo. as in v. 6.
i The rifue is explained as belonging, not to oiv a㪟芦, but to Giow $\mu \varepsilon \nu$, in the sense of we all together (comp. Rom. 3 : 12) -thus again, as in ch. 4:17, excluding the idea of precedence, or adrantage of the one class over the other-by Storr, Ros., Flatt, Sehott, De W., Barn., Lïnem., Fioch. I rather lean to the other connection, and would regard $\ddot{\alpha}^{\mu} \mu \alpha$ oiv $\alpha \dot{e} \tau \tilde{\psi}$ as one of Paul's forcible expressions of the truth, in which he every


## KING JAMES＇VERSION．

11 Wherefore，comfort your－ selves together，ind edify one another，even as also ye do．

12 And we heseech you， brethren，to know them which

## GREEK TEXT．

11 бio тарака入єiтє $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ǵdous，
 каі тонітє．

12 ＇E＇PSTSHEN $\delta \in \mathfrak{v} \mu a ̂ s$ ，


## revised version．

11 Wherefore＇comfort kone another，and edify sone ${ }^{m}$ the other，${ }^{\text {n }}$ as also ye do．

12 But we beseech you， brethren，to pknow athose who
where exults，to wit．the intimate communion of the Chureh with her Lord．＇The glory which shall be revealet in us＇ （Rom．8：18），the consummation of our life，is nothing more than the fruit，certain and imperishable，of the power of II is resurrection＇（lhil． $3: 10$ ）．When Christ＇rose and revised＇ （Rom．14：9），Tlis people were＇quickened together with Christ，and raised up together，and made to sit together in hearenly places in Christ Jesus＇（Eph．2：5，6．See I Pet． $1: 3, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{k}$ ）．But this resurrection－life of the whole Christ， of the Head no less than of the members，is still a＇hidden life in God＇（Col． $3: 3$ ）；nor until the day of＇the manifesta－ tion of the sons of God＇（Rom．8：19），and＇the ages to come，＇will God＇show the exceeding riches of IIis grace，in His kindness toward us，through［in］Christ Jesus＇（Eph． $2: 7$ ）．The equal interest of the living and the departed，in that blessed issue，is sufficiently implied in the eite jopro．， sïte ヶа 9 ．
${ }^{3}$ The margimal sense of E．V．，exhort，is alopted by G．；－ Germ．，Dt．，Fr．M．，－S．；－Ambrosiast．and later Latin verss． （except Mont．），Grot．，Turret．，Flatt，Pelt，De W．，Conyb．， Peile，Koch ；though several of these allow the other．Bammg．， Koppe，Ros．，Schott，Bloomf，unite the tro．But it is natural to suppose that the writer，finding himself，after his reference to the times and seasons，and the duty of believers in relation thereto，brought again to the point already reached in ch． $4: 17$ －the erenlasting union of the gathered Church with the Saviour－should，in repeating here the same word of exhorta－ tion which occurs there in the next verse，employ it in the same sense．Now at ch． $4: 18$ all agree in preferring the sense，console．Nor is it necessary to consider，that the mutual edification required is presented as the effect of the pre－ ceding taocenirats－（this being the view which has determined the preference of Pelt and others for the more general inter－ pretation of the phrase in this instance）．It is equally satis－ factory to say，that the removal of all feelings of despondency and alarm，respecting the death of Christians，by means of the mutual and habitual application of the comforting trath now exhibited，was an indispensable prerequisite to that result．In other woris；instead of：＇Exhort one another．and so edify \＆c．＇，the connection may just as well be：＇Comfort one another（as to this matter），and then，free from the distracting and paralyzing intluence of these sain apprehen－ sions，go on edifying \＆e．＇I recommend that the margin bear this note：＇Or，as many，exhorl．＇
＊Often as cedridury occurs，it is never in E．V．rendered
precisely as here（the only approach to the present version being at luke $23: 12$ and $24: 14)_{2}$ but generally as above ；－ and so G．，IR．；－Wells and all subsequent English verss．（ex－ cept Bens．，Wakef．，Newc．：each other）．See ch． $3: 12$ ， N．d，\＆c．

I No edition has $\varepsilon i_{s}$ tòv ${ }^{\prime} v, \alpha$ ，the construction adopted by Falb．（ad unum usque，to a man），Whitb．（into one body）， Rinckert（who understands by tòv ěra，Christ）．
${ }^{m}$ The article is given by Wells，Mack，and Fenn（＇each the other＇）；anll all foreign verss．（except Flatt）．
${ }^{n}$ E．V．，ch．I ：5，and generally ；－W．，R．；－Dodd．，Wesl．， Wakcf，Thom．，Pemn，Murd．，Kenr．；－and many foreign verss． Some（as Newc．and Sharpe）retain even for \％oi，and omit also （see ch． $2: 1 t$, N．e，fe．）．
－While thus inculcating on all the brethren the duty of mutual helpfulness in the Christian life，we yet with special
 church＇s loving regarl for her laborious＂servants for Jesus＇ sake＂（2 Cor．4：5）－her appointed rulers and teachers．＇ Some such connection with r．II is faroured by Clirysost．， Oecum．，Theophylact，Bens．，Dodd．，Baumg．，Mich．，Flatt， Schott，Eloomf．，Lünem．（as possible）；while，as usual，autem and aber prevail in the Latin aud German verss．Even if there was nothing of this sort in the writer＇s mint，the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ onght at least to be rentered now，as ofted in F．V．；and here，by It．，Fr．M．，－S．；－Wesl．，Wakef．，Mack．，Newc．，Boothr． Conyb．has moreover．
p＇Be not strangers to them－their calling and work－their necessities and trials．＇What follows in v． 13 would be the re－ sult of the knowledge．There is no need，therefore，of straining the common meaning of the verb into acknouledge，renognize， eare for，take an interest in，regard with fatour，revcrenee，\＆c．， as is commonly done in the commentaries，versions and lexi－ cons．The other ordinary references，in behalf of this alleged Hebraism in the use of ciderat，will be fomd on examination to be，very often at least，delusive；e．g．Sept．Gen．30：© and Prov． $27: 2$（Rob．In the latter text，the word is
 also thinks that this text is＇perhaps to be explained in the same way．＇But the interpretation is plainly impossible．）． Indeed，the IIebrew シュּ itself is frequently misinterpreted in the same direction．

[^2]
## KlNG JAMES' VERSION.

labour among you, and are over you in the Lord, and admonish you;

13 And to esteem them very highly in love for their work's sake. And be at peace among yourselves.

14 Now we exhort you, 14 IIapaкалой $\mu \in \nu$ ס̀̀ $\dot{v} \mu \alpha \hat{\alpha}$,

## GREEK TEX゙T.

$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \rho о \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma v s \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$



 rois.

## REVISED VERSION.

rtoil samong you, rand ureside over you 'in the Lord, and admonish you;

13 And to westeem them x very exceedingly in love for their work's sake. ${ }^{y}$ Be at peace ${ }^{\text {a }}$ among yourselves.

14 nBut we exhort you,
r Comp. ch. 1:3, N. j, \&c. F. V., Matt. 6: 28 ; Luke 5:5; 12: 27;-Italian rerss. (futicano), Fr. S. (prennent de la peine);-Musc. ( Dictio sonat operis aliquid obire cum labore et molestia.'), Turret. ('Non vulgaris intelligitur labor per hanc vocem \%ortōvtus, sed labor improbus et assiduns, magua cura' : 'It is no ordimary labour that is meant by this word: but labour severe and constant-a great care.'), Schott (labores ct molestius perferunt), Barn. ('The word is one which properly expresses wearisome toil, \&c.'), Yon der 11. (sieh abmühen), Koch (zur Ermüdung arbeiten, sirh abmühen oder abarbeiten: mühcrolle Anstrengung übernelimen), \&c.
s Not: in robis (Ambrosiast., Fab., Calv., Castal., Musc., Mout., Cocc., Pelt) as sometimes explained to mean nothing more than the intermal, spiritual instruction and edification of believers, or an euch (Germ. ;-Mich., Flatt, Win.), as explained by Flatt: 'in relation to you.'

- All attempts, such as hare sometimes been made here, at a chassification of ecelesiastical offices, are at once set aside by the non-repetition of the article befure roozorduérovs and zovigroũhas, which must, therefore, refer to the same parties already described as zotecortces. Less objectionable is the
 distributive explanation of жолєйथtes, $=$ 'who toil among you both presiding and admonishing.' But 1 prefer to regard the latter terms as, not exhausting the departments of labour, but merely specifying those two-rule and official admonition -that were likeliest to awaken jealousy and resistance.
a French. verss. (prisident [sur]) ;-Mont. and Turret. (use praesidere), Bens., Dodd., Wakef. (are set over), Mack., Newc., Thon., Boothr., Conyb., Kenr., Tumb.
v 'All church organization finding its warrant, vitality, and thessing, in llim.' Comp. ch. 1:1, N. c, fe. Hartly to be approved, even as commentary, are such paraphrastic dilutions, as in the business, or work, of the Lorl (Musc.. Dt. Amn., Flatt, De W.), in what concerns the religion of \& \& (ist., Bens.), in the fomily or church of \&c. (Curn. a Lap.), according to \&c. (B. and L.), in the name, authority, of \&c. (Dordd., Pelt, Schott. (Conyb.), with the help of \&c. (for this, De IV. cites Flatt and Schott; whereas the former merely suggests, and the other rejects, it ), \&c.
w This clause has been understood to inculcate simply a spirit of strong affection for those spoken of (W., T., G., R.; who follow more or less closely the Vulg. habeatis illos abundantius in eharitate, according to which ijetofat has no force
 Oecum., Theophylact, Pagn. [Bez., Pise.] caros dueatis, Bens., B. and L., Wakef. regard them with the utmost fondness of affection, Flatt: Pelt, Burt., Gösch., Schott, Lïneru.), or sentiments of high regurd along with the love (others generally). The . latter riew is illustrated by the classical aepi aleionos or regi
 (rather than zy ayoxty, according to Peile's suggestion) then standing for the genitive of ralue. But eren if the first interpretation be preferred, there is still no sufficient ground for Lünem.'s distinction: love here, respect in v. 12 (Eideract. Sce there N. p).

 ——Lachm. and Tisch. read ízepextepooons.
y Lunnem. considers the last clause of the verse 'an independent exhortation, to be separated from what precedes.' But this is true only grammatically. A strong conscionsness on the part of the writer of the intimate reciprocal action and reaction of the two general obligations enjomed upon church members in rv. 12, 13, and distinguished as fundamental and preliminary to the subsequent specialties by the dividing $\pi<\varrho \alpha \varepsilon$ rikoũusv $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ of $\mathrm{\nabla}$. 14, seems necessary to account for what might otherwise be felt to be an arbitrary juxtaposi-tion.-The asyndeton is prescrved by W., R.;-all foreign verss. (except Syr., Germ. ;-Greenf.) ;-Wells, Mack., Newc., Thom., Penn, Sharpe, Kenr., Peile, 'Iurnb.
 though this reading, origmating perhaps in the form airois, if not rather in a supposed harshness of the received text (see N. y), has been followed by Syr. (= Wakef. and [lo] liwe in peace with them because of their work [office]), Vulg., Germ.;Chrysost., Theodor., Fab., Calv., Castal., Nusc., Vat., Turnb.; and others cited by Lünem.
a 'As it is not to be expected, that jou will find no occasion for such counsels.' See r. 12, N. o, \&c. Conyb. makes an

KING JAMES＇VERSION．
brethren，warn them that are unruly，comfort the feeble－mind－ ed，support the weak，be patient toward all men．

15 Sce that none render evil for evil unto any man；but ever follow that which is good，both among yourselves，and to all men．

> 16 Rejoice evermare．
> 17 Pray without ceasing．
> 15 In every thing give thanks：

## GREEK TEXT．

áठє入фо̀，vovӨєтєitє то⿱亠乂 àtá－ ктоvs，$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \epsilon i \sigma \theta \epsilon$ то̀̀s ò òяo－ $\psi v \dot{\chi o v s, ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu, ~}$ накроӨинєітє $\pi$ ро̀s та́ $\nu \tau \alpha s$ ．
 какой тıри $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \hat{\omega} \cdot \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau о т \epsilon$
 dous каi єis та́лтаs．
$16 \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau о т \epsilon \chi$ Хи́ $\rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.

18 є้̇ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i \quad \epsilon \dot{u} \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i t \epsilon$.

## REVISED VERSION．

brethren，${ }^{\text {o }}$ admonish the dis－ orderly，encourage the＇faint－ hearted，ssmport the weak，be ${ }^{\text {h }}$ hong－sulfering toward all．${ }^{2}$

15 See that none render evii for evil mito any jone；but kal－ ways＇pursue that which is good， mboth＂toward oone another，and ntoward pall．

16 Rejoice qulways．
17 Pray without ceasing．
IS rinevery thing give thanks：
entirely false antithesis：＇But yon．brethren，I exhort；©ce．，＇ under the idea that wr． $14-23$ form a＇Postseript addressed to the Presbyters．＇This view was，indeed，propounded by Chrysost，and has been adiopted，among others，by Oecmm．， Theophylact，Est．，［not Turret．；cited by Schott and Liinem．］． Pens．，Mart．，Mack．，Bloomf．，Troll．，Peile；but nothing stronger has been alleged in its favour than the repetition here of the

b E．V．，r．12； 2 Thess．3：15；\＆c．；－R．；－Dens．，Gnyse， Dodd．，Wakef．，Mack．，Newc．，Thom．，Boutlit．，Cmyb．，Murd． Kenr＇，Turnb．The same word as in r .12 is empluyed also by Germ．，Dt．，lt．，Fr．S．；－Fab．，Castal．，Schmidt，Banmg．，Greenf．， Güsch．，Von der 11.
c The relative construction is avoided by $\mathrm{WF}_{\text {．}}$ ，R．；－foreign rerss．（execpt B．and L．）；－Dens．，Wesl．，Wakef．，Mack．，Thom．， Penn，Sharpe，Conyb．，Murd．，Kienr．，Turnb．
d The only instance of atraztos in the N．T．，as our second Epistle contains the only instances also of the kindred verb and adrerb．－E．V．marg．；comp． 2 Thess． 3 ：6， 7,11 ；－Wells， Bens．，Dodd．，Wesl．，Mack．，Newe．，Thom．，Boothr．，Conyb， Peile，Turnb．So foreign verss．generally：inordinatos，Un－ ordentlichen，\＆c．
e See ch． 2 ：11，N．h．Conyb．，Murd．，Turnb．，\＆c．
\＆Another N．T．\＆̈ras heyöuevov，though eommon in the Sept．－IV．（men of little heart）；－Mack．，Thom．（desponding）， Bloomf．，Barn．（the dispirited；the disheartencd；the down－ cast），Conyb．，Turab．，（timid），Murd．，Licmr．，Peile；－Green （fuint－hearled，desponding）．
${ }^{\text {E }}$ Literally，as if we should say ：hold on to．Comp．the other N．T．cases of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \in \mathfrak{c} \neq \mu \alpha t$ ，Matt．6：24；lake $16: 13$ ； Tit．1：9．
${ }^{\text {h }}$ E．V．， 2 Pet． $3: 9$ ；comp． 1 Cor．13：4．The nom，recteno－ Fvics，is 12 times out of 14 long－suffering，in 1．V．；－Dt． （lengmoedig）；－Tat．，Mont．，Cocc．，Sclmilt，（longanimes）． Berlenburger Bibel，Beng．，Baumg．，Moldenh．，Mich．，Stolz， Gossner：De W．，Lunem．，（langmüthig），Dens．（exercise long－ suffering），Dofld．Wesl．，Wakef．，Mack．（if a long－suff．dispo－
sition），Newc．，Thom．（cxercise forbearance），Boothr．，Bloomf． （long－suff．and indulgent），Murd．，Turub．（forbearing）．
© See ch．3：12，N．e，ite．
1 The original edition of E．V．did not gire man as a supple－ ment here，any more than at 2 Thess． $2: 3 ; 3: 14$ ；de．－ Mack．，Thom．，Pem，Sharje，Turub．See 1 Jolm $2: 1, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{b}$ ， $\& \mathrm{c}$ ．
${ }^{\text {k }}$ E．V．，ch． $1: 2$ ；and generally；－－F．；－Dens．，Dodd．，Wakef．， Mack．，Newc．，Thum．（on all occasions），Boothr．，Penn，Conyb．， Murd．，Kenr．，Turub．

 earnestness in well－doing．＇－E．V．， 1 Pet． $3: 11$（cnsue）；－W． （sue），R．；－Syr．$=$ men afier），Latin verss．，except Castal．，（nse sector，persequor，prosequor），Germ．（jaget ．．．nach）．Dt． （juagt ．．．ntl），It．（procacciate），Fr．M．（pourchassez），Fr．S． （poursuircz）；－Liens．（diligently pursue），（iuyse（＇lee alwass studying and pusning＇），Dodd．，Mack．，Greeuf．（ミー－），Penn． Many others，white dropping the figure，seek to preserve the force of the meaning：strive to do，brfleissiget euch，de． Bloomf．：＇Not follow，but earnestly endeavour to fullow．＇ See I Tet．3：11，N．o．
m This \％at is cancelled by Scholz，Schott，Lachm．
${ }^{n}$ E．V．，clı． $3: 12$ ；\＆c．；－V．（to；looth times），R．（towards）； Wells，Bens．，Guyse，Dodrl．，Mack．，Penn，Scholef．，Bloomf．， Murd．，Kenr．，（us R．），Wesl．，Wakef．，Thom．，Conyb．，（as H．）， Newc．，Sharpe，Peile，Tumb．Forcign verss．generally have the same preposition in both cases．
－See r．11．N．k，de．
${ }^{p}$ See ch．3：12，N．e，\＆c．
q＇At all times．＇See r． $15, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{k}$ ．
relag．：＇ 1 n omnibus quae acciderint，sicut Job：sive，in omni consersatione vestra Dumino gratiae referantur＂：In whatever happens，as Job；or，at every turn in life let thanks be rendered to the Lord．＇Against the interpretation of some （Chrysost．，Wakef．，Flatt）：at all limes，We W．cites 2 Cor．

## KING JAMES' VERSION.

 Christ Jesus concerning you.

19 Quench not the Spirit.
20 Despise not prophesyings.
21 Prove all things; hold fast that which is good.

22 Abstain from all appearance of cvil.

## GREEK TEXT.

 $\sigma \tau \hat{i}$ ' $I \eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ єis $\dot{v \mu \alpha ̂ s . ~}$$$
19 \text { тò } \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \nu v \tau \epsilon .
$$



入òv катє́ $\chi \in \tau \epsilon$.
这 $\pi \in ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.

## REVISED VERSION.

for this "is 'God's will in Clrist Jesus concerning you.

## 19 Quench not the Spirit.

20 Despise not prophesyings. 21 "Prove all things; r hold fast that which is "good.

22 Abstain from ${ }^{x}$ every ${ }^{3}$ form of ${ }^{2}$ evil.

9:8. Peile: in cevery woy; and he refers to 2 Cor. II: 6 . But most objectionable of all is Est.'s restriction to cases of good fortune: 'in omnibus, intellige bonis.'

- Lachm. alone reads pop zozu, thongh, of the verss. that introduce the copula, only It. and Coce. nark it as supplied.
t See ch. 4: 3, N. m.
- For rávitc, Griesb., Knapp, Mey., Scholz, Schott, llalm, Jachm., Theile, read táma $\delta^{2}$ (D.E.F.G. meny cursive MSS. Vulg. and other old Verss. Chrysost. and other Fathers.), which may at least show how the clause was often unterstood; to wit, as referring to the things uttered in the prophesyings. Marginal note: 'Many read, but proce.' -Neandel' in his Life of Jesus Christ (New York, 1818), when mentioning 'an ancient and wide-spreal tradition, which ascrihes to Jesus Christ the following saying : yiveove tpareะitut döxuoz: beeome approved money-changers,' alds in a note: 'Praul . . . lad perhaps this saying in mind in 1 Thess. 5: 21. as has bcen supposed by Ilänsel ... (Stud. u. Krit., 1836, I.).' Hirinsel, indeed, explains w. 21,20 thes: Put every thing tu the test. The gool (money) keep. Every sort of bal (money). have nothing to do with it.' But all this rests on nothing stronger than the fact, that in the writings of the Fathers that traditionary saying is repeatedly introduced in comection with our present passage, and is sometimes even ascribed to our Apostle. The fact itself, howerer is sufficiently and far more naturally accounted for, by regarding it simply as the result

" Peile 'holds it for certain,' that, while the first nomber of this verse is closely comected in sense with r. 20, the second belongs in like manner to r. 22 , and forms with it an exhortation to universal holiness. But the thing is not so certain. The two neuter forms-the indefinite $\pi \alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha$ in the one case, and the specific to rechó, in the other-as well as the two antithetical verbs. סowuti̧̧zt . . . «atézeve, seem rather to imply a common reference of the two clanses, and that not exclusively to the roogritius. Besides; what the Apostle would here cantion his brethren against, in regard to prophetic utterances, is, not (as in I John 4:1) an indiscriminating credulity, but a general sceptical indifference; and, viewed in this light, the injunctions, teoonteices $\mu r_{i}$ Egov-


w Peile: sembly. IIe complains of our Translators, that neither here nor in Rom. $7: 18$ do they make any distinction ' between xò 'eyalór', bonum, that which is intrinsically good, and tò rahor, honestum (ur, as Ilorace expresses it, quod verum alque decens), that which shines in moral bcauty, and so approves itself to the moral taste or sense as meet, and right to be done.' The rerbal criticism is doubtless correct; but the distinction camot be satisfactorily given in English. Indeed, the writer, in exchanging one clement of the Chistian zato \%ảavice for the other, appears rather to indulge in a rhetorical variation, than to suggest any logical difference.
x Itanm., Bens., Wakef., Thom., Boothr., Sharpe, Conyl,, Murd., Tumb.
y Not appearance, in the sense of semblance without reality -a meaning which it is at least doubtful whether aidos here will bear.-G. (kind) ;-Syr. (= Mard. thing. Tremell. voluntute), Fr. S. marg. (espèce);-Castal., Cler., Turet., Koppe, (genere; which Bez. also allows. And in the same sense is the Tulg. specie explained by Cocc.: 'h. c. ab omni malo, quale-
 -pecies, formac, irleae;' and Schott), llamm. (sort), Berlenhurger libel, Beng., Krause, Mey., Platt, Gerl., Olsh., De W., Lanem., Koch, (Gatung, Art), Pelt ('Est itaque aut popmari sensu genus vel specics, ant philosophico. Ita omnes antiqui et optimi recentiores interpretes hanc rocem nostro quoque loco intellexcrunt.'), Burt. ('Perhaps it only means sort or kind. Theopbylact, Benson; '-and so Troll.), Sharpe, Conyb., Peile, Turnb. To the same effect, Schleus., Bretsch., Wahl Green, Rob., Schitl. Owen, too metaphysically, thms (Works London, 1826, Vol. xiii. p. 50): "Keep yourselves from cvery idea or figment of $\sin$ in the heart;" for the word there used doth not anywhere signify an outward form or appearance; neither is it the appearance of evil, but an evil or figment that is intended.'
= Many (C.;-Syr., Vulg., Germ., Dt. marg., Fr. S.;-Ambrosiast., Erasm., Calr., Musc., Vat., Mont., Beng., Moldenh., Boothr., Pelt, Schott, Bloonf. ;-Midd., Tittm.) take rorrooú as an adjectire in agreement with zidovs, the reason urged for this construction by Beng., Midd., Tittm. and Schott, being the omission of the article before zoorgou. But the article is necessary only in case toongoṽ, like rò \% $\alpha$ ón of the previous rerse, be understood as a continned reference to the $\pi \dot{c} r \boldsymbol{r a}$ of

KING JAMES' VERSION.
23 And the very God of peace sanctify you wholly; and I pray God your whole spirit, and soul, and body, be preserved blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ.

2t Faithful is he that calleth you, who also will do $i$.

25 Brethreu, pray for us.
26 Greet all the brethren with an holy kiss.

27 I charge you by the Lord that this epistle be read unto all the holy brethreu.

## GREEK TEXT.



 $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \grave{\tau} \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ á $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega s$ є̇ $\nu$ т 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ X $\rho \ell \sigma \tau o v$ т $\eta \rho \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta$.

2! $\pi \imath \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ к \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \dot{v \mu \alpha 人 s, ~ o ̀ s ~}$ каі̀ тоıŋ́бєє.

25 ' $A \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о і$, $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon u ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\eta} \dot{\mu} \omega \bar{\omega}$.
$26 \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi o u ̀ s \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda$ фоѝs


27 о́ркі乌ळ v́رâs тò̀ Kúpıov, $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \hat{\alpha}-$ $\sigma \iota$ тoîs áyious $\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi$ ois.

## REVISED VERSION.

$23{ }^{*}$ But bmay the God of peace ahimself sanctify you 'wholly; and bmay your whole spirit and soul and body be "kept blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Clirist.

24 Faithful is he that calleth you; who also will 'perform.'

25 Brethren, pray ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for us.
$26{ }^{\text {b }}$ Salute all the brethren with 'a holy kiss.

27 I 'adjure you by the Lord, that "the epistle be read unto all the holy brethren.
that rerse; not, if it be here used as a general abstract term.
 and Chrysost. Hom. viii. ou this Epistle, oidév zotur eîdos

a 'Since Dirine grace alone is sufficient for these things.' See ch. 3:11, N. w, fe. Lünem.: 'Emphatic opposition to human efforts.' The antithetical connection is in the present instance recognized by many:-For himself, sce E. T., ch. 3: 11; 4: 16; \&e.;-IV., R.;-Owen (Tol. ii. pp. 430-3: 'God himself . . . If he doth it not, none other can do it . . . He doth it of himself, from his omn grace; by himself, or his own power; for himself, or his own glory.'), Bens., Guyse, Dodd., Wesl., Mack., Newe., Thom., Penn, Conyb., Kenr., Peile, Turnb.
:See ch. 3: II, N. x.
e Buttmann § 103.6: 'An adjective not unfrequently (oftener' than in Latin) stands in the place of the English Adverb: The Greek construction, of course, makes it evident that $\delta \% .0-$
 (T.. C.. G., B. $;-$ Owen) ; just as in the parallel clause oj.o-
 ошин.
d W., T., G.;-Bens., Guyse, Dodd., Wakef., Sharpe, Murd., Kenr., Peile. See Jude I, N. g, \&c.——Pelt: 'trpezữa हैr тapovaiz i. q. Eis tapovoiay vel per Mebraismum, vel prae-
 taoovaír. III. 13.' I prefer the second suggestion, as more agreable to the force of the optative aorist, = may you have been kept, may it then appear that you have becn kept.
e Erasm., Pagn., Musc., Tat., Tremell., Bez., Schott, eff-cict;-for the Tulg. faciet), All. (zollbringen), Penn, Conyb. (fulfil my prayer. But seo N. f.), Peile Turnb.
f'Will perform'—not, I think, as commonly explained: it, this, these things, \&c., meaning what I here desire (Mey., De W.; and see N. e)-but: 'as surely as Me calls, and every thing promised or implied in the call.' Pelag.: 'Quod pro-
 $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon:$ 'that for which He called you.' For the nature and design of the Church's 'high calling of God in Christ Jesus' (1'hil. 3:14), see ch. $4: 7$ and 2 limu. I:9; for the origin, process, consummation and result of the same, Rom. 8 : 30.There is no snpplement in W.;-Syr., Tulg. ;-Ambrosiast., Fab., Erasm., Musc., Vat., Mont., Tremell., Cocc., Schmidt. Baung., Mart., Greenf., Güsch., Kenr., Peile, Turnb.

${ }^{\text {b }}$ R. ;-Bens., Dodd. and later rerss. (except Sharpe, Conyb.). Sce 2.John 13, N. r.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ R.;-Wakef., Thom., Boothr., Sharpe, Murd., Kenr., Turnh. Here and elsewhere I follow the rule of modern gramunar, as it is defined and followed by the Amer. Bible Soc.: 'That . . . the form an be used wefore all rowels and diphthongs not pronounced as consonants, and also before $h$ silent or unaccented; and that the form $a$ be employed in all other cases.'
J E. V. marg. ; as also in Mark 5:7; Acts $19: 13$ (the only other instances) ;-II. (conjure), R. Almost all other verss. and commentaries give the full force of the word.Lachm. and Tisch. read éropz's
${ }^{*}$ E. T. has the demonstrative also in the parallel $\simeq$ Thes. 3: 14; Rom. 16: 22 (where only the later editions mark it as a supplement) ; Col. 4: 1G.-Fab.. Calr.. Mont., Schmidt, (omit the Vulg. haec), Slarpe, De W., Peile, Von der H. See Midd on 1 Cor. $5: 9$, and comp. 2 Thess. $3: 14$, N. g.
${ }^{1}$ The word itiots is omitted by Mey., Lachm., Tiseh.

KING JAMES' VERSION.
28 The grace of our Lord Sesur Christ be with you. Amen.

The first epistle unto the Thessalonians was written from Athens.

## GREEK TEXT,

$28 \dot{\eta} \chi^{\alpha ́ \rho \iota s ~ \tau о \hat{v}}$ Kupíov $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iŋбо仑̂ Xрıбтой $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.

Hिòs $\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda o \nu \iota \kappa \epsilon i ̂ S ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \eta \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$.

## REVISED VERSION.

25 The grace of our Lord Sesur Christ be with you. ${ }^{m}$ Amen.
${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ The first to the Thessalonians was written from Athens.

## THE SECOND EPISTLE OF

# PAUL TO THE THESSALONIANS. 

## KING JAMES' VERSION.

 chap. I.Paul, and Sylvanus, and Timotheus, unto the church of the Thessalonians in God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ:

2 Grace unto you, and peace, from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.

3 We are bound to thank God always for you, brethren, as it is meet, because that your faith growth exceedingly, and the charity of every one of you all toward each other aboundeth;

## GREEK TEXT'

chap. i.
ПАҮА О

 Kv рí ${ }^{\prime}$ 'I $\eta \sigma о \bar{v} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega ิ$.
 Oєov̂ татрòs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ка̀ Kupiov 'Iŋбov̂ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$.

3 Eur $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ on $\phi \epsilon i ́ \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{u}$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ тá $\nu \tau о \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda$ -
 $\alpha v \xi \alpha \nu \epsilon \ell$ iे $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ v$\mu \bar{\omega} \nu$, к $\alpha \iota$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta}$ ar $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$ є́vòs є́ка́ $\sigma \tau о \nu$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є is $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o v \varsigma^{\circ}$

## revised version. СНАР. I.

Paul, and ${ }^{\text {Silvanus, }}$ and ${ }^{\text {a Ti- }}$ mothy, unto the church of the Thessalonians in God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ:

2 Grace unto you, and peace, from God bour Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.

3 We are bound to give thanks to God always for you, brethren, as it is meet, because ${ }^{\text {d }}$ your faith growth exceedingby, and the love of sech one of you all toward ${ }^{5}$ one another aboundeth;

[^3]Corinth. I recommend that in all cases the subscriptions be omitted.
a See 1 Thess. $1: 1$, NN. a, b.

- This $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ is bracketed by Lachm., and cancelled by Tisch.
c See 1 Thess. $2: 13$, N. p.
${ }^{d}$ See 1 Thess. $4: 6$, N. c, \&c.
e See 1 Thess. $3: 6, \mathrm{~N} . \mathbf{j}, \& \mathrm{c}$.
r See 1 Thess. $2: 11$, N. g, \&c.
${ }^{5}$ See 1 Thess. $5: 11$, N. k, \&c.

KING JAMES' VERSION.
4 So that we ourselves glory 111 you in the churches of God, for your patience and faith in all your persecutions and tribnlations that ye endure:

5 Which is a manifest token - of the righteous judgment of God, that ye may be counted wortly of the kinglom of God, for which ye also sufler:

## GREEK TENT.

$4 \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ìmâs aủtoùs év v́ $\mu i v$

 каi $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \bar{s} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ тоis $\delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu 0 i \hat{s}$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi tais $\theta \lambda i ́ \psi \in \sigma t \nu$ ais à $\nu \in ́-$ $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$,

5 Є̈v




## REVISED VERSION.

4 So that we ourselves ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ glory in you in the churches of God, for your patience and faith in all your persecutions and 'the dafflictions that ye endure:-

5 * A 1 token of the righteous judgment of Gud, mthat ye should be naccounted worthy of the kingdom of God, for which oalso ye suffer:

i Grammatieally, íutuy belongs only to $\delta$ ouruhots, and only
 De W., Kenr., Von der II., Turnb.
${ }^{1}$ E. V., always so ( 3 times) in 1 Thess,g and often elsewhere ;-Guyse, Wesl. (sufferings), Wakef. (distresses). Jack., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Sharpe and Turnb. (troubles), Cunyb., Murd. (trials).

 an accusatire, absolute (Beng.), or governed by $\varepsilon i^{\prime}$; understood (Ros., Koppe, Boothr. for a manifestation, Pelt, Olsh., \&e. Eis Exrd., indeed, is the reading of Theophylact and a few MSS., and is faroured by the Syr., and Vulg. in cremplum.), or in apposition with rīs 咜ézeove (Peile) or with äs (it being snpposed that, but for the attraction, the relative would stand in the accusative; whereas in the N.T. க̇réyoucu always takes the genitive);-2. as a nominative, in apposition either with i $\cdot \mu \in \tau ;$,
 allow this riew) , or with the whole of the previous elause from
 even greater, diversity of opinion prevails with regard to the logical structure and relations of the rerse. By the majority; perhaps, this everecypa, indication, token, proof, of the righteous judgment of God, is found in the fact that believers now suffer affliction: 'If God so chastise His own children, much more will He punish His enemies who now persecute them' (to this effeet Est. eites August.: Bede, Anselm, 'Thomas et glossa ordinaria;' some of these quoting, as Olsh. also does, 1 Pet. 4:17, 18 as parallel), or more commonly thus: 'God is just; and there must therefore be a future judgment, in which the confusion and wronts of the present time shall be redressed ${ }^{\text {s }}$ (Calv., Muse., Aret., Bez., Zanch., Wolf., Gill, Koppe, Pelt, \&e.). The leading thought, however, in the previous context-that which awakened the Apostle's thanksgiving to God and his glorying among the churcbes-is, not that his brethren were now, for the Gospel's sake, in cireumstances of trial; but the spirit of Christian heroism, in which they cndured. To this same thought, as I conceive, a like prominence must belong in
the appositional reference; and, accordingly, it is in its bearing on these brethren, that the Divine judgment is here primarily considered. The patience and faith of the Thessalonians under persecution iudicated the righteons judgwent of God, by which they were even now, and hereafter were to be still more gloriously, accredited as meet heirs of Ilis kingdom; just lhecanse, and in so far as, there was thus indicated the realization in their character and condition, as God's justified, sanctified, and at the same time suffering people, of the very grounds on which, by the laws of that kingdom, such a judgment must proceed. -Nothing is supplied by Dt., Fr. S. ;Fab., Erasm., Calv., Musc., Vat., Mont., Cocc., Wesl., Wakef., Gösch., De W., Von der II., Turnb.
${ }^{1}$ W., R., (example), T., C., G., B. ;-Bens., Penı, Murd., (demonstration), Dudd. (display), Wakef., Mack., Peile, (proof) Newe., Boothr., (manijestation), Slarpe, Bloomf., Turnb., (eridence), Conyb., Kenr. (as R.). Foreign rerss. generally have simply a noun. indicium, documcntum, Beweis, Anzeige, preuze, \&e. E. V. follows Pagn. manifesto indicio.
mo Such being at all times the tendeney, and such the issue - Eis тò eataミiovirat-of God's judgment concerning IIis aîfieted saints.'-The aorist is given by a present indicative, that ye are Sc., in T, C., G. ;-IMse. (' malim rertere, In hoe quod digni habmini'), Thom., Van Ess, Peile ;-by a preterit indicative, that ye werc \&e., in Mack., Sharpe;-by a future indicative, that ye shall be \&e., in Moldenh., Stolz, Flatt. I prefer Mey.'s more indefinite, gewürdigt werden sollet._—A few (Beng., Zachariae, Burt., Troll., Fr. S.), regarding the clause
 neet $\varepsilon l_{s}^{s} \tau \grave{o}$ \%atastco $\tilde{r}_{1} r \alpha t$, as an expression of the purpose for which the Thessalonians suffered, directly with aüs àvéxeove.
${ }^{n}$ E. V., Luke 20 : 35 ; 21 : 36 ;-Dens., Wesl., Thom., Penn, Peile ;-Green. See 2 Pet. $3: 9$, N. e, \&c.-The usage in regard
 forbids the interpretation: that ye may be, or bccome, or be made, worthy (Dt. marg.;-Fab., Est. ['contra haereticos'], Berlenburger Bibel, Beng., Baumg., Mieh., Von der II.). See v. 11, N. o.

[^4]
## king James' version.

6 Seeing it is a righteous thing with God to recompense tribulation to them that trouble you;

7 And to you, who are troubled, rest with us, wheu the Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven with his mightyangels,

## S In flaming fire taking ven-

## GREEK TEXT.

 татоঠoôval тoîs $\theta \lambda i ́ \beta o v \sigma t \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$ $\theta \lambda i \not q i \nu$,

7 каі ن́ $\mu i ̂ \nu$ тоîs $\theta \lambda$ ßßонévots
 $\lambda u ́ \psi \in \varepsilon ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ Kupíov 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ à $\pi$ ' ớpavô $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ à $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \nu$ סv $\nu^{\prime} \alpha \mu \epsilon \omega s$ aủtov̂,

8 є̇v $\pi v \rho \grave{\imath}$ фдојòs, סiסóvtos

## REVISED VERSION.

6 rIf indeed it is a dighteous thing with God to recompense saffliction to rthose who safllict you;

7 And to you, who are safflicted, rest with us, uat the revela tion of the Lord Jesus from heaven, with vthe angels of his wower,

8 In flaming fire, ${ }^{8}$ rendering
 of $v .5$; whereas Lünem. adopts the simpler reference of $\delta i$ ratoy to the $\delta$ ouaias zoiocos of that verse. Regarding the latter as the true verbal link, I would yet add, that vw. 0,7 are to be explained as an extended vindication of the appositional statement of v. 5 (N.k) and that this wirdication is given in the form, not of a dogmatic tantology (secing, since, inasmueh as, for, \&c.), but of a hypothetical assumption of the result of an appeal, on the question of a fitting retribution, to the instiucts at once of reason and of faith. Not, indeed, as if there were the least doubt respecting the righteousness of any part of the Diviue procedure iu judging the word. On the contrary, it is the very certainty of that truth, as something altogether beyond cavil, that emboldens the writer, by a sort of logical meiosis, to argue from it conditionally. Schott's remark, therefore: 'haud raro tamen, quod nobis certo persuasum est, tanquam si dubium haberi possit, emuntiamus, audientium legentiumve judicium rectuin provocaturi ${ }^{2}$ : 'Not unfrequently we announce that, of which we are well persuaded, as if it might be reckoned doubtful, by way of challenging the sober judgment of the hearer or the reader,' is perfectly correct in itself, but is no reason at all for his rendering eites here, as do most others, siquidem, quandoquidem, since, \&c. Chrysost., in like manner, says that eitese here stands for $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon i$ (Damasc. $\begin{gathered}\varepsilon \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \rho) \\ \text { ) ; but his illustrations }\end{gathered}$




 Eotuy: 'If, says he, it is a righteous thing with God to punish these men, punish them He certainly will. . . . As if one should say: If God hates the wicked; speaking thas for the very purpose of forcing the confession, that He does hate them. For such sentences are not at all doubtful, those addressed knowing also themselves, that the thing is righteous.' Sce also Theodor. and Occum.-In the other (5) cases of ع้ँचє! (excepting I Cor. 8:5, where it is complicated with a zai yáo preceding), E. V. renders it, if so be [that];-W. (if nathless), R. (if yet);-Syr. (= Tremell. et si), Vulg. (si tamen;-on which, Pelag.: 'Hic, si tamen, confirmantis sermo
est, non dubitantis.') ;-De W., Lünem., (venn anders;-which is given also, as the proper force of the particle, by IHerm. ad Vig., p. 83I, who adts: 'usurpatur de re, quae esse sumitur, sed in incerto relinquitur, utrum jure an injuria sumatur.'), Alford at Rom. 8:9 ('Chrys. tries to prose títe@ = éreiteo here by adducing 2 Thess. $1: 6$, where however, as here, the meaning is, if so be that, if at least.') ;-L. and S. (if at all events, if indced), Schirl. (wenn anders, wenn sonst, wenn ja). See 1 Pet. ¿: 3, N. j.
${ }^{4}$ See r. 4, N.j.
${ }^{r}$ For those who, see I Thess. $4: 13$, NN. $q_{2}$ r, \&c.

- Sce I Thess. 3 : 4, N. t.
: IIesych.: 'urcats. ảv'สavas.' Properly, however, the word means, 'a letting up or loose, remission, relaxation' (Rol.). Ifence Erasm. and most other Latin rerss. have here relaxationem; Fr. M. and S., du relâehe. Comp. the áaróqu气气s of Acts $3: 19$.
a The Greek construction is retained by W., B., R. ;-Bens. note. Dodd., Wesl., Wakef., Thom., Sharpe, Murd., Kenr., Turnb. ;-and many foreigu verss.
* Beng. : 'Angeli inserviunt Christo in exserenda ejus potcntia': "The angels serve Christ in exhibiting IIis power.' Their own power is not referred to, except as that is implied in their ministerial attendance on the Lord; and still less their number, with the host of His angels (Syr., as isterpreted by Corn. a Lap. and Murd.;-Drus., Mich., Koppe [allows it], Krause, Stolz, Mey.).-Here also the Greek construction is retained or allowed by E. V. marg. ;-W., C., B. R. ;-Engl. Ann., Bens. note, M. Henry, Gill, Newc. marg. Scott, Sharpe, Conyb., Kenr. ;-and very many foreign verss.
w E. V. marg. ;-C., B., F. ;-Engl. Ann., Dens. note, M. IIenry, Gill, Scott, Sharpe, Kenr. See 2 Pet. 2 : 1I, N. h, \&c.
$=$ Gr. fire of flame. For zupi q qojós, Scholz and Lachm. read ghoyi $\pi$ ऐ@ós (Syr., Tulg., \&c.), flame of fire $=$ fiery flame.
y The words दे nupi ghoyós [ghoyi rupós] are connected, as describing the instrument or manner of vengeance, with $\delta_{i}$ סóvos, by Syr., Tulg. (as some punctuate and explain), Dt. (especially the later edition) ;-Fab., Pagn., Bez., Cocc., B. and

KING JAMES' VERSION. geance on them that know not God, and that obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ:

## 9 Who slall be punished with

GREEK TEXT.

 $\gamma \in \lambda i \notin$ то仑 K'vpiov ì $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'I I $\sigma о \hat{v}$

L., Baumg., Moldenh., Mich., Ros., Mack., Thom., Pemn, Troll., Von der II., Turnb. The same thing is indicated also by the text of Hahn and Theile. But according to our text, and nearly all other editions and verss. (including the original edition of E. V., which has the comma after fire), they express a separate and distinct feature of the revelation. Comp. Sept. Ex. 3:2, in trioi qhapos, though with the same rariation ( $\mathrm{b} \mathrm{\nu}$ q7oji $\pi$ (юós) as here; 19: 18; Is. $66: 15, \omega_{s} \pi \bar{\rho}$, but in
 of these constructions be preferred, and even with the reading quojì ruœós, the participle didurtos itself must be re-
 \%,ow, comp. Meb. and Scpt. Numl, $31: 3$ and Ezeľ. $25:$ It,
 employed ly E. r. at Deut. 32: 41,43 , where the Sept. has
 (yielding) ;-W., R., (use to give), T., C., G., B. ;-Hamm. Par., Bens. (distributing), Peile (awarding just retribution). In like manner, the Vulg. and Germ., with some other Latin and German rerss., have dare, geben, zutheilen, \&c.
= For those uho (lis), sec 1 Thess. $4: 13$, NN. $q, r, \& c$.

- It is not safe to rely, as Lünem, and others do, on the repetition of the article before $\mu$ ì irazatiovo as sufficient of itself to demonstrate that a different elass of persons is meant
 the Heathen (Fr. M.;-Ambrosiast., Bens., Beng. [Judacis maxime;-and so Wesl.. and others]. Koppe, BaumgartenCrusius, Lünem.), or pestes in sinu Ecclesiae latitantcs, hypocrites, unuorthy professors of Christianity, as distinguished from manifestos Christi hostes, infudels; non-professors (Aret., Zanch., Bloomf.), or ' wicked carnal heretical Gnostic Christians,' as distinguished from 'obdurate ders' (Hamm.), or generally, and, as I think, correctly, thase, who, whether Jews or Gentiles, having heard, disobey the Gospel, as distinguished from those who have misimproved the light of nature (Est., Cocc., Whitb., Wells, B. and L., M. Henry, Gnyse, Baumg., Mich., Mack., Flatt, Peile;-Green). The second article might possibly serve merely to give prominence to another, and still darker, aspect of the same class (Calv., Turret., Pelt, Schott, Olsh., De W., \&c.). Cump. Rev. $16: 2, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{j}$. But l see no reason in the present case to waire the oneration of the ordinary grammatical rule, especially as ignorance of God is freqnently with Paul the specific




Gal. $4: 8$; Eph. 2: 12; \&c.; and it is, morcorer, probable that the present (vr. 4, 5), no less than the previous ( 1 Thess. $2: 14 ;$ Acts $17: 5,8 c$.), sufferings of this church hat a double source, in the blind ungodliness of the Meathen in gencral, and the special malignity of all such as resisted the grace of the Gospel.-Among those who repeat the demonstrative, as well as the relative, may be mentioned T.;-Syr., Germ., Dt., It., Fr. M.,--S. ;-Ambrosiast., Tremell., Pisc., Cucc., Lens., Guyse, Dodd., Mack., Thom., Greenf., Murd.: Peile, Yon der 1 .
b The word Yocaroũ, bracketed by Kinapp and Lachm., is cancelled by Beng. in his German rersion, Mey., Tisch.

- Oitures, all such, and as being such.
${ }^{4}$ According to the punctuation of our text and of most other editions, the form of the original would be more property given thus: shall suffer punishment, everlasting destruction, from \&ic. (and so, except that the word eren is supplied before crerlasting, Mack. and Newe. So also Thom, and Sharpe, except that the furmer has the indefinite article an before everlasting: and both onit the comma after destruction. Bens. shall suffer, for their pun., evcrl. dest. Maay furcign verss, in like manner, preserve both the active verb and the apnosition.). My only objection to it is, that the sereral parts of the rerse seem to be more closely bound together in meaning, dian viovour with ö̀sधoon aitortor, and both with äró, than this arrangement represents. What the wicked shall

 ưOquadooiav (Heb. 2: 2)-the mect: just, recompense of reword; and that is here intimated to lee muthing less than everlasting destruction. Again, their judicial destruction, or their destroying punishment, shall be from the face ©c.;' whetlier, 1., as its source or cause (It., Fr. M. ;-Pagn. [ $\cdot$ dammati $a^{\prime}$ '], Grot., Whitb. and Barn. [the second $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}^{\prime}\right]$, Wells, B. and L. Bens., Beng., M. IIenry, Muldenh., Mack., Storr, Bootlir., Flatt, Pelt, I) W. [as probable]. Cunyb. Comp. Is. I3: © [Joel $1: 15$ ], 2 ); or, 2, as that, in being eternally sundered from which shall consist the main element of woe (Musc., Bez., Engl. Ann., Whitb. and Barn. [the first ȧtó], Turret., Mich., Kople, Kranse, Stulz, Van Ess, Gossner, Clarke, Mey., Güsch., Schott, Olsh., Hloomf., Lünem., Von der II. ;-Bretsch., Wahl, Liob. Comp. Gen. $4: 16$; Prov. $15: 29$; Jerem. 32 : 31 ; Matt. $22: 13$; 1 John $2: 28, N . a$, and the references there.) ; or, 3., as that, the merc mamifestation of which will suffice to effect the ruin of the ungorlly-in the day of our Lord's veni, vidi, rici-(Chrysost., Occum., Theoplylact,


## KING JAMES' VERSION.

everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord, and from the glory of his power;

10 When he shall come to be glorified in his saints, and to be admired in all them that believe (because our testimony among you was believed) in that day.

## GREEK TEXT.


 ¿ $\sigma$ ұv́os aùтov̂,

10 öт $\alpha \nu$ ढ̈ $\lambda \theta_{\eta} \eta$ є่ $\nu \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ év toîs áyiots á́тov, каì $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha-$ $\sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ тoìs $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ v́ov $\sigma \iota \nu$,



## REVISED VERSION.

with everlasting edestruction from the 'face of the Lord, and from the glory of his ${ }^{\text {s }}$ strength;

10 When he shall come to be glorified bin his saints, and admired nin all 'those who dbelieve (because our testimony kto you was believed), 1 in that day.

Est., Corn. a Lap., Mart. Comp. ch. 2 : 8, N. t; Ex. 14 : 24 ; Ps. 104: 32; Heb. and Sept. 1s. 2:19, 21; LIab. 3: 6; Rev. $6: 16 ; 20: 11$. Shakespeare, Julius Caesar, i. 3:
'Caesar shall forth: the things that threaten'd me,
'Ne'er look'd but on my back; when they shall see
'The face of Caesar, they are vanished.').
The first and second explanations are allowed by Fr. S. ;-Dt. Ann., Wolf., Guyse, Dodd., Baung., Ros., Newc., Coke, Scott; -the second and third, by Gill, Gerl. ;-all three, by Aret.

- Lachm. reads bùź9pıor:
${ }^{f}$ E. V., gencrally; see especially Matt. 18:10; Luke $1: 76$; 2 Cor. 4: 6; 1 Pet. 3:12; Rev. 20:11;-W., R. ;-Latin verss., except Pagn. and Castal., (fueie), German verss. (Angesicht;-
 Kupíov), Italian yerss. (fuecia), Fr. M.,-S., (fuce) ;-Dodd., Newc., Turnb.
${ }^{\text {E }}$ See Rer. $7: 12$, N. o, \&c.; and comp. Ps. 89: 17.-Syr. ( $=\mathbf{3}=$ Tremell. virium), Dt. (sterkte), Fr. M.,-S., (force);Bez., lisc., Zanch., Cocc., Schmidt, Beng., Storr, (use robur), Baumg., All., (Krafi), Pern (might), Sharpe.
${ }^{1}$ Not $=\delta_{i \alpha}$ or $\dot{v} x \dot{0}$, by, through, durch, von, per, ab (Chrysost., Occum., Theophylact, Moldenh., Kuin., Ros. [the second $\dot{z} \nu$;-and so Van Ess, Penn], Wakef., Mack., Newe., 'Ihom., Boothr., Scott [the first $\varepsilon^{2} \nu$ ], Mey., Flatt, Schott, Turnb.) ;-nor: with (Germ.) ;-nor: among, au milicu de. (Fr. S. marg. ;-Mich., Van Ess [the first], Sharpe, Von der H. [the second]). See 2 Pet. I: 1, N. d. As the woman is of the man (1 Cor. $11: 7$ ), so shall the Church be 'the glory of Christ' (2 Cor. 8:23). Into her, and around her, He will pour His own glory; and so shall all eyes, in her, as in a bright and stainless mirror, see and adore her Lord. Pelag. : 'Ipse in suis glorificandus est membris, quae solis splendore fulgebunt': '1Ie himself is to be glorified in lis members, which shall shine with the brightness of the sun.' Comp. Ps. $90: 16,17$; Is. $43: 7 ; 46: 13 ; 60: 1,2,14,19 ; 62: 3$; Jerem. 13:1I; 33:9; John 17:10, 22; Rom. 8:18; 2 Cor. 3:18; 2 Thess. 2:14; Lev. $21: 11$, 23. Thus also would the Apostle render uore vivid the contrast ( $\dot{d} \pi \dot{c}$. . $\dot{v} p$ ) between the relations of the friends, and of the fues, of Christ, to the glory which shall be revealed. What repels, scatters, destroys, the latter, is to the former the rery centro of a
blessed attraction-the bond of an indissoluble union-a congenial element of joy and praise, that shall pervade their whole being, filling it to overflowing.
${ }^{i}$ See 1 Thess. $4: 13, N N . q, r$; \&c.
${ }^{1}$ For atatevovar, Wells and all the recent editors read тєotєíaळgey (A.B.D.E.F.G. many cursive MSS. Vulg. \&c. Chrysost., \&c.). I recommend that this reading be adopted:

k E. V. is certainly right, notwithstanding the absence of
 with $\mu<0$ oúptor, not, as some (G.;-Wcsl., Mack., Stolz, Sharpe, Turnb.: belicced of, among, by, you), with żஎotzvinn. But neither can zri, especially when followed by an accusative, mean among. That it here marks the direction (to, toward, \&c.) of the testimony, is the opinion of T., C., B. ;-Erasm., Calv., Castal., Musc., Vat., Beng. (but with a fanciful amplificatiou: 'ad vos usque, in occidente'), Moldenh., Koppe, Krause, Ros., Thom., Penn, Gerl., Olsh., De W., Lünem., Kenr., Peile;-Rob. Comp. Luke 9:5, and Rev. 14 : 6, N. f.

1 It is not worth while to trace the almost numberless variations of ingenious, and of violent, error in the exegesis of this verse, particularly as regards the reference and inter-

 $\mathfrak{r}_{\mu} \mu \nu \quad$ éf ${ }^{3}$ é $\mu \tilde{\alpha} s$, as one of Paul's sudden parentheses, by means of which he here, on the suggestion of the preceding $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \ell$ rots либtevodroıy, and for the purposes at once of enconragement and of warning, justifies himself in pointing the suffering saints at Thessalonica, for their consolation, to the terrors and glories of the coming judgment. After the parenthesis, however, I insert a comma, in order to indicate the connection of the closing words, not with $\varepsilon \neq y$ n (Beng., Newc., Bloomf., Conyb., \&c.-some even proposing a transposition, for which Rom. 2:12, 16 is in vain cited by Dens. as parallel : in that

 Penn, Lunem.), but with the whole result of the Lord's advent, as that is expressed in this verse. Luncm., indeed, is inclined to think, that the addition is intended merely to balance in the second half the $\frac{\circ}{\circ} \tau \alpha \nu{ }^{2} 2.9$ ? $n$ of the first; though he adds that possibly Calv. may be right: 'repetit in die

## KIN゙G JAMES＇VERSION．

11 Wherefore also we pray always for you，that our God would count you worthy of this

## GREEK TENT．

11 єis ồ ка̀ $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \tau \chi$ ó $\epsilon \theta \theta$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau о т \epsilon ~ \pi є р \grave{\imath} \quad \dot{\nu} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ ，iva $\dot{v} \mu \bar{\alpha} s$


## REVISED VERSION．

11 m To which end also we pray always for you，that our God may ©count you worthy of
illa ．．．Ideo antem repetit，ut fideliun rota cohibeat，ne ultra modum festinent＇：＇IIe repeats in that day；and this he does for the sake of restraining the desires of the faithful from making undue haste．＇But might it not be said that the main
 issues of the Divine judgment，in buth its aspects（rv． 6,7 ） of goodness and severity，as consummated at one and the same time；the glorification of the Church being sinultaneous with the overthrow of her enemics，when that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'Appears of respiration to the just, } \\
& \text { 'And vengeance to the wicked.' }
\end{aligned}
$$

（Milton，P．L．xii．539－541．）
－The abore，or equivalent，punctuation is employed by l．；－ It．；－Pagn．，Mont．，Tremell．，Bez．，Sharpe，\＆c．
m＇To which end－to wit，the glory of the Lord，as finally revealed in and through the Church．＇－E．V．，comp．Rom． I4： 9 ； 2 Cor． $2: 9$ ；Cul．I ： 29 ；\＆c．；－Erasm．，Musc．，Vat．， Mont．，Zanch．，Cocc．，Beng．，（ad［in］quod；－Beng．adding： ＇hue orando nitimur＇：＇to this point we strive in our prayers＇）， Pagn．，Bez．Pisc．，（cujus rei gratia），Cals．（in quam rem）， Hamm．Par．，Pyle，Wakef．，Thom．，（to［for］which purpose）， Schmidt（in quem finem），Wesl．，Newc．，Conyb．，Peile，（to this ［which］end），Baumg．（dazu），Moldenh．，De W．，（zu dem ［welchem］Ende），Bloomf．（in order to which）；－Rob．（to which cnd，whereunto）．No one follows Koppe here in his application of what used to be much in rogue as a summary method of dealing with Paul＇s connectives：＇mera particula transeundi＇： ＇a mere particle of transition．＇But Lunem．，while rejecting this，along with the illative construction（wherefore，\＆c．）， thinks it necessary to have recourse to another meaning of $\varepsilon i s$ ， viz．in Beziehung auf，in relation to；his objection to the final interpretation and reference proposed above being，that the Apostle regarded the future glorification of Christ in believers as a fixed fact，not at all dependent on his prayers；of which， therefore，the only aim could be，that the Thessalonians also might then be found to be of the number of those，in whom that glorification shall be accomplished．But，I．，the writer proceeds from the outset on the assumption，that the Thessa－ lomians were already of that number；and， 2 ．，it is no part whatever of Pauline philosophy，that the gracious and un－ alterable purpose of God vacates the prayers and efforts of faith．Only by means of these could Paul and his brethren aspire to be co－workers with God toward the predestined result．See 1 Cor． 3 ：9； 2 Cor．6：I；Phil．2：12， 13 ；\＆c．
${ }^{n}$ W．，T．，G．，R．，（make；without an auxiliary），C．（will）；－ Mack．，Sharpe，Conyb．，Kenr．，Peile，Turnb．Foreign verss． have simply a present subjunctive．E．V．follows B．
－But why should Paul be so earnest in prayer，that the Thessalonians might be counted worthy of the calling．when they had already been called？And how can any sinful man be worthy of the heavenly calling？These are thought to be difficulties；and one or the other，or both of them，expositors in general avoid only by dint of certain exegetical liberties with the Greek．Thus，I．most（W．，T．，C．，G．，B．，H．；－Syr．， Germ．，Dt．marg．，Fr．M．，－S．marg．；－Fab．，Castal．，Zeg．，Grot．， Cocc．，IIamns．，Schmidt，Whitb．，Wells，B．and L．，Turret．， Beng．，Guyse，Dodd．，Wesl．，Pyle，Moldeuh．，Mart．，Mich．， Wakef．，Thom．，Stolz，Yan Ess，All．，Burt．，Olsh．，Troll．， Murd．，Kenr．，Yon der II．，Turnb．；－Schūttg．）take＂Ẻョóo in the sense of to make（or，as Koppe and Krause，to keep） worthy．Int，as was remarked above（v．5，N．n），there is not the slightest warrant for this in the usage of the word． 2．Others（Aeth．，It．note；－Calv．，Bez．，Pisc．，Dt．and Engl． Ann．，Bens．，Gill，Ros．，Mey．，Pelt，Schott，De W．，Bloomf．， Lünem．，Peile；－Schleus．，Walil，Schill．）understand by zh亢̃ot亏 metonymically the future glory and blessedness，to which the belieser is called．But this also is not a little arbitrary， such texts as have been citcd in its behalf－Rom． $11: 29$ ； Eph．I：I8；4：I，4；Phil．3：14；IIeb．3：I－being really destitute of force；nor is much gained by Lünem．＇s reference to Col．I ： 5 for an＇analogous＇use of $\overline{2 h} \pi i^{\prime}$ ．In the N．T．， ＊$\lambda$ ，ots is employed to cxpress the act of God in calling men into the fellowship of the Gospel；or the state of present privilege and hope，into which they are thus introduced；and， in either case，is fully represented by our own word，calling． 3．Aret．：Baung．，and Flatt，in their commentaries，combinc the two hermeneutical licenses just mentioned．The whole difficulty，howeser，in the way of retaining the proper and ordinary meaning of both the rerb and the noun，comes of the idea．that the Disine act，denoted by the former，is prelimin－ ary to what is denoted by the latter．But this is a mere assumption，not required by philology，or by the truth of doctrinc．The preceding context，moreover，on which the present rerse expressly depends（ $\varepsilon i$ ö o ），would seem naturally to direct the mind forward to that decisive judgment，which God
＇Pronounces lastly on each deed＇（Milton，Lycidas，83）－
that＇Well done，good and faithful servant＇（Hatt．$\frown 5: 23$ ）， which prochaims alike the efficiency of the call，and the patient， fruitful fidelity of those，who have＇walked wortly of their
 to this in every N．T．instance is the logical relation between $\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \xi i \omega s$ and the word governed by it；1 Thess． $2: 12 ; \mathbb{K c}$ ． Comp．also the use of＂̌stos in Matt．3： 8 ；Luke $3: 8$ ；Acts $26: 20$ ．），and so have＇made their calling and clection sure＇

## KING JANIES' VERSION.

calling, and fulfil all the good pleasure of his goodness, and the work of faith with power:

12 That the name of our Lord Jesus Christ may be glorified in you, and ye in him, according to the grace of our God, and the Lord Jesus Christ.

## GREEK TEXT.

$\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \sigma \eta \pi \bar{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \in \cup \mathcal{\partial} \delta-$
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon i$.



 каì Kuрiov'I I $\sigma о$ v̂ X Xıбтой.

## REVISED VERSION.

pthe calling, and fulfil severy rdesire of goodness, and ${ }^{5}$ work of faith, ' with power;

12 That the name of our Lord Jesus "Christ may be glorified in you, and ye in him, according to the grace of vour God, and the Lord Jesus Christ.
(2 Pet. $1: 10$ ). For 'many are called,' who are not 'chosen' (Matt. $20: 16$ ). Correctly, therefore, Pelag., at least as regards the force of the verb: 'Ut digni inveniamini ad id quod vocati estis: quia priores invitati, non erant digni ": 'that ye way be found worthy of that to which ye have been called; for those first bidden were not worthy.' The latter half of the
 this object of the Apostle's heart could be secured. 'The judgment of God is according to truth' (Rom. : : 2), and whom IIe counts worthy, He tirst makes worthy-worthy in state and in character, as IIis own justified and holy children.
p 'The calling-to that very glory, of which I have just spoken.'-T'., B. ;-German ferss. (except that All. follows the Vulg. sua; as Scholef. and others also do. Peile has your.), Dt. ;-Fab., Calv., Mont., Cocc., Schmidt, Mack., Greenf, Gösch.: Sharpe, Turob. Comp. 3 John 7, N. f.
${ }^{9}$ I. To the E. V. interpretation, followed by many, De W. and Linem. olyject, 1., that, from the construction of the sentence, zidouicu riqaitoovors must have the same reference as Eopor giorews, which all understand of the Thessalonians ;2 ., that rivatwoim, which occurs 4 times in the N. Tr., and only in Paul's writings, is nowhere else used by him for the goodness of God;-and, B., that that interpetation would
 this last puint, may be cited also Green's remark, that, when $\pi \tilde{\alpha}_{s}$ takes a singular noun (if not strictly an abstract, or a proper name) without the article, in such a case $\pi \tilde{\alpha} s$ signifies cvery. II. Sone (Theophylact, Grot., Hamm., Moldenh., Koppe, Krause, Stolz, Tan Ess, All., Gossner, Mey., Olsh., Barn., Peile ;-Schleus., Bretsch.) refer \&idazity to God and ryavoovirs to the Thessalonians, with this sense: all goodness that is well-pleasing to Him;-a mode of explanation, which hinnem. pronounces still more inadmissible (De W. had called it impossible.) than the other. To have given it eren the slightest show of authority, the Greck, he says, must hare
 ference of the entire phrase to the Thessalonians is adopted by T. and C. (apparently: all delectation of goodness) ;-Syr. ( $=$ Tremell. 'impleat vos omni voluntate rerum bonarum;'a construction of the preceding $i \mu \tilde{\alpha}_{s}$, as under the government of $\pi$ monoroon, that is found also in Ambrosiast., Fab., Olsh.), Castal., Vat. marg. (omnem vestram voluntatem erga bonitatera), Nüsselt, Ros., Wakef., Schott, Burt., De W., Troll.,

Conylb., Lünem., Turıb. ;-Sehöttg., Wahl, Green, Rob., Schirl. and is allowed by Cocc, and Schleus. (' nisi interpretari malis: omne virtutis studium'); while yet others, by their selection of terms and avoidance of any pronowinal supplement, leave doubtful the version, which, however, they often interpret in the sense of E. V.; thus: B., Kenr., (all [the] good pleasure of goodness);-Vulg. (omnem roluntatem bonitatis), Germ. (alles Hohlgefallen der Güte);-Ambrosiast. (omni placito bonitatis), Erasm., Musc., Vat., (omne bonum propositum bonit.) Cocc., Schmidt, (omne beneplacitum bonit.), Von der II. (alles Wohlgefallen ron Güligkeit). See N. r.-For every, see Kranse, Wakef., Yan Ess, Burt., De W., Troll., Linem.;Green.
r Eidoxio is properly mental satisfaction, complacency; and then inclination, bent. I take the word which E. V. employs at Lom. 10:1, as heing, though not an exact representative, convenient for the present construction.-Wakef., Burt., Troll., (intention), Penn (purpose), De W. ('Wohlgefallen an [Geneigtheit zul'), Bloomf. (designs), Conyb. (love), 'Iurnb. (delight) ;-Selouttg. ('Adfectus benexolus, smmmum desiderium '), Wahl (dulcedinem), Green (as I'emu), Rob. ('pleasure in any thing. desire'), Schinl. (die gute Absicht, dor sehnliche W'unsch). Sce N. q.
${ }^{8}$ See N. q. According to the interpretation adopted, the
 E้oyov. Comp. John 3:21; 1'hil. 2:12, 13; \&c.-Wakef.
t That zy foraue belongs to the verb (comp. Rom. I : 4; 2 Cor. 12: 9; 13:3; Eph. 1:19; Col. I : 29; 1 Pet. I:5) is indieated by T., C., G. ;-It., French. verss. ;-Pagn., Musc., Bez., Pisc., Est., Dt. Ann., Grot., Itamm., Bens., Baumg., Moldenh., Mich., Koppe, Krause, Tos., Wakef., Mack., Newc, Thom., Stolz, Yan Ess, Scott, All., Mey., Flatt, Pelt, Burt., Gösch., Schott, Penn, Sharpe, Olsh., De W., Bloomf., 'I'roll., Barn., Conyb., Lünem.
" This Xpeotov, bracketed by Knapp and Lachm., is cancelled by Mey. and Tisch.
v Marginal note: 'Or, our God and Lord.' So Fr. S.;Krause, Thom., Burt. (allows it), IIorne (Introduction, \&c.), Dick (Lcctures, \&c.). But the application, in this instance, of the common rule about several words coupled by conjunctions, and preceded by a single article, is fairly questionable on the grounds stated by Midd.: 'The difficulty arises from
king James' version.

## CHAD. II

Now we beseech you, brethren, by the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, and by our gathering together unto him,

2 That ye be not soon shaken

GREEK TEXT.
CHAP. II.
' $E P \Omega T \Omega M E N$ ס̀̀ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha}$,
 Kupiov ij $\bar{\omega} \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma о \bar{v}$ Xpıotov,
 Tò ,


REVISED V゙ERSION.
CHAI. II
a But we bescech you, brethren, "concerning the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ. and c our gathering together unto lim,

2 That ye be not "quickly
the single circumstance, that Kíotos $\%$. Xo. is a common title of Christ, and is ofteu used independently of all which precedes it. . . . The words Képos' Iro. Nouozos are usually taken together ; and the acquiescence of antiquity induces a strong suspicion that in this instance such wats the reccived construction.'
a 'You see, then, what is to be expected, and prayed for, as your portion at the coming of the Lord. but, in regard to that coming itself, \&e.' Or perbaps the Greek arrangement may rather suggest an opposition between koovãuen íuäs
 $5: 12$, N. o, dc. W.;-Bens., Dodd., Pemn, Scholef., Conyb., Murd., Turnb. ;-and many foreign ver'ss.
b The explanation of this clause as a form of adjuration, in which E. V. and many other old verss. follow the Vulg. ( $p e r$ ), is now generally abandoned, as unwartanted by N. T. usage, and as forming in itself an unsuitable introduction to a detailed correction of errors on this very tupic of the advent. But Linnem.: "There is nothing to hinder our allowing the preposition even here its most proper force. The sense is: In the interest of the coming, that is, in order to beep it clear from every thing ertoneons, ${ }^{\prime}$ is two artificial.
 de, of, concerning, as it is sometimes used, and especially in later Greek, 'without the accessory idea of advantage to any one' (Buttmann. § I 47 ). In the present connection, however, $\boldsymbol{z} \pi \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is better than $\pi$ 保i, as conveying ' the further signification of interest or concern in the subject' (Green; who refers also to Acts 5: 11 ; liom. $9: 27$; 2 Cor. $5: 12 ; 8: 23$; \&c.). Inileed, I am not sure that the writer's idea was not of this sort: 'For the sake of our Lorl's coming, and our common interest in that evont.' (Germ. der Zukunfl halben;-Schmidt and Bretsch. propter; Greenf. $F=\frac{?}{2}$; Sharpe for). But the above general sense is given by Syr., as interpreted by Murd., $\mathbb{\Sigma}$, in regard to, which is better than Tremell. per; though the meaning may just as well be that of the London Polyglott, ob), Aeth., Fr. M.--S.;-Caly. marg., Castal., Mnse. Comm. (though with this illustration of the Vulg.: ©Quod si quis vulgatam lectionem retinendam, legendumque esse judicat, Rogamus autem ros, fratres, per adventum Domini nostri Jesu Christi, et nostri argregationem ad illum: cogitet quom modo affectos oporteat coss esse erga adventum Domini nostri Jesu Christi, et aggregationem ad illum. quos Apostolus re-
spectu utriusque rogandos esse censuit. Solemus enim haudquaquam per ea rogare, quac nullo, vel certe modico in pretio esse novinus: sed ea rugantes pmeteximus: de quibus non duhitumus, quin sint impense chara et desiderata. Si roges mulierem per adventum mariti ipsius, et sui cum illo conjunctionem, consulto hoc feceris, si sit amans mariti sui: secus rero, si pluris absentiam illius quam adventuni faciat': 'But if any one thinks that the common reading should be retained: We beseech you, brethren, by \&c., let him consider how those must have been disposed toward the coming of our Lord Jusus Christ, and the gathering together unto llim, who, in the Apostle's judgment, were to be besought on the ground of these two events. For it is not at all customary for us, in our entreaties, to plead matters which we know to be held in little or no account; but we put furward those things which, we doubt mot, are exceedingly dear and longed for. If you entreat a woman by the coming of her husband, and her reunion with him, you have done wisely, provided she love her husband; but not so, if she prefer his absence to his arrival.' And so the per is generally understood; e.g. Pelag.: 'per adventum \&e. Quo robis carius nihil csse, sum certus': 'by the coming-than which, I an sure, there is nothing dearer to you.'), Kanch., Vorstius, Grot., Hamm. (as above;-and so Bens., Wesl., Pyle, Newt., Waket., Mack., Newe., Burt., Penn, Bloomf., Trohl., Scholef., Conyb.), Wolf., Beng., Laumg., Moldeulı, Nüsselt, Koppe, Storr, Los., Thom. and Boothr. (with respeet to), Stolz, Van Ess, Mey., Flatt, Pelt, Güsch., Schott, Lanngarten-Crusius, Olsh., De W., Wieseler, Elliott (Horae Apocul. ed. ii. vol. iii. p. 76 : with regard to), Barn. (respecting), Peile (on the subject of), Tumb. (in respect to);Schlens. Wahl, Win., Rob., Schirl.;-and is seemingly proferred by Whitb., as it is allowed by Gill.
c The Vulg. errs in putting $\begin{aligned} & \text { ztoavray } \omega \gamma^{\prime} \\ & \text { s }\end{aligned}$ under the government of rapousias (and so August. and Ambrosiast.). But weither is there any thing for the E. V. repetition of the preposition, in Ilamm., Wells and later English verss. (except Sharpe).
a 'In any hour, on the first assault, of tenptation;' not, as Storr and Olsh. : 'So soon after ny personal presence and instructions' (comp. oivto $\tau \alpha \neq \dot{c} \omega$ s of Gal. I : 6); though it is true that the insertion of such a word at all, in such an address, was probably intended for a delicate implication, that the Thessalonians, or some of them, had aheady fallen into

KING JAMES' VERSION.
in mind, or be troubled, neither by spirit, nor by word, nor by letter as from us, as that the day of Christ is at hand.

## GREEK TEXT.

REVISED VERSION.



 ${ }_{\kappa \in \nu} \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ X \rho \imath \sigma \tau o u ̀ . ~$
the snare.-E. V., Luke $14: \Omega 1 ; 16: 6 ;-T .$, C., G., B., (suddenly), R. (casily);-Latin verss. (cilo;-except Ambrosiast., facile), Dt. (haastiglijh), It. (tosto), Fr. M. (subitement), Fr. S. (promptement);-B. and L. (lígêrement), Berlenburger Bibel and most of the later German verss. ([so] geschwind-gleich-schnell;-for Luther's baid), Kuin. (statim), Ros. (subito), Wakef., Thom., Sharpe, Bloomf., (hastily), Nerre., Scott, (as R.), Conyb. (rashly), Turnb.;-Bretseh., Wahl, ([praepostere, temere, 1 übercilt, zu schncll), Rob. (quickly, hastily).

- Gr. from-like a ship tossed in a rolling sea (acheve, from ádios) from its moorings. Comp. Gal. 1:6; Col. 1:23; \&c. The nearest approaeh that our idiom allows is, when we speak of a man driven out of his mind. Most English verss., however, do retain from here, but (excepting T., C., G., B., moved from your mind; and Sharpe, shaken from your mind) with a diferent interpretation of roñs (see N. g), or else with some periphrastic amplification (thus: Dodd., moved from the stcudiness of your mind; Burt., from your better mind; Bloomf., Peile, shaken from the hitherto settled persuasion of your mind; Conyb., shaken from your soberness of mind).
f The article has here, as often both in Greek and other languages, the force of a pronominal reference to the subjeet of the preceding verb; and therefore the word, that does no more than translate this idiom, need not, I think, be itali-cized.-A pronoun is introduced by (in addition to those named in N. e) Syr. and Vulg. (with their followers). Germ. Fr. M.,-S.;-Hamm., Bens., Wakef., Mack., Newe., Thom., Boothr., Greenf., Seholef. ('If the translation in mind be altered at all, I do not see that any greater change is required than in your mind.'), Turnb. The reading of some MSS., $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ zoũ voòs viuc̃v, which Syr., Yulg, and other ancient verss., are supposed to have followed, may rather have been formed upon the verss.
${ }^{g}$ By many, roũs is understood to mean the more correct views (Castal., Grot., Cocc., Flatt, \&c., sententia; Dt., eerstand; French verss., sentiment; Hamm., opinion; Moldenh., der erlangten Erkcnntniss, oder Einsicht; \&c.), which the Thessalonians had hitherto entertained, as on other topies, so especially on that of the expected advent; some even (Wolf., Baumg., Storr, Burt. [as allowable], \&c.) finding a specific reference to the real sense of the writer's own words [in his former Epistle]. Little as this is warranted by the Greek, it is much better certainly than Mack.'s idea: 'shaken from your purpose of following the business of the present life'!
${ }^{b}$ A negative particle is employed by W., T., C., G., B., R. ;Beus., Wells, Dodd., Wakef., Mack., Thom., Boothr., Penn,

Bloomf., Conyb., Murd., Kenr., Peile, Turnb.;-nearly all foreign verss. For mite, Schott, Hahn, Lachm., Tisch., read $\mu$ 访 $\delta \varepsilon$, with the approbation of Win. (p. 578), De W., Lünem., \&c.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ IT. (be affcarcl), R. (be terrificd); ;-Vulgate (terreanini), Germ. (erschrecken), Dt. (rerschriht) ;-August., Bez., Pisc., Schmidt, (as Vulg.), Bens. (dismayed), Wesl., Kenr., (as R.; but without the bc;-which is omitted also by Dodd., Wakef., Maek., Newe., Thom., Sharpe, Turnb.), Mart. (atterrire), Koppe (animo perturbari, perterreficri), Kuin. and Ros. (use perterrere), Thom., All. and De W. (as Germ.), Greenf. (ד) able perturbation), Lünem., Von der H., (schrecken), Turnb. ;Sehleus., Bretschı, Walıl, (as Koppe), Pass, Schirl., (as Germ.), Green (to bc disturbed: disquieted, alarmed, (crrified). In the tro other places where this word oceurs, Matt. 24:6 and Mark 13: 7, Campbell renders it as above.
 seems to do, understand by horov generally the address, doctrine, reasoning, of the deceivers (Chrysost. in loc. [for, in his first Hom. on this Epistle, he refers it rather to some pretended report of what Prul had said], Decum., Theoplyylaet, Clar., Zeg., Engl. Ann., Kenr.), or specially their chronological reckoning (Aret., Mich.). The same word is, according to Lỉnem., referred by Baumgarten-Crusius to some pretended traditional saying of our Lord; and by Nösselt, to the propheey recorded in Matt. 24. Mark 13. Luke 21. But none of these views, exeepting the first, could be expressed by the simple $\lambda \dot{o} j o v$, and all of them seem to be set aside by the division, in v. 15, of the apostolic teaching, as to its methods, into hóyos, oral, and éruatồr, written, instruction. The original edition of E. V., bowever, and many other verss. have a comma also after Ė兀וozoiñ.s; though very few expositors (Erasm., Reiche, Barn.) are disposed to extend $\omega_{s} \delta i i_{i} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ over all the three preceding genitives of which it would in that case be diffcult, if not impossible, safely to distinguish the first from the two last. The great majority, therefore, limit this construction to the two last, and explain aveviparos by itself as some pretended revelation, or spiritual utterance, within the churel. It is this view, whieh I seek to indicate by removing the comma after word.
k Gr. by;-'word spuken, or letter written, by us.'
${ }^{1}$ For Xotaroũ, Wells, Beng. in his German version, and all the other recent editors (except Matth. and Bloomf.) read wepiov (A.B.D.G. many cursive MSS. Syr. Vulg. Origen and other Fathers). I recommend that this reading be adopted:
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3 Let no man deceive you by

GREEK TEETT.


REVISED VERSION.
3 Let no none deceive you oin
${ }^{-}$See 1 Thess. $5: 15, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{ic}$.

- Unly here and ch. $3: 16$ dees E. V. render roútos means; Rom. 3:2 and Plil. 1:18, way;-WF. (on any manner);-Penu (in
|any m.). Jurd. Foreign rerss, use modus, Heise, manicra, manicre, \&c., anl such of them (except It.), as require a preposition, have auf, op, in, en.
of the Lord; but with the fullowing marginal note: 'Or, as some real, of Clirist.'
${ }^{m}$ From the supposed necessity of the case, rather than from any grammatical compulsion the interpretation of B . $\mathrm{V}^{2}$. has been rery generally acquiesced in. But l, assuming that

 N. i.), and not the commotion which a sudden joy might occasion, there was really nothing in this idea of the nearness of the advent, that was likely of itself to strike with panic a chureh, such as the one at Thessalonica is in these Dpistles described to have been (v. $13 ;$ ch. $1: 3, \& c$. ; 1 Thess. $1: 3$, \&c.; 2:13, 14, 19, 20; 3: 6-9; \&c.). Only the 'evil servant' finds comfort and security in the thonght: 'My lord delayeth his coming ' (Matt. 24: 48) ; whereas of all true Christians it is a scriptural characteristic, that they 'lose Ilis appearing' (2 Tim. 4:8. Comp. also Luke $21: 28$; Rom. $8: 23$; Tit. $2: 13 ; 2$ Pet. $3: 12, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{p} ;$ lev. $22: 20 ; \mathbb{\&}$.). In the former Epistle. accordingly, the Thessalonians themselves are seen 'raiting for the Son of God from heaven' (ch. 1:10); and even here, the rery consolation, with which Panl has just been sceking to refresh and strengtlien his afflieted brethren, is drawn by him from the gleaming fountain of this same bessed hope (ch. $1: 7,10$ ). 'Colligendnm est robur ad durandum,' says Calv., on James $5: 8$; 'colligi autem melius non potest, quam ex spe et quasi intuitu propinqui adventus Domini': 'Strength must be gathered for enderance; but in no way can this better be done, than by hoping for, and, so to speak, gazing at, the speedy coming of the Lord.' 2. As commonly explained, the text presents a singular aud solitary contrast to the other chronological intimations of the New Testament on the subject of the arlvent; e. g. Matt. $24: 42-4$; John 16:16; Rom. 13:12; Plil. 4:5; 1reb. $10: 25,37$; James 5:8; 1 Pet. 4: 7; 1 Joha 2:18; Rev. 22: 20; (passages, which deserve consideration as well in a suljective rearard). Calv.'s solution of this difficulty: '1nstat enim Dei respectu, apud quem mille anni sunt tanquam dies unus': 'It is at hand in respect of God, with whom a thousand years are as one day,' is not quite satisfactory. 3. The phrase is at hand occurs 20 times elsewhere in the English N. T.; and in no one of those instances does it stand for the Cireck worit so translated liere, but in 9 instances fur $1 / \gamma \gamma e \%$; in 10 for
 Eqfort\%e. This last case being plainly analogous to our own, it may be remarked in passing, that is upon me (Germ. ist rorhanden, of which De W. and Huth.'s summary negative is not a sufficient disproof; Mack. hath eome; Fr. S. est arrivé) is in that instance the only idea that either gives the furce of
the term, or harmonizes with the context: ${ }_{i} \delta \sum_{n}$ onevopoua . . . vòr doúsor tetéherta. [It may likewise be noted, that Chrysost. and Oecum., in their comnents on this verve, substitnte forms of éqiotrue for zrior.] No one would think of rendering metusque duti pervenit ad aevi (Virg. Aer. N. 4To), - he is approaching, or he is rery near. the limits of his appuinten time; ' thongh Tumus survived several dars. 4. As it is dhficult to perceive on what grounds, except those of rinctorial hyperbele, the perfect of eviotrat could be predicated of that which, however noar, is still future, so, as fal as I can trace the form, it nerer is so employed, but invariably denotes actual presence. The classical usage is fairly represented in such places as İn. I. G. 2. 1. 6. vöv bueotr-
 of Eveotrums [zveorcis] zoderos, the existing uar, with which
 the grammatical ぬooros eveoros, for the present tense; de. The Sept. may be consulted at Esdr. $0: 6 ; 1$ Macc. 12: 44 ; 2 Macc. $3: 17 ; 6: 9 ; 12: 3 ; 3$ Macc. $1: 16 ; \& e_{0}$, to which

 Ev to zpoyeverviuku, where the former reference, equally with the latter, excludes all idea of future time. And lastly, as regards the $\mathbf{N}$. T. itself, this verl) occurs elsewhere in the six following places: Kom. 8:38; 1 Cor. 3: 22; 7: 20; Gal. $1: 4 ; 2$ Tim. $3: 1 ; 11$ eb. $9: 9$; in all of which it is properly renderel in E. V. present; except 2 Tim. 3:1, where the future tense is = E. V. shall cone; not, as Rob., shall 'stand near. i. e. be at hand: impend' ( - the same phrases he cmploys also for our own text; in all the rest, instant, present). The 'perilons times' were not to follow 'the last days,' however closely, but to be included within them. (See Storr, Opuse. Acad. iii. 206-7.)-Applying now the result of this induction to the present passage, we get this meaning: 'as that the day of the Lord is on hand, has set in, has come; ' and the danger, te which the Thessalonians were exposed. was that of supposing, either that the day of the Lord had come in some quite ditierent way, from that in which they had been taught to look for it, to wit, as the day of the Lord's personal return; or else that this great crisis had actually transpired, and in that precise shape, while they were not aware of it. When Paul wrote the first Epistle, they were sorrowing by the graves of their departed friends, and the gricf of nature was enhanced by an apprehension, that their beloved ones might suller loss at the coming of the Lort. But now, should they hear that Ile had come and had not called for them, a yet decper, more agitating emotion must seize them, lest they themselves had furfeited their share in the glory of the king-
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p The supplement, (which is not to be aroided by making
 connecting öт elosely either with हैEuสatron: 'Let no one by any means deceive you that it is, until' \&c. [Thom], or with то́лтоv: in no manner whatever [Burt.]), should be taken, and in the simplest form, ont of the ezvéotryeve ir ipecore of v .2 . The aposiopesis itself is best explained by Beng.: 'llabet
 tam capit bene, non importune et teneraria audacia, sachte, scheu, etc. Eủdáßeta est, quod Paulus non expresse dicit: Non renit dics Christi, nisi \&c. Leaiter loquitur: abstinet verbis, quae non libenter audiret amator adrentus Christi'
'In this ellipsis there is zelápeca. The eidafins is he who treats the matter in hand discreetly, not rudely and with a reckless audacity. Uere the eildáseca is in Paul's not expressly saying: the day of Christ is not coming, unless de. He speaks gently; abstaining from words, which one that loved Christ's advent would not willingly hear.'-Castal. (non prius id futurum est), Grot. (illud non eveniet), Beng. (non fit), Mart. (ciò non sarà). Some modern verss. hide the gap, by
 (Wakef., Stolz, Van Ess, All., Mey., Flatt, Sharpe, Fr. S.) Conyb.: for before that day, the falling-away must first de Turnb.: deccive you by any turn, as if that the \&c.
dom. The latter suggestion may seem to involve a strange; f not an impossible, hatlucination. Hut let it be considered, (1) that the Lord's coming had been often compared, and this by Paul himself in his former communication, to the coming of a thief in the night (1 Thess. $5: 2,4$ ); so that such an untruth, as that against which he now warns them, might the more easily be fathered on the Apostle ; especially as he had, moreorer, in that same Epistle appeared to melude himself aud them as anong those who might be living at the time (ch. $4: 15,17$ ):--(2) that such false alums of stealthy advents had been actually furetold by Christ (Matt. 24: 23-6. It is worth noting, that the whole of Pelag.'s comment on the words, quasi instet dies Domini. Ne quis vos sedueat ullo modo, is the following reference to this prophecy: 'Dicentes: hic Christus, ecce illic.'):-and (3) that such a dehasion could scarcely be said to be greater than others, which are known to have existed in the Apostolic age. Comp. especially I Cor. 15: 12; 2 Tim. 2:18.—Syr. (with emphasis: 'that lo! the day of our Lord is come.' So at least the verb may properly be rendered, instead of, as Murd., is at hand. It is found in Acts $8: 36$ for ${ }^{3} \lambda 寸 o v$, they came; $10: 17$, 'the men who were sent by Cornelius arrived.' [Murd.]-Tremell, advenerient; 18:19, for кatip ThaE, E. V. he cane; Heb. 12: $4=$ Tremell. 'nondum pervenistis usque ad sanguinen' = Murd. 'ye have not yet come unto blood;' \&c., and is, indeed, just the Chald.
 same effect P. gives the Aeth. venerit, and Ar. jam advenerit.), Germ. (vorhanden sei), Fr. S. (est là) ;-Chrysost. (in the first Hom. cites once and again 2Tim. 2:18 as an illustrative parallel, and says that the object in both cases was 'to cut

 that 'all the great and glorious things promised had already received their accomplishment, and that there remained no

 (says that what the dectivers alleged was, that the Lord's
 And to the same effect Theodor. as given in Oecum.), Grot.
('Nempe, hoe anno'-this year-[a limitation, of course, altogether arbitrary; like Olsh.'s 'some weeks or, at the farthest months']-'nam żvéorqeยv hic dicitur de re praesenti. . . . Sulent autem quae plane proxima sunt, velut praesentia enuntiari': 'fur ine ionzzev bere is spoken of a thing that is present ... and it is common to announce as present what is obviously just at hand.'), Whitb. ('is, or hath been, instant'), Beng. ('Magna hoc verbo propinquitas significatur, nam Ey'eota's est praesens': 'Ry this word great nearness is signified; for ézeorús is present.' His German is herbeigekommen.), Penn (is ulready come), the Duke of Manchester, as cited by Dr D. Brown in his work on 'Christ's Second coming, will it be Premillennial?' ('The Thessalonians supposed that they were actually entered upon the tribulations of the last days; aud the idea is, that they should not be alarmed as though that day had begun-was present then.'), A. A. Bonar, Redemption Drawing Nigh, London, 1847, p. 292 (is set in), Dr. II. Bonar: Prophetical Landmarks, London, 1848, p. 120 (has arrived), Lünem. ('schon vorhanden, oder schon im Eintreten begriffen sei'), W. Wood, The Last 'Things, Londun, 1851 1. 394 (has come), Alford, at 1 Cor. $7: \Omega 6$ ('the instant necessity . . . instant, already begun: for this is the meaning of zersorajoca, not imminent, shortly to come.' He refers to the N. T. usage, to the passage from Jos., and to a 'note' (not yet published) 'on 2 Thess. 2:2, where' he adds, 'this distinction is very important.'). Many others, while shrinking from the full import of the phrase, feel it necessary to keep as near to it as possible: Ilamm. Par. (were instantly a coming), B. and L. (étoit sur le point d'arriver), Bens. ('just at hand, and will happen very shortly'), Guyse (were just now instantly coming on), Gill ('or is at this instant just now coming on'), Pyle (just at hand), Bauing.: Stolz, Van Ess, All.. Gossner, Flatt, De W. Von der H., (use nahe bevorstehen;-but Baumg. adds: 'Ev't́arvzev is more than ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ 'roverv. The latter is spoken of the day of the Lord, James $5: 8 ; 1$ Pet. $4: 7$, but not so the former, which indicates a present quite immediately impending and already dawning."), Moldenh. (ganz nahe vor der 'Ihür sey), Krause, Mey., (sehr [ganz] nahe sei), Gerl. ('Gr. unmittelbar bevorstehe'), Bloomf., Conyh., Tumb., (near [close] at hand). Latin verss. instet.

## KTNG James Version.

come, except there come a filling away first, and that man of sim be revealed, the sun of perdition;

4 Who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped; so

## GREEK TEXIT.
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## REVISED VERSION.

qunless there come rthe tapostasy first, and "there be revealed vthe man of sin, the son of perdition,
4 who opposeth and ${ }^{x}$ wplifteth himselt yagainst zevery one a called God or ban object
${ }^{9}$ R. ;-Bens., Wesl., Mack., Newe., Boothr., Pem, Murd., Kenr. See Rev. 2: 5, N. x.
r The articles here ( $\delta-\delta-\delta$ ) bare reference to what the Thessalonians had learned on this subject from the Apostle (v. 5), or from ancient prophecy.-Hamm., H. Nore (that eminent), Bens., Wesl., Newt., Wakef. (that), Mack., Coke, Thom, and later English verss.;-Midd., Green, Scholef.;-all foreigu rerss. (Mey. jener). Comp. 1 John 2: 18, N. d, \&c.
'Asootraix, of the later Greck for àoooroos, is equally with the latter in current use with the Sept., for rcligious prerarication or rebellion ( 2 Chron. $29: 19$; Jerem. $29: 32 ; \& c$. ). To this, it is assumed above, the prophecy looks, and not to any political revolt--It.;-Fab., Schmidt, II. More, IB. and L., Bens., Guyse, Dodd., Newt., Mack., Coke, Thour, Scott, Clarke, Burt., Bloomf., Troll., IHill (Lectures in Dirinity. vol. ii. p. 457, ke., Edinburgh, 1833), Eltiott (ad loc. cit. v. I, N. b), Barn., Kenr., T'urnb.

- It is thus Mack. retains the verb in its Greek position: and keeps together the cumulative description of the subject. The same order is followed by most foreign verss., the modern English rerss. generally adopting that of W. : dissension eome first, and the man of sin be showed. The fureign verss., that have this latter arrangement (Fr. M.;-Mohdenh., Stulz, Van Ess, Mey.), have also Kenr.'s modification of it: the man of sin, the son of perdition, be revealed.
- E. V.'s capricious treatment of the article in this verse is adopted from T., C., G., B. See N. r, \&c., and 1 Thess. $5: 4$, N.k. Wr., R. ;-foreign verss. (except some of the Latin, and Stolz, Mey.) ;-Mens., Dodil., Wesl., Newe., Coke, Thom., Boothr., Penn, Scholef., Sharpe, Barn., Cenyb., Kenr.
* The substantive construction of the preceding verse is carried forward under these participial forms; the article being omitted before insecteóuzros, merely because this is but the confirmation and expansion of the general idea in $\dot{o}$ àvtusizevos. It is not necessury, therefore, to consider

 Kople, Krause, Ros., Newc., Thom., Stolz, Van Ess, Mey.: Flatt, Pclt, Bloomf.). It is even construed as an independent noun (as elsewhere in E. V., adversary) by 13. (which includes in brackets the which is of previons verss., before the words an adversary);-It.;-Calv., Grot., Coce., Schmidt, H. and L., Pyle, Baung., Moldenh., Mich., Schott, De Wr., Lünem., Von der II., Tưrnb.
$\pm$ Tertull., Angust., (superextollitur), Wakef. (raiseth himself up), Kenr. (is lifled up), Peile (lifteth up himsclf), Turnb. (lifteth himself). In the N. T. this word occurs again only in 2 Cor I2:7, where Murd. and Alford have uplifted.
${ }^{\text {y }}$ It. (as allowable ;-and so Est., Dt. Ann., Burt.), Fr. M. ;Erasm., Pagn. Calv., Musc., Vat., Coce., Koppe, Ros., Thom., Stolz, Mey., Gösch., Scholef., Conyb., Peile;-Wahl, Rob.
E. V. and the older English verss. apparently fullow the Tulg. omne quod $=\pi \tilde{c} y$ tó, which, however, I find in no printed text but that of Dez., and there it is arowedly for no reason except that Jerome might seem to have read it, and that in Bez.'s own opinion it yields a richer sense: mihi tamen uberius videtur.-The masculine construction is expressed by It.;-Fab., Erasm., Tat., Mont., Cocc., Schmidt, Bens., Benr., Newt., Daumg., Moldenh., Mich., Kopie, Ros., Wakef, Mack., Newc., Boothr., Pelt, Sharpe, Peile.
a Nut exactly, as Peile, 'every so-ealled god'-thus erroneously exchoding the true God; and still more objectionable is Wakef.'s construction of heyouevov as a midule voice: 'every
 'is naturally added by Christian awe, since for the Christiam mind révitr $\vartheta_{\varepsilon} \dot{a} v^{\prime}$ were nonsense and blasphemy.' Conl. 1 Cor. $8: 5$ and Sept. Dan. II : 36, 37.-The participial construction is retained by Mont., Coce., Beng., Sharpe, Turnb.
- So at Acts $17: 23$ (the only other N. T. instance of ofBrouct), where E. V. mistranslates it, as Conyb. here: 'against all worship.' Says Olsh.: ' Éß $\beta \sigma \mu \alpha$ signifies every thing holy, as an object of worship, whether a person, an idol, or a place (Acts 17 : 23 ).' Here the first reference-to personsis to be preferred; not, however. for Olsb.'s reason, viz. because 'the article is not repeated' (there being no article in the case), but on account of the general idea of personal
 Tremell. numen). It. (divinità), Fr. S. (oljet de culte) ;-Erasm. and later latin rerss., except Cocc. and Schwidt, (as Trcmell.), Berlenburger Bibel (ein höchstzuehrendes Wesen), Dodd., Mack., Newc. (but improperly marking a supplement: 'the object of $w .{ }^{3}$ ), Thum., Boothr. (that is the obj. of $w$. ), Greenf.
 stand), Troll., Barn. Lünem. (uas sonst Gegenstand der Verchrung), Peile (object of reneralion), Von ter 1F. (ein Ges. der Ver.) ;-Green ('au ohject of religious reneration and worship '), Rob., \&e.


## KING JAMES' VERSION.

 temple of God, shewing himself that he is God.
 when I was yet with you, I told you these things?

G And now ye know what withholdeth that he might be revealed in his time.

7 For the mystery of iniquity doth already work: only he who

GREEK TEXT.
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## REVISED VERSTON.

of worship; 'so that he, "in the temple of God, cas God sitteth, 'showing himself forth that he is God.

5 Remember ye not that, when I was yet with you, I stold you these things?
6 And now ye know what withooldeth, "that he hmay be revealed in his 'own time.

7 For the mystery is already working of "lawlessness, 1until

[^5]h 'lu orler to his being revealed in his own time, and not
sooner.' Some (Wahl, Rob., \&c.) explain $\varepsilon i_{s}$ as marking the limit of time: usquedum, witil.-—For may, see 1 Thess. $3: 10, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{t}$.
i In the ordimation of God there is a set time for every thing (Eccl. 3: 1-8)—for the evil, no less than for the goodfor the revelation of Antichrist, and for his overthrow (Rev. 17:17), even as for Immanuel's birth (Gal. 4:4), death (John $7: 30 ; 8: 20 ;$ de.), and future glorious epiphany (I Tim. 6:14, I5). See 1 Thess, $2: 7, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{i}$.
${ }^{3}$ In the structure of vr. 6-8 there is an obvions reference of

 то́т of v. 8 ; and, lastly, of tis Ruouias here to aizóv of v. 6
 the mystery of lawlessness $=$ laulessness as a mystery or hidden leaven-is best brought out by the Greek order though 1 do not find that this is followed in the present instance, except by the Vulg. and some other Latin verss. See v. 8, N. o.-W., R., (workcth) ;-Wesl., Newc., Boothr. Sharpe, Kenr., (as W.), Wakef. (is showing itself), Mack. (inwardly worketh), Thom. (is in operation), Penn, Scholef. Conyb., Peile (is making itsclf felt), Turnb. (is going on). Some (Dt.;-Tab., Pagn., Castal., Est., Pisc., Grot., Hamm. Storr, Ros., Schott, Bloomf., \&c.) take Exeqyeztat as a passive but see 1 Thess. 2:13, N. x.
${ }^{k}$ According to an apostolic definition, 1 John $3: 4$ (see NN. $n, o$ ), sin-all $\sin$, sin everywhere and at all times-is essentially lawlessness; but there are in Scripture many intimations, that this its intrinsic, onchangeable character and import will come to a more conscious, deliberate, wilful, open assertion in the breaking of bands, and the casting away of cords (Ps. 2:3), in the consummate evil of the last days (Dan. I1: 36-8; 2 Tim. 3:1-4; 1 John 2 : 22; Rev. $19: 19$; \&c.). Some, no doubt, deny the possibility of humanity ever coming to such an entire rupture with God (indem die Menschbeit sich niemals so ganz mit Gott in Zwiespalt setzen kann,' says De W. in an Excursus, whose object is to show that the writer of vv. 5-8 was simply misled by his own 'subjectivity', and the ideas of his Jewish education; besides that 'the

LiNG James' version.
now letteth will let, until he be taken out of the way.

S And then shall that Wieked be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit

GREEK TEXT.
 $\tau \alpha{ }^{\circ}$
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## REVISED VERSION゙。

only he. who mwithholdeth nfor the present, be taken out of the way;

S And then oshall be revealed othe plawless one, whom the Lord shall consume with the
${ }^{m}$ E. V., v. 6 (the very unncessary variation being from C., I G., D.) ;-W., K.. (holdcth;-and so Kenr.) ;-Hamnı, Whitb., Wells, Penn, Peile, (use to withhold), Bens. (obstructs), other modern verss. (use to hinder or to restrain).
${ }^{n}$ Lüncm.: "๕ora is clusely comected with of rutézor", and strengthens the jeference of the participle to the inmediate present of the writer.'-Peile;-Rob. ( now, at present, at this moment'). Cump. I Thess. $3: 6, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{f}$.

- Sue v. T, N. j. Here the Greek order is retained by the Syr., all the Latin and Italian verss., Fr. S.;-B. and L., Banmg., Mack., Thom., Greenf., Von ter H.-Wor the, see v. 3, N. $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ \&c.
${ }^{7}$ Comp.v.7. N.k. E. V., I Tim. 1:9; 2 Pet. $2: 8$ (unlawful); I Cor. $9: 21$ (without law);-Musc. (•proprie, eum qui sine lege rivit'), Mont., Bez.. Est., (exlex), Cucc. (discellens
a lege), Engl. Am., H. More, Berlenburger Bibel (Gesetz-lose;-and so Gerl., Olsh., Von der H.), Turret. ('iniquns, exlex,



## Jura negabit sibi nata:

ut de Achille Horatius.'). lieng. ('iniquus ille, nefarius, extex, et [pressiore Plauti Nonique vocabulo] ille.c.'), Guyse, Gill, Hich. ( Feind der Gestze und der Obrigkeiten), Kranse (Empörer), hus. (sediliosus), Mack., Cuke, Thom., Scott, Troll., Hitl (see r. 3, N. t), Towuscurl (The N. T'. Arranged, Boston, 1844), Eliott (see r. 1, N. b), Couyb., Penle, \&c.
a Wells and the recent editurs (except Jath., Schott, Bloomf., Tisch.) here insert the word 'hroors (A.D*.E*.F.G. \&c. many old sersions and Fathers). I recommend the following marginal note: •Some read, Lord Jesus.'-Lachm. also reads cirelet.
limitation of hmman knowledge by time and space' presents' a difficulty, in the way of regarding the prophecy as containing any objective truth, altogether insurmountable even to the Spirit of lim who made man, and knoweth the end from the begimning!-And Lunem. is little better.). But, as directed against this proplecy, their confidence is every whit as umphilosophical, and, indeed, is as contradictory of experience and the facts of history, as, in the presence of the Divine word, it is daringly profane.- Bea. (though with an overstraining of the force of the article lsee 1 John $3: 4$. N. n]: 'Istius abolitionis legis, trys áwouiss. Retinenda fuit vis articuli indicantis hic agi non tam de simplici quapiam transgressione Legis, ut solco vocen istam interpretari, sed de ipsa quasi totius cultus Dei abolitione, quasi Illegalitatem dicas': -This abolition of law. The force of the article should hare been retained, as indicating that what is here in question is, not some simple transgression of the law, according to my nsual interpretation of the word, but the rery abolition, so to speak, of the entire worshp of God; as if you should say, Lawlessness.'), Cocc. (diseessionis a lege), Berlenburger líibel, Olsh., Von der II., (Geselzlosigkeit), Mich. ('the wickedness, which is yet openly to bid defiance to all laws'), Krause (der Aufruher), Ros. (seditio), Troll. ( or $l_{0}{ }^{3}$ ), Conyb. Comp. v. 8, N. p.
' It has been common to construe $\delta$ watézor as the subject, either of a finite form supplied out of itself in the present (Beng., Storr, Pelt) or future tense (E. V.;-G., B. ;-Dt., Fr. M.;-Pagn., Bez., Pisc., WesI.: Newc., Boothr., Penn, Conyb.), or in the imperative mood (Erasm., Musc., Zeg., Camerar., $\& c$. .) or else of evow understood, with the sense, there is one
that withholeth (Fr.S.;-1Iamm.. Knatchlml, Whith. [as allowahle], Wells, Bens., Dodd., Manmg., Newc. marg., Tho:n., Burt., Bloonf., Troll.. Scholef., Peile, Turnb. Comp. Joln $5: 45 ; 8: 50)$. But either of these supplements is harsh, though it may be too much to say with Lunem. that the latter-to wit, that of the verb of existence, zoter ; not the copulative zoriy-is madmissible (see Win. p. 650). My clief objection to this interpretation is, that it brings out merely a flat, didactic announcement of what the preceding verse assumes as already known. On the whole, I prefer to comsider os ratixor as the immediate subject of $\gamma$ iorra, and as set prominently forward, for the sake of emphasis, by a rhetorical inversion of the natural order, $\tilde{\varepsilon} \omega_{s} \delta$ zot. Comp. Gal. 2: 10 . Such is the construction (though, in some instances, with considerable varicty and laxity in the treatment of the particles,
 as supplying zorar), Germ., It. ('aspettando solo che colui clie' ${ }^{\text {\& }} \mathrm{c}$.) ;-Castal., Gusch., (superest tantum, ut, qui nunc \&c.), Tremell., Grot. ('exspectandum donec amoveatur is qui' \&e.), Cocc., Vorstins, Schmidt, Scaliger and Vitringa (as cited by Wolf.), Kiopne, Nüsselt and Ileidenreich (as cited by Olsh.), Symonds, Ros., Mack., Mey., Greenf., Schott, De W., Lünem., Yon der II. ;-Win. (see p. 63t). This vien is favoured, moreover, by the emphatic position in the previous clanse of to mootipioz, apart from ti, ávouias; the antithesis (as was suggested above, N. j) being thus strengthened between the present secret operation of larlessness as a principle, and its future manifestation, as embodied in the lawless one; and
 a link-the only link-between the two.
king James' version.
of his mouth. and shall destroy with the brightuess of his coming:

9 Ecen him, whose coming is after the working of Satan, with all power, and signs, and lying wonders,

## GREEK TEXT.

 $\pi \alpha \rho о v \sigma i ́ \alpha s$ גن̈тô̂.
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## REVISED VERSION.

rbreath of shis mouth, and shall destroy with the tappearing of -his "coming:

9 Even him, whose coming is " according to the wenergy of Satan, with all xpower and signs and wonders sof falsehood,

[^6]b Beng.: 'Alibi apparilio, alibi adventus dicitur, v. I., codem sensu: hie autem apparitio aducntus ipso adventu prior est, vel certe prima ipsius adventus emicatio, uti érequivete ois ittexpas': 'Sumetimes the appearing is spoken of; sometimes, and in the same sense, the coming. r. I : but here the appearing of the coming is prior to the coming itself, or at least is
 just as this latter phrase denotes simply the daun, not the brightress, of day, so the constant usage requires a corresponding abatement here. The force of the general statement, however, may by considered as thereby proportionably enhanced; $q$. $d$. 'As, when the Man of sorrows confronted in Gethsemane those who, led by Judas, the typical antiehrist (John 6:70;13:27;17:12), sought His life, "they went backward and fell to the ground" (John $18: 6$ ), so shall it be again in the last days of the Chureh's hmmiliation. For the discomfiture of The Antichrist-that most terrible emissary and instrument of Satan-it will suffice for Christ, in the very hour and power of darkness, to "show hinself", (Ps. $94: 1$. See also ch. 1.9, N. d and references there.). And

 He be present, and all these things perish. He will stay the deception, simply by appearing.' See also Theodor, and Oecum. -E. V., everywhere else ( 5 times. Once the word is used of the first arkent of the Savionr; in the other four instances, as here, of the second. Tn the present instance, F. V. follows 13.) ;-T., C., G., (appearance), R. (manifestation) ;-Syr. (= Tremell. revclatione. Murd. visibility), Germ. (Erscheinung), Dt. (verschijuing). It., Fr. S., ( $a_{P}$ parition);-'Tertull. (apparentia), Aret., Est., Schmidt, Güsch., Schott, (as Beng.), Jlamm., Baumg. (as Germ.; and so Stolz, Lunem., Von der II.), Wakef., Kenr., Peile, (as R.), Sharpe (as T.), Barn. (appear-
ing, appetrance), 'Turnb. ;-Wahl (as Beng.). Rob. (as Barn.) Schirl. (us Gcrm.).
" Marginal note: 'Or, presence.' This primary meaning of trepoecir appears in E. V., 2 Cor. $10: 10$; Phil. $2: 12$, and is given here by Fr. S. marg.;-Cocc., ITamm., Wakef., Gösch., l'enn, De W., Bloomf., Liinem., Peile, Turnb.

- The German verss. and commentaries generally (not Luther), the Italian verss., Gösch. and Castal., connect zociv closely with ir $\pi$ tion $\delta_{v} \times \alpha \mu \varepsilon \iota * \tau \lambda$. for the predicate, and treat $\kappa \kappa z^{3}$
 with no adrantage either to the grammar or the sense. On the contrary, the latter clause, taken by itself, or at least as the leading feature in the statement, yields this fuller and more appalling intimation, that the entire coming of the Man of sin -his sprit and aims and measures throughout-will be instinet with the energy of Satan (Chrysost.: rivgowaós ats
 all Satan's energy.' So Theodor. and Oecum.), and that, even as the Church is 'the body of Christ, the fulness of Him that filleth all in all' (Eph. $1: 23$; eomp. v. $19 ; 3: 20 ; \& c$. ), so in Antichrist, his master-piece, will Satan, so to speak, exhaust himself; putting forth through him all his own resources of strength and guile, in both the spheres of his operation, the ex-

 Syr. is worthy of note. = Murd. for the coming of that [cril Onc] is the working of Sctan.——For according to, see E. V., Eph. 1: 19; 3: $\mathbf{0} 0$; \&c.;-R.;-Yulg. and most other Latin verss. (sccundum or juxta), It. (sccondo), Fr. M.,-S., (sclon);Bens., Dodd., Wakef., Newc., Thom., Boothr., All. and De W. (gcmäss), Sharpe, Barn., Lünem. (in Angemessenheit damit \&c.), Kenr., Turnh.;-and 2 Pet. $3: 3$, N. f.
w E. V., twice (cffectual operation. At v. 11 also, E. V. and many others express the idea of strength, might, ©c.) ;-Fr. M.,-S., (efficace) ;-Pagn., Tremell., (use efficacitas), Calv. ('vel efficacian';-which word Bez., Pise., Turret., Güsch., adopt), Bens. (at v. 1I), Dodd., Moldenh. (Kraft), Newt., Mack. (strong working), Coke, Thom., Scott, Clarke ('energy, or inward working'), Schott (efficientiam), Townsend (see 7.8 , N. p: 'or en.'): Barn., Conyb. ('in the strength of Satan's working'), Von der II. (Krfftuirkung).
x By removing the commas after the words power and signs, it is sought to provide for the reference of qridovs [as well as of $\left.\pi \dot{r} \sigma_{n}\right]$ to all the three nouns; --the construction adopted by T., C., (lying power \&e.) ;-Ar.: Germ.;-Castal.:

KIN゙G JAMES VERSLON.
10 And with all deceivableness of umightenugness in them that perish; because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved.

## GREER TENT.

 áoıkias év tois áa $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda u \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s$,

 toús.

## REVISED VERSION.

10 And with all deceitfulness sof unrighteousuess bin cthose who dare perishing; ebecause they faccepted not the love of the truth, that they might be saved; ${ }^{5}$
= E. T.; Matt. $13: 22$; Mark $4: 19$; Heb. $3: 13 ;-$ W. (dcccit), R. (sclucing);-Bews., Dodd., Mack., Newe., Thom., Boothr., Sharpe, Rhomf., Barn., Kenr., Peile, (as W.), Wakef., (seduction). Scholef. (as above; and adds: 'I fo not perceire the ground of arlupting a different translation, which quite changes the idea.' The ground was probably no wish at all to change the idea [deccivable, deccivableness, being often used by our older writers for deccilful, deccilfulness. Nor is this use quite obsolete even now. Thus Trench: English Past and Present, New Tork ed. p. 136: 'Worls that have changed their meaning have often a certain deceivableness about them.'], but simply that a phrase, which our Translators had not elserfere employed, might be retained in one instance, for the sake of variety;-T., C., G., B., having already employed it here.): Conyb. (delusions), Murd. (deceptiveness), Tumb. (deception);Green (deceit, deception, delusion), Rob. (deceit, deceitfilness).
a For the different relations, which this genitive also has been taken to express, see v. 9, N. y.——Schutt brackets, and Mey., Lachm. Tisch., cancel, the rifs.

- In the original edition of E. V., there is a comma here, as there is also in T.. C., G., B.;-It. ;-Erasm., Castal., Vat., Hanm., Wakef., Stolz, Van Ess, Güsch., Conyb., Murd., Peile, Turnb.; and in the Greek text of Beng., Griesb., Koppe, Mey., Burt., Troll., Bloomf. ;-while T., C., G.;-Germ., Fr. S. marg. ;-Castal., Dodu., Mohlenh., Koppe, Kranse, Ros., Wakef., Mack., Newc., Thom., Stolz, Vin Ess, Charke, Flatt, Güsch., Schott and De W. (if this reading be kept), Sharpe, Darn.. Peile, Von der II., Turnb., translate $\varepsilon \cdots$, among, inter, apud, unter, bei. This punctuation, and very often this rendering,
 of tho sentence, nï̀ zotur \%זh. Tet, looking at the passage in
the light of Matt. $2 t: 2 t$ and 2 Cor. $4: 3$, I am disposed te retain the close connection of these words with rimerty $\pi r_{1}$ redorios; and then it is intimated that Antichrist, though sitting in the temple of Gol, and displaying his pomp and his wonders before all the worshippers, shall nevertheless succeed in decciving only the ditohziueros; the reasons of which success immediately follow, as they exist on man's part, and ( f . 11) on God's. The margin, however, may bear this note: 'Or, as many, umighteousness, among.'The $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is cancelled by Wells, Mey., Scholz, Schott, Lachm. Tisch., with Lïnem.'s approbation, on the anthority of A.B. D*.E.G. Tulg. Origen, \&c.; and then Schott, De W.
 this as a dative of disadvantage or (De W.) of judgment. I recommend this margimal note: 'Or, as some read, for.'
c See 1 Thess. 4:12, NN. q. r, \&c.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Dt. (verloren gaan) ;-Mont.: Tremell., Est., Coce., (pereunibus), Beriemburger Bilsel, Beng., Moldenh., Stolz, All.. Kistemaker, Gossner, Flatt, De W., Lüaem., Von der 11., (rer loren gehen), B. and L. (doveent périr), Wakef. (preparcd fi destruction), Bloumf. (are sure to perish), Conyb. (are in the way of perdition), 1'eile (are in the way to perish), Alford at 2 Cor. 2: 15.
- Gr. for that-a righteons quid pro quo, as in Acts 12 : 23.
\& 'Not only did they hate anl reject the truth; they also resisted ant quenched the gracious influences, by which God songht to subdue their enmity.' See 1 Thess. 2: 13, N. s, \&e.
: My view of the relation between v. 11 and the preceding context (see N. b) induces me to retain the punctuation of our Text, and of Peng., Burt., Scholz. Thom, eren begins a new paragraph with $\alpha^{2} v y^{\prime \prime}$ in, thms: "Because they did not embrace \&c.; for this cause therefore God de.'

[^7]
## KING JAMES' VERSION.

11 And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie:

12 That they all might be

GREEK TEXT.
11 ка̀̀ $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ тои̃то $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \iota ~ \alpha \dot{v}-$ тоîs ó Otòs '́vє́ $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta s$, єis тò $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \tau e ̂ ̀ ~$ $\psi \in u ́ \delta \in \iota^{\circ}$
${ }^{\text {n }}$ See 1 Thess. $2: 13$, N. n.
1 The auxiliary of the future is thus placed, before the subject, in the German rerss., Dt.;-Wakef., Sharpe, Peile.Scholz, Schott, Lachm., Tisch., Theile, read, лє́идєи.
${ }^{3}$ See r. 9, N. w. The Greek construction is retained by W., R. ;-Tillotson, Bens., Guyse, Dodd., Mack., Newc., Thom., Boothr: Penn, Sharpe, Conyb., Murd., Peile;-and many foreign verss.
k Many are at pains here to soften, or disguise, the final $\varepsilon l s$, or in of of 12, or both, into the ecbatic so that (Corn. a Lap. [in case the proper counection of $\varepsilon i_{s}$ with $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon \varepsilon$ be retained, instead of a connection, which he prefers, with ezrégjecev $\pi$ hám $r_{3}$ ], Grot., Whitb. [which shall have this effect upon them, that they shall \&c.], T'urret., Dodd., Wesl., Pyle, Mart., Koppe, Krause, Kuin., Ros., Newc., Stolz, Boothr., Van Ess, Clarke, All., Mey., Flatt, Pelt, Schott, Sharpe, Bloomf., Barn., Peile); but with no result worth the trouble, unless the judicial, punitive ( סad zoũzo), Divine mission of the energy of delusion be at the same time transformed into a mere permission; and it is true that the mollifying process generally begins there. Thus Pelag.: 'Permittit venire: nam si Deus mittit, non est operatio Satanae': 'He permits it to come: for, if God sends it, it is not a working of Satan.' But see v. 12, N. q.
${ }_{1}$ Thom., Penv, Murd., Kenr. Comp. 1 Thess. $3: 10$, N. t.
m Marginal note: 'Or, falschood.' Scholef.: 'The lie, riz. of the Apostasy. It might be falsehood generally; but if expressed with an article, it must be the definite one.' In the latter case, the reference may be to the $\psi \in \bar{v} \delta o v s$ of v .9
 that characteristic lie of Antichrist, v. 4 , in which the Satanic promise in the garden (Gen. 3:5) may be considered as finding its last and highest, but still appropriate, fulfilment. Of authorities that can be safely cited on this point, the following adopt the definite, or demonstrative, interpretation: Italian and French verss.;-Dodd., Wesl., Peile, Tnrnb., (the lie), Pyle (the grand imposture), Kuin. (huic), Wakef. (this), Thom. (this f.), Sharpe, Green, (the f.) ;--the following give the gencral or abstract (comp. John 8:44): W. (leasing), T., C., G., B., (lies), R. (lying) ;-Newc., Midd., Boothr., Penn, Bloomf., (falsehood), Schott (mendaciis), Olsh., Conyb. (as T.), Kenr. (as R.). See also, for falsehood, v. 9, N. y.
$=$ See v. 11, N. k.

- W., R.;-Wakef., Mack., Newc., Thom., Penn, Conyb., Kenr., Turnb.——Tisch. reads $\stackrel{\circ}{\kappa} \pi \tau v \tau E s$.


## p See 1 Thess. 2: 16, N. 1.

- Of conrse, according to their character and deserts, as these are exhibited both before and after; q. d. 'Men hate the truth, which God sends to them for their salvation, and even refuse to be reconciled to it (v. 10). He then, and therefore, instead of destroying them at once, takes measures to bring out all the wickedness and madness of their hearts (v. 11) ; and this, in order to their being ultimately brought into judgment (Eecl. 1I : 9), when IIe himself shall be justified in His speaking, and shall be clear in His judging' (Ps. $51: 4$. Here also it is by means of an extreme manifestation of sin, that the Divine purpose [ $\underset{\sim}{2}=?]$ draws forth and vindicates the declaration of judgment. See Chrysost. on v. 10 of our context.). 'Judicati seducentur', says August. de Civit. Dei, xx. 19. 4, 'et seducti judicabuntur': 'When judged, they shall be sednced; and when seduced, they shall be judred.' The ideas of condemnation and punishment are rather immediate iuferences from the context, or from the known character of the object, than what eoire properly expresses, or even of itself implies. And the same remark-
 generally, as 1 believe, in the cases cited by the lexicons in behalf of such an extension of the meaning of the verb e. g. (Rob.) Luke 19:22; Acts 7:7; 13: 27; Rom. 2:12; 1 Cor. $11: 31,32$; Heb. $13: 4$; \&c. Perhaps the utmost that it anywhere means is the act of separating, discerning, discriminating, and so adjudging, according to the truth of each particular case.-Out of 113 instances E. V. makes reiv' $\omega=$ \%at $\alpha$ рiver only in 7 , inçluding liev. $18: 20$ (where see N. k); the others being John $3: 17,18$ (twice) ; Acts $13: 2 \overline{7} ;$ Rom. 14:20;-W. (demed), R.;-Syr. (e2, $22 \mathrm{j}=$ Trenell. judicentur), Vulg. and other Latin verss., except lagn., Castal., Bez., Pisc., Gösch., (as Tremcll.), Germ. (gerichtet), Dt. marg. (Gr. geoordcelt), Italian verss. (giudicati), Fr. M.,-S., (jugés) ;-Engl. Aun., IIamm., Whitb. ('Gr. judged and condemned'), Wells, Campbell (censuring the common rersion
 render the simple verb poiverv [2 Thess. 2:12] to damn; that rerb proper!y signifying not so much as to condemn, but to judge, to try: though sometimes used by a figure, the cause for the consequence, to denote to punish.'), Stolz (über Alle das Gericht crgehe;-and nearly so Yan Ess, Kistemaker), All. (as
 Kenr.; though several of these, in margin or commentary explain in the sense of condemned -the word generally used in the other English verss

K゙ING JAMES' VERSION.
damned who believe not the truth, but had pleasure in minrighteonsuess.
$1:$ But we are bound to give thanks always to God for you, brethren beloped of the Lord, because God hath from the begiming chosell you to salvation, throngh sanctification of the Spirit, and belief of the truth:

14 Whereunto he called you by our gospel, to the obtaning

## GREEK TEXT.

$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}\left(\dot{c}, \dot{u} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right.$



 K'vpíov, öть єï入єтo víâs ó $\theta$ єòs
 $\sigma \mu \hat{i} \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu a \tau o s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta-$ $\theta$ cias,

14 єis ò èncúd $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ípâs $\delta i \grave{\alpha}$


## REVISED VERSION.

who rbelieved not the truth, but had pleasure sin 1 unrighteousness.

1:3 Put we are bound to give thanks v to Ciod always for you, brethren belored wby the Lord, because God $\times$ chose you from the begiming to salvation "through sanctification of athe Spirit and "faith in the tratl;
1.4 © Wheremato he called dyou by our gospel, to the eobtaining
$r$ Belice is merely an error of the press.
a The $\begin{gathered} \\ \nu\end{gathered}$ is cancelled by Mey., and bracketed by Lachm.
: Or there may be a definite reference to adwius of s. 10. Thus, It., Fr. M.,-S., (have the article here, not at v. 10);Wakef. (sueh), Peile, Turnh., (the).
 of the writer and the readers from the company of Antichrist's rictims.
${ }^{*}$ For the order, see E. T., ch. $1: 3 ; 1$ Thess. $1: 2$; Se.;R., -Bens., Wesl., Mack., Thom., Sharpe, Conyb., Murd., Kenr. ;-and many foreign verss.
${ }^{w}$ See 1 Thess. 1:4, N. p, ice.

* The verb is given before axz' áors, by Wakef., Mack., Conyb., Peile, Turnb. ;-and many foreign verss.-For rendering it as a historic aorist, see F. V., v. I4; Iom. 8:29; 1 Cor. 2:7; \&e.;-W.;-Krause, Wakef., Newe, Stolz, Tan Ess, Conyb., Kemr.—Wells and (excepting Beng., Matth., Scholz, Bloomf.) all the recent editors read silaro.
y Lachm., on the authority of B.G. and the Vulg. primitias, reads àt $\alpha$ o\%ra
${ }^{2}$ Marginal note: 'Gr.in.' So the $\begin{gathered}\text { a here (comp. I Thess. }\end{gathered}$ 4:7,N.1) is giren by W.: B., R.;-Vulg.. Germ., Dt., It., Fr. S.;-Fab., Calv., Mont., Coce., Sehmidt, Moklenh., All., Steig. (on 1 Pet. 1 : 2), Schott, Sharpe, Kenr., Peile, Von der II.;-Rob., Win. De W. is alone in making it=eis, and in regarding it as introducing the immediate, acorgaius being the nltimate, object of the عilezo. The worls zy iequoucu $\%<\lambda$. are connected elosely with owtroiaz by Flatt (salulem per emendationem), Gösch. ("ad salutem sanctitate . . . consequendam'), Schott (salutem positam in), Peile ('a state of salvation, consisting in')-Flatt and Peile at the same time explaining osorroicer of what Christians have in the present life; whereas the عileva . . . áz' rozĭs (see 1 John I: I, N. a; 2:13; and comp. I Cor. 2:7; Eph. 1:4;3:9; Col. 1:24; 2 Tim. 1: 9), and the strong reference in all that precedes to the crisis of judgment, point rather to that perfected saliation which is the end of faith (1 Pet. 1:9), and which consists,
according to the writer's own explanation in the next verse, in obtaining the glory of our Lord Jesus Christ.
 a spinitual purification; Brown, at 1 l'et. I: 2, a spirithul separation; Peile a spiritual consecration), or as meant, not uf the Holy Spinit the Author, but of the human spirit the subject, of the ryexouós (Est. and Engl. Ann. [allow this], Koppe. Krause, Mack., Schott, lienr.), are not justified, especially in such a construction, by the more absence of the article. It may also be observed, that the Christian sanctification and salration are commonly exhibited (and see especially I Thess. $4: 3-6 ; 5: 23$ ) as extending to the whole man.
b For faith [in], sce E. V., Mark 11 : 22; Acts 3: 16; (nowhere clse, out of two or three hundred instances, does E. V. render aiots, belief) ;-W.: G., B., R., (fuith of) ;-Conyb., Murd.;-Rob.
c 'To all that, for which, whether as means or end, God chose you-faith, sanetification, salration-IIe also (Rom. $8: 30$ ) called you.' For there is no reason, grammatical or doctrinal, for restricting $\varepsilon i_{S}$ ö to any one (owtroiaz', as Pise., Bens., M. llenry, Beng., Dodd., Cuke, Barn. ;-or жioteı, as
 as Est., Corn. a Lap., Grot., Whitb., Wresl., Moldenh., Koppe, Mey., Flatt, Schott, De W.), of the three; though, inasmuch as salvation is the lading ikea and ultimate end, to which the others are but subsidiary: this is repeated and defined in the latter clanse of the verse, $\varepsilon i s, \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \pi o i r_{i} \sigma \omega \nLeftarrow \tau \lambda$. Most arbitrary of all is the reference of I'elt: 'ad electionem atque animum, quo eadem digni evadimus,' and Ibloomf.: 'election and sanctification ; as well as Mich. and Olsh.'s explanation of $\varepsilon \ell ;$ o as $=$ therefore.
d Only Lachni. reads rind $_{2} \tilde{\alpha}_{s}$.
e See 1 Thess. $5: 9$, N. f-a parallelism sufficient, along with the hortatory nature of what fullows in r. I5, to justify the common interpretation of this clanse against that of Chrysost. Occum., Theophylact, Castal., Tat., Corn. a Lap., Vorstius.

KING JAMES' VERSION.
of the glory of our Lord Jesus Christ.

15 Therefore, brethren, stand fast, and hold the traditions which ye have been taught, whether by word, or our epistle.

16 Now our Lord Jesus Christ limself, and God, even our Father, which hath loved us, and hath given us everlasting consolation and good hope through grace,

GREEK TEXT.
 'Iŋбov̂ X'pıбтoù.
 каì кратєite т̀̀s $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ às
 $\delta i \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta}_{\mu} \omega \nu$.

16 av́ròs dè ó Kúplos ij $\mu \omega \bar{\nu}$
 $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ ì $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, ó 㫕 $\gamma \alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha s$ ì $\mu \bar{\alpha}$ каì סoùs тара́кд $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$ aiшvíà каi $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \tau \iota$,

## REVISED VERSION.

## of the glory ${ }^{\text {f }}$ of our Lord Jesus

 Christ.$15{ }^{\text {E }}$ So then, brethren, stand fast, and hold the hinstruetions which ye have been taught whether ${ }^{\text {b }}$ by our word or epistle

16 But kmay our Lord Jesur ${ }^{1}$ Christ, ${ }^{m}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ our God and Fa-
 us everlasting consolation and good hope athrough graee,
that glory might be acquired for our Lord Jesus Christ; as well as that surgested by Eph. 1:14 aud I Pet. 2:9: that ye might be the glorious possession of our L.J. C. (Syr. [=- Murd. that ye might be the glory to \&e.], Germ.;-Menochius, Ilarduin, Calv., Mart., Mich., Thom. [to be an acquisition of glory to \&c.], Steig. [on 1 Pet. I: 2], Tumb. [for a glorions acq. of \&e.]) ; to say nothing of Peile's fancy: 'so that ye have now a glorious participation with ns in our L.J. C.'
' Not merely: 'of which Ile is the author or bestower' (Fs. M. ;-Bens., Mohdenh., Mey., Pelt, \&c.), but: 'with which Ite himself is glorified.' Comp. John 17 : 22; Rev. 21 : II; sc.
s See I Thess. $5: 6$. N. s.

 'The word trudtion with us imports, as the English lexicographer lightly explains it, "anything delivered orally from age to age;" whereas acoó $\delta o \sigma_{s}$ properly implies, "anything handed down from former ages, in whatever way it has been transmitted, whether by oral or by written testimony; or even any instruction conveyed to others, either by word or by writing." In this last acceptation we find it used in . . . 2 Thess. 2:15.'-T., C., B., (ordinances;-so E. V. once, 1 Cor. 1I: 2), G.;-Syr. (= 'lremell. mandata, Murd. precepts), Cerm. (Satzungen), Dt. (inzellingen), It. (inscgnamenti), Fr. M. (enseignemens), Fr. S. (iustitutions);-Erasm., Calv., Musc., Vat., (inslitutiones), Pagu., Bez. in some editions, Pisc., (traditam doctrinam), Castal. (instituta), Engl. Ann. ('doctrines, precepts, or instructions;' and one or another of these terms is here employed by Mamm. Pur., Bens., M. Menry, Dodd., Pyle, Wakef., Coke, Thom., Boothr., Scott, Clarke, Bloomf., Troll., Barn., Turnb.;-Green, Rob.), B. and L. (doctrine), Wolf. (doctrinas), Moldeah., Stolz, Mey., (Lehre[n]), Ros. (pracceptis), Flatt (Vorschriften), Schott (institutioncm doctrinae evangelicae), Sharpe (lcssons), De W. (Mittheitungen),
 has been delivered to you);-lexicons gencrally. Almost all these employ a different phrase at Matt. $15: 2,3,6 ; \mathbb{R c}$; that phrase being, for the most part, tradition or an equivalent.
${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Gr}$. by word or by epistle of $u$. S . T., C., G., Bloomf., insert the pronoun as above; but, by retaining the second $\delta, \alpha$, they equally fail to show the reference to both nouns. This is done, by means of a repetition of the pronoun, in B.;-Dt., Fr M.,-S. ;-Bens., Mart., Mack., Penn, Conyb., Tumb.; while the expedient, which [ have adopted, appears in Germ. ;-Castal. (nostra vel oratione vel epistola), Newc., Boothr., Sharpe Scholef., Keur. Many others variously indicate the same construction.


 $\tilde{\sigma} x, \quad \approx \tau\rangle .$. : 'IIere again prayer follows exhortation: for this is tioly to lend assistance. . . I, to be sure, have spoken thus says he; but the whole is of God, to establish, coulirm, \&c.'
k See 1 Thess. 3 : II, N. x.
${ }^{1}$ Lachm. reads ó zoıotós.
${ }^{m}$ Sece I Thess. $3: I I$, N. a.
 тutip.

- See I Thess. I : 10, N. x, \&c.
 not be weakened into: "qui nos amat et quovis tempore amavit" (so Schott, after Flatt and Pelt), but points to that proof of the Divine love, which already belongs to the past, and is fully accomplished; to wit, the fact, in which preeminently the love of Goul to man lias shown itself-the sending of His

 tion in time.-E. V., John $3: 16 ; 17: 24$; Rom. $8: 37$; 1 Cor. $3: 5$; Gal. $2: ~ 20 ;$ Lph. 2: 4; 5:25; 1 John 4:10, 11; \&c.;W. ;-IK rause, Sharpe.
 à $\alpha$ 身iv (Clarke. The punctuation of many others might indicate the same construction.). Nor yet is it newssary to reter them 'to the whole of the participial clause ' (De W.), if that is to include both participles (Castal., Est., Liinem.). The most natural and commonly received comnection is with $\delta o u$ s.

KING JAMES＇VERSION．
17 Comfort your bearts，and stablish you in every good word and work．

## CHAP．III．

Finally，brethren，pray for us，that the word of the Lord may have free course，aml be gloritied，even as it is with you；

2 And that we may be de－ livered from unreasonable and wicked men：for all men have not faith．

## GREEK TEAT．

17 таракалє́ $\sigma \alpha \iota \quad$ v́н̂v тѝs
 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i$ 入ó $\gamma \omega$ каі є＂$\rho \gamma \underset{\iota}{\dot{\iota} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\iota} \text { ．}}$

CHAP． 111.
TO $\lambda о \iota \pi o ̀ v, \quad \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \dot{U} \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$, aंסє $\lambda \phi о i, \pi \epsilon \rho i \grave{j}^{\prime} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，ï $\alpha$ ò $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$
 каӨ⿳亠二口丿 каі т рòs v́ $\mu \hat{\alpha} s$,

2 каi ї $\nu \alpha$ рvo $\theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ ảтò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 ò̀ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota s$.

## REVISED VERSION．

17 mllimself comfort your hearts，aud restablish．${ }^{6} y o u$ in every good tword and work．

CHAP．IIX．
Einally，brethren，pray for us，that the word of the Lord may brun and be glorified，＂as it is also with you；

2 And that we may be de－ livered from dperverse and wicked men：for ${ }^{\text {en }}$ not all ${ }^{\text {f have faith．}}$
r See 1 Thess． $3: 13$ ，N．h．
 bracketed by Knapp and Bloomf．；and cancelled by Mey．， Scholz，Schott，IIahn，Lachm．，Tiselı．，Theile，on the authori－ ty of A．B．D＊．E＊．F．G．many cursive MSS．Syr．Vulg．\＆e． Chrysost．，\＆e．I recommend this marginal note：＇Many omit you．＇
 MSS．Copt．Aeth．Yulg．de．Chrysost．，\＆c．），marked by Beng．and Griesb．as perhaps preferable，is adopted by all the other recent editors，except Matth．，ITahn，Bloomf．I recom－ mend this marginal note：＇Or，as many read，work and word．＇
－See I Thess． 4 ：I，N．a．
${ }^{-}$E．V．marg．，and everywhere else．Here it combines T＇， G．，B．，（have free passage）with F ．（have course）；－W．；－ Hamm．，Whitb．，Beus．，Dodd．，Wesl．，Wakef．（continue run－ ning ${ }^{\text { }}$ ，Mack．．Thom．，Scott，Clarke，Penn（＇run its course＇）， Sharpe，Barn．，Murd．，Kenr．，Turnb．；－and many foreign verss． Comp．Ps． 14 ： 15.
c See 1 Thess． $5: 11$ ，N．n，\＆e．
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Literally：men without place，out of place，deranged－ having in regard to the things of God－the sphere of the Spirit－similar relations of irrcconcilable alienation and home－ Iessness，to those which Apostles found to be their own in regard to this present world：exozazoẽuev（I Cor．4：II）．In use，however，the word does not always retain this speeific foree．Thus，the Scpt．repeatedly（Jol $4: 8 ; 11: 11 ; \& c$ ．） employs it for irs．In the N．T．，it occurs twice elsewhere； Luke 23：41 and Acts 28：6．－E．V．marg．（absurd）；－TV． （noyous），B．（disordered），R．（importunate）；－Vulg．（importu－ nis），Geru．（unartigen），Dt．（ongeschikte），It．（insolenti），Fr． M．（disordonnés），Fl．S．（fächeur）；－Ambrosiast．，Calv．，Castal．， Mont．，＇Turret．，Gösch．，（as I＇ulg．），Fab．，Erasm．，Muse．，Vat．， Coce．，Wolf．，（alsurdis ；－＇quod mihi quidem，＇says Bez．，＇ab－ surdum videtur．＇），Pagn．（turpibus），Bez．，Pisc．，Schmint，（pro－ tervis）：IIamm．，M．Henry，（as E．V．marg．）．1．，and L．（as

Fr．S．），Berlenburger Bibel，Beng．，Baumg．，（ungereimien）， Bens．，Guyse（＇insolently perverse and absurd＇），Moldenh． （höchstungereimten），Nart．（protervi），Wakef．（unstable）， Mack．（brutish），Coke（absurd，contumacious），Thom．（un－ principled），Scott，Clarke（disorderly，ummanageable），All． （ungestümen），Mey．（nichtswürdigen），Kistemaker（wider－ spenstigen），Flatt（beschuerlichen），Cirl．（＂das sind die wilden， störrigen，wunderlichen，ketzerischen Köpfe．＇），De W．（schlech－ ten），Bloomf．（unreas．und perv．），Conyb．，Kenr．（troublesome）， Vou der II．（widerwïrtigen），Tumb．（inconsistent），\＆c．
e Marginal note：＇Gr．not of all［is］faith［the attaimment］．＇ Comp．Vulg．and other Latin rerss．non enim omnium［est］ fides（W．for faith is not of all men）；Germ．der Glaube ist nieht Jedermanns Ding；Dt．het geloof is niet aller；1t．la fcde non［sia］di tutli；Fr．M．la joi n＇est point de tous；－De W．and Lünem．nicht Aller［Sache］ist der Glaube，the former referring，for a similar genitive，to Acts I ：T－the latter to
 Von der II．as Germ．Un a clause，which has somewhat engaged doetrinal sympathies in its discussion，it may here be observed，that the fuct in question is simply stated；it is not explained，on the ground cither of the absence of a Divine election and the withholding of Divine grace（Calv．，Musc．， Vat．，Est．，Pisc．，Dt．Ann．［referring for illustration to Matt． 13：11；John 6：44；\＆c．］，Caneron［Myrotheciun Evange－ licum，Engl．Ann．），or of the want，on the part of the non－ believers themselves，of suitable dispositions for believing （Corn．a Lap．，Grot．，Cler．，Turret．，Pelt，De W．，Bloomf．， Lünem．；－Wahl）．It is also stated generally；not so much as something that had just transpired in the particular city （Corinth）or region where the Apostle was then labouring， but rather as something that holds good，with the force of a law，wherever the Gospel is preached．As if he had said： －Whatever rapid and glorious success may，in answer to your prayers，atteud the word of the Lord，ministered by us，we still lay our account with haring many adversaries（ 1 Cor． 16：9）．As well from the warnings of our Lorl］（see tho prophetic discourses and parables of（hrist，passim），and the

KING JANES' V'ERSION.
3 But the Lord is faithful, who shall stablish you, and keep you from evil.

4 And we have confidence in the Lord touching you, that ye both do and will do the things which we command you.

5 And the Lord direct your hearts into the love of God, and into the patient waiting for Christ.

## GREEK TEXT.

3 тıनтòs $\delta$ є́ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ò Kv́pıos, òs $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \xi \in \iota \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ каi $\phi \nu \lambda \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota$





5 ò סє̀ Kúpıos катєvөv́vaı $\dot{v} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} s$ карסías $\epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \nu$



REVISED VERSION.
3 But ${ }^{5}$ faithful is the ${ }^{n}$ Lord, who shall establish you, and keep you from ${ }^{\text {sevil. }}$
$4{ }^{\text {k }}$ But we have confidence ${ }^{\text {in }}$ you in the Lord, that, $m$ the things which we command you, ye both do and will do.

5 "But omay the Lord direct your hearts into the love of God, and into the ${ }^{\text {p }}$ patience of Christ.

* The Greek order, as springing out of the riotis immediately preceding (see v. $2, N . e$ ), is maintained by Baumg., Mart., Mey., Flatt, De W., Murd., Von der M.;-besides the Syr., Latin verss., and Greenf.
h Wells and Lachm. read $\vartheta$ धés (A.D*.F.G. Vulg.).
${ }^{1}$ See I Thess. $3: 13, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{h}$.
${ }^{1}$ If $\tau o \hat{u}$ nornooũ be the masculine, it is not to be regarded
 previous verse (Dt. Ann., Koppe, los., Flatt, allow such an interpretation), but rather as an antithetical advance on that expression,-the wicked one, the father and ruler of all the wicked. So it is understood by the Italian and French rerss. ;-Oecum., Theophylact, Calv., Musc., Bez., Pisc., Est., Cocc., llamm., Wells, Bens., Beng., Dodd., Wesi., Baumg., Moldenh., Wakef., Mack., Coke, Midd. (who, as well as Murd., would explain the Syr. in the same sense), Thom., Scott, Mey., Burt., Gösch., Pemn, Gerl., Olsh., Troll., Barn., Brown, Turnb. ;-and this view is allowed also by Corn. a Lap., Dt. and Engl. Ann., Turret., Koppe, Ros., Nowe., Clarke, Burt., Kenr., Peile. I prefer, however, to take the phrase as neuter (see Rom. 12: 9; and comp. 1 Thess. 5:22, N. z; 3 John 11; \&e.), and the antithesis as embracing all that is coil (comp. Phil. 4: IG with v. 19. Lïnem. suggests that we hase here
 of ch. 2: 17.), with possibly, indeed, a special reference to that
greatest exil. whose current had just been traced (eh. ii.). But I recommend that the other constrnction appear in the margin, thus: 'Or, as many, the wicked one.'
$s$ 'Not only do we rely on the faithfuiness of the Lord, but we have a gracious confidence also in you; nor, indeed, ear you expect the promised confirmation and security, apart from your own obedience and patient continuance in well-doing, but only in and through that.' See ch. 2: 1, N. a, \&e.
${ }^{1}$ Gr. upon (comp. 1 John $3: 3, N . j$ ). E. V., Matt. 27:43, 1 Tim. $5: 5 ; \mathbb{d c}$.;-Protestant German verss. generally (zu;the Vulg. having de robis), Fr. S. (en);-Cocc. ([confidixus] robis; omitting the de), Wakef., Conyb. ([rely] upon), Murd., Von der H. ([verlasscn uns] auf)....The above order is adopted by W., R.;-Wakef., Conyb., Murd., Kenr. ;-and many foreign verss.
${ }^{m}$ Of Euglish verss., the Greek order is retained by W., R. ;-Bens., Mack., Murd., 'lurnb.
- 'Since such doing is neither possible nor of much value, except as the fruit of divinely-wrought affections.' See v. 4, N. k, \&c.
- See 1 Thess. 3 : 11, N. x.
$p$ 'The patience characteristic of Christ, and of those in whom is the mind of Christ.' Comp. Heb. 12:1-3; Rev. $1: 9 ; 3: 10 ; 13: 10 ; 8 c .-$ E. Y. marg., and alrays elsewhere, 31 times (except Rom. $2: 7$ patient continuance, and
[' all are not sleady to the faith '], Mack., Coke, Stolz, Boothr., Clarke, Mey., Flatt, Sehott;-Schättg., Schirl. The immediate oecurrence of $\pi$ ravos, though no doubt suggested by $\pi i \sigma \pi$, is no proof, to a careful observer of Paul's habits of verbal association, that the noun here bears that sense.), or 'emphat. the true faith, trine doctrine' (Rob.); to say nothing of Starcl's suggestion (Notae Selectae in Ep. ad Ebr., Leipzig, 1710): in nullius potestate est fides.-The negative is given in conmection with $\pi \dot{a} \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \tau \omega_{2}$ by Conyb. and many foreign rerss.
\& The E. T. supplement is omitted by Wakef., Newc., Thom., Pemn, Sharpe, Murd., Kenr., Tumb. ;-almost all foreign verss See 1 Thess. $3: 12$, N. e, \&c.
ming James' version.
6 Now we command you, brethren, in the wame of our Lord Jesus Clirist, that ye withdraw yourselves from every brother that walketh disorderly, and not after the tradition which he received of us.

7 For yourselves know how ye ought to follow us: for we behaved not ourselves disorderly among you;

8 Neither did we eat any man's bread for nought; but wrought with labour and trayail night and day, that we might

GREEK TEAT.
6 Пیараүүє́ $\lambda \lambda о \mu \in \nu$ סѐ v́ $\mu \hat{\nu}$,




 $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$.
$\uparrow$ aútò̀ $\gamma$ àp oì $\delta \alpha \tau \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s$ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
 $\sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{v} \mu i \nu$,




## revised rersion.

6 But we command you, brethrens in the name of rour Lord Jesus Christ, that ye withdraw yourselves from every brother that walketh disorderly, and not saccording to the tinstruction which uhe received "from us.

7 For "ye yourselres know how ${ }^{\text {y }}$ e ought to yimitate us; for we zwere not disorderly among you,
$S$ 'Nor did we eat c bread for ${ }^{\text {d }}$ naught efrom any eone, f but ${ }^{\text {E }}$ in btoil and weariness, ${ }^{\text {i working }}$ might and day, that we might

2 Cor. 1:6 enduring. Here it follows B.):-W., T., C., R.;Vulg. (patientia), German verss. (Geduld or Slandhafigkeet): Dt. (lijdzaamheid), It. marg.; Ambrosiast., Fab., Castal., Grot., Cocc., Schmidt, Beng., Koppe, livs., Pelt, (use pat.), Aret. (tolerantiam), Est. (sustinentiam): IIamm., Wells, Dodd., Wesl., Mart., Wakef. (endurance), Nack.. Thom. (perseverance), Scott, Clarke, Boothr., Güsch. and Schott (constantiam), Sharpe, Bloomf. and Conyb. (patient enclurance), Troll., Barn., Kienr., Turnb. The lexicons generally do not allow the sense of E. V.
q 'So far is it from being true, however, that the love of God and the patience of Clurist are incompatible with the maintenance of a proper discipline, \&c.' See 1 Thess. $5: 12,14, ~$ NN. o, a, \&c.
r The $i_{4} \mu \omega \tilde{\omega} \nu$, bracketed by Lachm., is cancelled by Tisch.

- See ch. 2.: 0, N. v, \&c.
- See ch. L: I5, N. h.
- For ropéhaße, Nill approves, and Wells, Beng., Matth.,

 The authorities are much divided; the strongest for the received text being the Syr, to which Murd. errs in attributing Lachm.'s reading. I recommend this marginal note: 'Or, as most read, they received.'
- See 1 Thess. 2 : 13, N. r, \&c.
w See 1 Thess. $2:$ I, N. b.
$=$ Gr. $=\mathrm{Fr}$. S. il faut nous imiter.
y R.;-Latin, Italian, and French rerss. (except B. and L.) :Engl. Ann., Guyse, Dodd. and the later English (except Conyb., Turub.), Mich., Stolz and the later German, except Von Jer II., (nachahmen). See 3 John I1, N. e, and comp. 1 Thess. $1: 6$, N. z, \&c.
- W., R. (have been) ;-Tulg. (fuimus), Germ. (sind gewe-
|sen) ;-Mont., Schmidt, (use esse), Bens., Dodd., Baumg. and All. (gcuesen), Wakef., Boothr., Sharpe, Kenr, Peile, Turnb.
a The disorder chiefly meant is that immediately specified in the next clause.-No recent edition of the text (except Matth.) has more than a comma here; and so many verss.
${ }^{b}$ Wells, Newc., Thom., Boothr., Sharpe, Conyb., Turnb.
- The Greck construction, by means of a preposition, is retained by the older English verss. (their of having the force of from) ;-nearly all foreign verss.;-Bens., Nack., Newe., Murd., Turnb.;-Fiob. (s. r. ג́ ९ros). Some, indeed (Dt., Fr. S.;-Fab., Coce., Moldenh., Krause, Turnb.), err in making

${ }^{\text {d }}$ This, though perhaps not the most common spelling, is still in use, and is thought by Webst. to be etgmologically the more correct. See also Rich.
e See I Thess. $5: 15, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{j}$, \&c.


 an explanatory parallel-is adopted by Dt., Fr. M.,-S. ;-De W., Conyb. Kenr., Ton der II.;-1Vin. ( $p .400$ ), or is at least faroured by their general arrangement and punctuation.

 one antithetical clause; and so many (see N. i).
${ }^{5}$ Comp. E. Y., Gen. $3: 17,19 ; 2$ Cor. $6: 4,5 ; 11: 27$;W., F. ;-Vulg. and a few other Latin verss., Dt., Fr. M.,-S.;Yon der Il.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ For toil and weariness, see 1 Thess. 2:9, NN, p, q, \&c.
${ }^{1}$ See N.f. R.;-foreign rerss. generally (except the German; though Von der II. has arbcitend);-Conyb., Keur.

king James' yersion.
not be chargeable to any of you:

9 Not because we have not power, but to make ourselves an ensample unto you to follow us.

10 For even when we were with you, this we commanded you, that if any would not work, neither should he eat.
11. For we hear that there are some which walk among you disorderly, working not at all, but are busybodies.

12 Now them that are such

## GREEK TEXT.

 $\rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \alpha \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.





 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ '̇ $\sigma \theta \iota \epsilon ́ \tau \omega$.
 татои̂vтаs $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ v́ $\mu \bar{\imath} \nu$ и́то́ктшs, $\mu \eta$ -



12 тoís $\delta$ є̀ тooútous $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma-1$

REVISED VERSION.
not be kburdensome to any of you;

9 Not because we have not 'anthority, but mithat we might "give ourselves for oa pattern unto your, to pimitate us.

10 qFor also, when we were with you, this we reommanded you, that if any rone will not work, neither alet him eat.

11 For we hear vof some walking among you disorderly, wworking not at all, but xbeing busybodies.

12 Now ysuch we eommand
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ See 1 Thess. $2: 9$, N. u.
1 'To claim our support from the churches.' -T., C., G., P., R.;-Latin verss. (use potestas, jus, or the verb licerc), It. (podestà), Fr. S. (le droit);-D. and L. (as Fr. S.), Dodd., Wesl., Wakef., Mack. (right;-and so Newc., Boothr., Clarke, Bloomf., Conyb., Kenr.: Turnb.), Thom., Penn, Murd. Sce Jude 25, N. g, \&c.
${ }^{m}$ The Greek construction is retained by $W$., R.;-forcign verss. generally ;-Dodd., Wcsl., Mack., Thom., Conyb., Murd., Kenr., Turnb. See Rev. $2: 2 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Ac}$.
"The common sense of $\delta i \delta o, \mu$, from which E. V. very rarely varies, is here retained by W., R.;-Syr., Vnlg., Germ., Dt., Italian and Frenclt verss.;-Ambrosiast., Fab. (praeberemus), Mont., Cocc., Schmidt, Guyse, Baning., Kranse, Mack., Greenf., Murd., Kenr., Von der II. See Rev. $3: 21$, N. e, \&c.

- Sce I Thess. 1:7, N. c.
p See v. 7: N. J, ice.
q 'And jou cannot well doubt that such was our design. For not only by our example did we inculcate this rule, but
 robs ímäs (the two preceding rerses equally refer to that reriod), but сойто ткепүуédouєr:-For the meaning of zai :ung, see 1 Thess. $\frac{1}{:}: 10$, N. y. W., R., Kenr.. (so render the Fulg. nam ct) ;-Dt. (want ook), It. (perciochè ancora), Fr. M. (car aussi); Fab. (as I rulg.), Erasm. and most other Latin vers: (elenim;-Schmidt and Güsch. nam etiam), B. and L. (aussi), Baumg., Lüacm., Von der II., (denn auch), Wakef., Turnb., (for [and] indeed), De W. (auch [haben wir] ja), Murd. (and . . . alsi). Of these, Dt., It.;-B. and L., Wakef., De W., Lünem., Murd., Turnb., clearly indicate the abore construction of the *ai; which particle, kowever, many altogether omit in translation.
r Marginal note: 'Or, used to command.' See, ch. 2:5, N. g.
- Sce I Thess. 5: 15, N. j, \&c.
: 'A standing law of the Church, no less than of provi-dence.'-The present tense is preserved by R. ;-foreign verss. (except a few of the Latin);--Bens., Wesl., Mack., Newe., Boothr., Conyb., Kenr.
u The imperative mood is employed by T.;-Fr. M.;-Bez., Pisc., Schmidt, Wesl., Mack., Newc., Boothr., Güsch., Conyb., Kienr., Turnb.
${ }^{v}$ See 3 Jolin $\frac{1}{4}$ N. m.

 into English. "Pusy bodies who do no business" would be an imitation.' Other imitations are the following: Fr. S. (ne travaillant poinl, mais se travaillant pour rien); -Valla, Etasm. note, (nihil agentes, sed curiose agentes. Both also cite the old criticism on a certain speaker's action : non agere scd salagere.), Calv., Steph., Bez., (niluil [operis] agcntes, sed curiose [inaniter] satagentes), Est. ('quasi dicas, nihil operantes, scd circumoperantes'), Corn. a Lap., Pelt, (non [nihil] ag. sed satag.) ;-Rob. ('doing nothing, but over-doing; not busy in work, but busy-bodies'). The $\pi$ sei may be taken cither as local: 'Workers round about (as the word signifieth), that do nothing but fetch frisks and vagaries through the world' (Leigh, Critica Sacra), or as intensise.
x The participial form is retained by C., R.;-Latin verss. (except Castal., who changes the construction of the verse into three infinitives; and Gusch., who in the last clause has an adjective), Dt., Italian verss., Fr. M.,-S. ;-Bens., Wesl., Mack., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Greenf., Penn, Conyb., Turnb. Others (W., T., G.;-the German verss.;-B. and L.) turn all three participles into finite rerbs.
y E. V., 3 John 8 ; Matt. $19: 14$, and generally elscwhere ;Syr., German and Italian verss., Dt.;-Calv., Castal., Mont., Tremell., Cocc., Sclamiat, B. and L., Bens., Wesl., Wakef., Thom., Gösch., Schott, Penn, Sharpe, Conyb., Murd., Kenr. Turnb., aroid the relative construction.

King James＇version．
we command and exhort by our Lord Jesus Christ，that with quietness they work，and cat their own bread．

13 But ye，brethren，be not weary in well－doing．

14 And if any man obey not our word lyy this epistle，note that man，and have no com－ pany with him，that he may be ashamed．

15 Yet count him not as an enemy，but admonish him as a brother．

16 Now the Lord of peace himself give you peace always by all means．The Lord be with you all．

17 The salutation of Paul

GREEK TEXT．
$\gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，ка̀ тиракалоэे $\mu \in \nu$ бі̀̀


 $\sigma \iota \nu$.
$13 \dot{v \mu \epsilon i s} \delta \dot{\epsilon}, \dot{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi o \grave{\iota}, \mu \eta \grave{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa-$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \eta \dot{\sigma}\rceil \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda о \pi о \iota \frac{v}{\nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma .}$

 тойтор $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \bar{v} \sigma \theta \epsilon \cdot$ каi $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma v \nu=$




16 aúròs ס̀є ó Kúplos тīs
 тицтòs є̇v тадті̀ тро́тє．ó Ки́－ pıos $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．
$17^{\circ} O \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \dot{o}{ }^{\circ} \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\imath} \hat{\eta} \chi \in \iota \rho \grave{\imath}$

REVISED VERSION．
and exhort by our Lord Jesus Christ，that，＂working bwith quietness，they eat their own bread．

13 But ye．brethren，be not weary in＇well－doing．
$14{ }^{\text {d }}$ But if any＇one＇obey not our word by ${ }^{5}$ the epistle，note that＂men；and have no com－ pany with him，that he may be ＂shamed；
$15{ }^{\text {m }}$ And count him not as an euemy，but admonish fium as a brother．

16 But ${ }^{\circ}$ may the Lord of peace himself give you peace always min every way．The Lord be with youl all．

17 The salutation loy the hand

－The main point is，that the bread they eat should be their own，and not other people＇s．The working is in order to that．－The participle is retained by B．，R．；－Latin and Italian verss．，Dt．，Fr．M．，－S．；－Dodd．，Banmg．，Kenr．，Von der H．， Turnb．


－Schott，Lachm．，Tisch．，read Ezraci，
${ }^{\text {d }}$ See v．6，N．q，\＆c．
e See 1 Thess． 5 ：15，N．j．\＆e．
f Peile：＇will not obey（oix viac\％，is not for obeying）．＇
E＇Wbich 1 have just written，and which he will soon bear read．＇Sce I Thess． $5: \mathbf{2 7}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{k}$ ．Against the construetion
 be written by the Thessalonians to Paul（so E．V．marg．；－T．， C．，G．，B．，R．；－Germ．，Fr．M．；－Erasm．，Pagn．，Calv．，Castal，， Musc．，Vat．，Grot．，Engl．Ann．［as allowable；－and so Ros．， Clarke］，Moldenb．，Koppe，Krause，Mey．；－Win．，and others cited by Lünem．），the oljections commonly taken are de－ cisive：－1．The article mould then imply，that Paul expected such a letter；but of this we have no hint whatever．2．The natural arrangement would have been，voũ̃ov dic̀ $\tau \bar{\eta} s \dot{\varepsilon} \pi t$－ aัoえñ๊s anueเoṽaЭを．3．The Apostle nowhere else requires beforehand，that cases of individual discipline should be re－ ferred to himself．4．And lastly，in the case here supposed， he distinetly prescribes the mode of dealing with it．Still less can Beng．＇s interpretation：＇notate notá censoria，hanc eni－ stolam，ejus admonendi eansa，adhibentes，\＆c．＇，or Pelt＇s sug－
｜gestion：＇eum hac epistola freti severius tractate，＇be gram－ matically justified．
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Wakef．Many make toũtov $=$ av̉兀ór＇，him．
${ }^{i}$ Lachm．and Theile cancel the wai．
${ }^{j}$ Literally：be not mixed up with him．——Lachm．reads

${ }^{*}$ E．V．， 1 Cor． 4 ： 14 ；－IT．；－Bens．，Conyb．，（brought to shame），Pemm．
${ }^{1}$ No recent edition of the T＇ext，except Mattlı．and Schott， has a period here；and so with W．，R．；－Tulg．，Dt．；－Erasm．， Calv．，Castal．，Musc．，Vat．，Mont．，Pisc．，Cocc．，Wells，Mart．， Stolz，Van Ess，All．，Mey．，Penn，Sharpe，Kenr．，Pcile．See v． $15, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{m}$ ．
${ }^{m}$ See $\nabla .14$, N．l．＇That the moral result aimed at（i2ro zurpary $\bar{\eta}$ ）may not be hindered，this，of course，must be the spirit and style of your discipline；count him not \＆c．＇－No adversative conjunction（see 1 John $2: 20, \mathrm{~N} .0,8 \mathrm{c}$ ．）is em－ ployed by W．，T．，C．，R．；－Syr．，Latiu verss．（except Schmidt）， Dt．；－Mart．，Von der H．
${ }^{n}$ Sce r．5，N．n．\＆c．
－See 1 Thess． $3: 11$, N．x．
${ }^{n}$ Comp．ch． $2: 3$ ，N．o．＿LLachm．has the Vulg．reading， то́лф．
${ }^{9}$ Hav́zov standing logically in apposition to żuoṽ，implied in $\xi_{\mu} \bar{i}$, I select，out of E．V．＇s three methods of treating this formula（comp． 1 Cor． $16: 21$ and Col． $4: 18$ ），the one em－ ployed at Col．4：18；and here by Dodd．，Newc．，Boothr． Others（T．，C．，G．，B．；－Wells，Wakef．［except that he inserts the copula，$i s]$ ，Thom．，Penn）lave that of 1 Cor． $16: 21$.

KING JAMES' VERSION.
with mine own hand, which is the token in every enistle: so I write.

18 The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with you all. Amen.

The second epistle to the Thessalonians, was written from Athens.
greek text.


 'Iクбov̂ X X $\dot{v} \mu \omega \nu . \quad \dot{u} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \omega \nu$.
revised version.
of me Paul; r whieh is a 'sign in every epistle: so I write.

18 The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with you all. "Amen.
-The second to the Thessalonians was written from Athens.
r Not: which salutation, nor: which hand, as if $\delta$ were ' E. V., ch. 2:9; \&c.;-W., R.;-Guyse, Wakcf., Thom., attracted by $\sigma^{\text {nutrour'; }}$; but: which autographic way of giving (mark), Pyle, Penn, Barn., Kenr., Turnb.
the salutation. - R. ;-Dt., It., Fr. M.,-S. ;-Thom., Greenf., De W., Conyb., Mey. and Tisch. Lünem., Kenr., Turnb.
${ }^{v}$ See N. n at the end of the First Epistle.

# REVISED VERSION: 

IN PARAGRAPIS,

AND
according to The recommendations in the notes.

# revised version: 

iv Paragiraphs

## AND ACCORDING TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE NOTES.

## THE FIRST EPISTLE OF PAUL T0 THE THESSALONIANS.

I. Paul, and Silvanus, and Timothy, unto the church of the Thessalonians in God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ: Grace unto you, and peace, from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.
2 We give thanks to God always for you all, 3 making mention of yon in our prayers; remembering without ceasing your work of faith, and toil of love, and patience of hope of our Lord Jesus Christ, before our God and Father ;
4 knowing, brethren beloved by God, your elec-
5 tion ; because our gospel came not unto you in word only, but also in power, and in the IIoly Spirit, and in much assurance; as ye know what manner of men we were found among 6 you for your sake; and ye became imitators of us and of the Lord, laving accepted the word in much affiction, with joy of the Inoly Spirit;
7 so that ye became patterns to all that believe
8 in Macedonia and Achaia. For from you hath been sounded forth the word of the Lord, not only in Macedonia and Achaia, but also in every place yeur faith toward God hath gone forth, so that we have no need to speak anything.
9 For they themselves declare concerning us what sort of entrance we had unto you, and how ye tumed to God from the idols, to serve
10 the living and true God, and to wait for his Son from the heavens, Jesus, who delivereth us from the coming wrath.
II. For ye yourselves know, brethren, our entrance unto you, that it was not vain ; but having suffered before and been shamefully treated, as ye know, in Philippi, we were bold in our God to speak unto you the gospel of God in much contention. For our exhortation is not of delusion, nor of uncleanness, nor in guile; but as we lave been approved by God to be intrusted with the gospel, so we speak; not as pleasing men, but God, who proveth our hearts. For neither at any time used we worts of flattery, as je know; nor a cloak of covetousness, Cod is witness; nor sought we of men glory, neither from you nor from others, when we might have been burdensome, as Christ's apostles; but we were found gentle in the midst of you, as a nurse might cherish her own children. "Thus, yearning after you, we were willing to impart unto you not only the gospel of God, but also our own bsouls, because ye had become dear unto us. For ye remember, brethren, our toil and weariness; for working night and day, that we might not be burlensome to any of you, we preached unto you the gospel of Ciod. Se are witnesses, and 10 God, how holily, and justly, and nublamably,

[^8]we bebaved ourselves for you who believed; 11 even as ye know how, as a father his own children, we exhorted you, each one of you, 12 and encouraged, and adjured, that ye should walk in a manner worthy of God, who calleth 13 you into his own kingdom and glory. Therefore we, also, give thanks to God without ceasing, because, when ye received the word of God heard from us, ye accepted, not men's word, but, as it is in truth, God's word, which 14 also worketh in you that believe. For ye, brethren, became imitators of the churches of God which are in Judea in Christ Jesus; for ye also suffered the same things from your own 15 countrymen, even as they from the Jews; who also killed the Lord Jesus and dtheir own prophets, and persecuted us, and they please not
16 God, and are contrary to all men, hindering us to speak to the Gentiles, that they may be saved, to fill up their sins always: but the wrath is come upon them to make an end.
17 But we, brethren, having been ebereaved of you for ${ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{a}$ short time, in presence, not in heart, the more abundantly endeavoured to see your
18 face, with great desire. Wherefore we wished to come unto you, even I Pail, both once and 19 again; and Satan thwarted us. For what is otr hope, or joy, or crown of glorying? Or are not ye also, before our Lord Jesus Christ
20 at his coming? For ye are our glory and joy. III. Wherefore, when we conld no longer endure, we thought good to be left in Athens
2 alone, and sent Timothy, our brother, and minister of God, and our fellow-labourer in the gospel of Christ, to establish you, and to exhort
3 you concerning your faith, that no one should be moved in these afflictions; for ye yourselves
4 know that unto this we are appointed. For, indeed, wheu we were with you, we ${ }^{5}$ foretold you that we are to be afflicted; as also it came
5 to pass, and ye know. Therefore, when I also could no longer endure, I sent to know your faith, lest perhaps the tempter had tempted

[^9]you, and our toil should prove in vain. But just now, Timothy having come to us from you, and brought us good tidings of your faith and love, and that ye have good remembrance of us always, earnestly desiring to see us, even as we also to see you; therefore we were comforted, brethren, on your account, in all our affliction and distress, by your faith: for now we live, if ye stand fast in the Lord. For what thanks can we reuder to God concerning you, for all the joy wherewith we rejoice for your sake before our God; night and day pray- 10 ing "very exceedingly that we may see your face, and make up the deficiencies of your faith. But may our God and Father, and our 11 Lord Jesus Christ, himself direct our way unto you: and you, may the Lord make to increase 12 and abound in love toward one another, and toward all, even as we also toward you; that 13 he may establish your hearts unblamable in holiness before our God and Father, at the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ with all his holy ones.
IV. Finally therefore, brethren, we beseech you, and exhort in the Lord Jesus, that, according as ye received from us how ye ought to walk and please God, ye would abound yet more. For ye know what commands we gave you by the Lord Jesus. For this is God's will, your sanctification; that ye abstain from fornication ; that every one of you know how to possess himself of his own vessel in sanctification and honour, not in passion of lust, even as the Gentiles, who know not God ; that no one transgress and defraud 'in the matter his brother: because the Lord is an avenger for all these things, as we also foretold you and fully testified. For God did not call us for uncleanness, but funto sanctification. Therefore he that rejecteth, rejecteth not man, but God, who also gave his Holy Spirit unto kus.

But concerning brotherly love ye have no

[^10]need that one write unto you: for ye yourselves are taught of God to love one another; 10 for ye also do it toward all the brethren that are in the whole of Macedonia: but we exhort 11 you, brethren, to abound yet more, and to study to be quiet, and to do your own business, and to work with your own hands, as 12 we commanded you; that ye may walk becomingly toward those without, and may have need of mothing.
13 But we would not that ye should be ignorant, brethren, concerning those who are asleep, that ye may not sorrow, even as the 14 others who have no hope. For if we believe that Jesus died and arose, so also, those who fell asleep, will God through Jesus bring with 15 him . For this we say unto you mby the word of the Lord, that we who are living, who are left over unto the coming of the Lord, shall in 16 no wise precede those who fell asleep. For the Lord himself with a shout, with voice of archangel, and with trumpet of God, shall descend from heaven, and the dead in Christ 17 shall arise first; then we who are living, who are left over, shall together with them be caught away in clonds, nto meet the Lord, into the air; and so shall we ever be with the 18 Lord. Wherefore comfort one another with these words.
V. But concerning the times and the seasons, brethren, ye have no need that one

- 2 write unto yeu: for ye yourselves know perfectly, that the day of the Lord so cometh as
3 a thief in the night. PFor when they are saying: Peace and safety! then sudden destruction ${ }^{\text {a cometh }}$ upon them, even as travail upon her that is with child, and they shail in no
4 wise escape. But ye, brethren, are not in darkness, that the day should overtake you as
5 a thief: for all ye are sons of light, and sons

[^11]of day. We are not of night, nor of darkness: so then, let us not sleep even as the others; but let us watch and be sober. For they that sleep, sleep by night; and they that are drunken, are drunk by night. But we being of day, let us be sober, haviog put on the breastplate of faith and love, and, for helmet, the hope of salvation. For God did not appoint us to wrath, but to the obtaining of salvation through our Lord Jesus Christ, who died for us, that, whether we are watch- 10 ing or slecping, we should live together with him. Wherefore rcomfort one another, and 11 edify one the other, as also ye do.

But we beseech you, brethren, to know 12 those who toil among you, and preside over you in the Lord, and admonish you; and to 13 esteem them 'very exceedingly in love for their work's sake. Be at peace among yourselves. But we exhort you, brethren, admonish 14 the disorderly, encourage the faint-hearted, support the weak, be long-suffering toward all. See that none render evil for evil unto any one; but always pursue that which is good, both toward one another, and toward all. Rejoice always. Pray without ceas- 16,17 ing. In every thing give thanks: for this is $1 S$ God's will in Christ Jesus concerning yoti. Quench not the Spirit. Despise not pro- 19, 20 phesyings. tProve all things; hold fast that 21 which is good. Abstain from every form of 22 evil. But may the God of peace himself 23 sanctify you wholly; and may your whole spirit and soul and body be kept blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ. Faithful is he that calleth you; who also will 24 perform.

Brethren, pray for us. Salute all the 25,26 brethren with a holy kiss. I adjure you by 27 the Lord, that the epistle be read unto all the holy brethren. The grace of our Lord Jesus 28 Christ be with you. "Amen.

[^12]
# 'IHE SECOND EPISTLE 

## OF <br> PAUL TO THE THESSALONIANS.

I. Paul, and Silvanus, and Timothy, unto the church of the Thessalonians in God our 2 Father and the Lord Jesus Christ: Grace unto you, and peace, from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.
3 We are bound to give thanks to God always for you, brethren, as it is meet, because your faith groweth exceedingly, and the love of each one of you all toward one another
4 aboundeth; so that we ourselves glory in you in the churches of God, for your patience and faith in all your persecutions and the afflictions
5 that ye endure:-a token of the righteous judgment of God, that ye should be accounted worthy of the kingdom of God, for which also
6 ye suffer: if indeed it is a righteous thing with God to recompense affliction to those who
7 afflict you; and to you, who are aftlicted, rest with us, at the revelation of the Lord Jesus from heaven, with the angels of his power,
8 in vflaming fire, rendering vengeance to those who know not God, and to those who obey not the gospel of of our Lord Jesus Christ:
9 who shall whe punished with everlasting destruction from the face of the Lord, and
10 from the glory of his strength; when he shall come to be glorified in his saints, and admired in all those who belicved (because our testimony to you was believed), in that
11 day. To which end also we pray always for you, that our God may count you worthy of

[^13]the calling, and fulfil every desire of goodness, and work of faith, with power; that 12 the name of our Lord Jesus Christ may be glorified in you, and ye in him, according to the grace of ${ }^{\text {xour God, and the Lord Jesus }}$ Christ.
II. But we beseech you, brethren, concerning the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, and our gathering together unto him, that ye be not quickly shaken in your mind, nor alarmed, neither by spirit, nor by word nor by letter as ${ }^{2}$ from us, as that the day of athe Lord is present. Let no one deceive you in any way: for that shall not be, unless there come the apostasy first, and there be revealed the man of sin, the son of perdition, who opposeth and uplifteth himself against every one called God or an object of worship; so that he, in the temple of God, bas God sitteth, showing himself forth that he is God. Remember ye not that, when I was yet with you, I ctold you these things? And now ye know what withholdeth, that he may be revealed in his own time. For the mystery is already working of lawlessness, until only he, who withholdeth for the present, be taken out of the way; and then shall be revealed the lawless one, whom the dord shall consume with the breath of his mouth, and

[^14]shall destroy with the appearing of his com- energy of Satan, with all power and signs and 10 wonders of falsehood, and with all deceitfulness of 'unrighteousness ${ }^{5}$ in those who are perishing; 'hbecause they accepted not the love of the 11 truth, that they might be saved; and therefore shall God send them an energy of delusion, 12 that they may believe 'the lalsehood; that all may be judged, who believed not the truth, but had pleasure iu unrighteousness.
13 But we are bound to give thanks to Cod always for you, brethren beloved by the Lord, because God chose you from the begiming to salvation ${ }^{j}$ through sanctification of the Spirit 14 and faith in the truth; whereunto he called you by our gospel, to the abtaining of the glory
15 of our Lord Jesus Christ. So then, brethren, stand fast, and hold the instructions which ye have been taught, whether ky our word or 16 epistle. But may our Lord Jesus Christ, and our God and Father, who loved ns, and gave us everlasting consolation and good liope through 17 grace, himself comfort your hearts, and establish "you in every good "word and work.
III. Finally, bretbren, pray for us, that the word of the Lord may rum and be glorified, 2 as it is also with you; and that we may be delivered from perverse and wicked men: for 3 onot all hare faith. But faithful is the Lord, who shall establish you, and keep you from

[^15]revil. But we have confidence in you in the 4 Lord, that, the things which we command you, ye both do and will do. But may the Lord direct your hearts into the love of God, and into the patience of Christ.

But we command you, brethren, in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye withdraw yourselves from every brother that walketh disorderly, and not according to the instruction which rhe received from us. For ye yourselves know how ye ought to imitate us; for we were not disorderly among you, nor did we eat bread for naught from any one, but in toil and weariness, working night and day that we might not be burdensome to any of you; not because we have not authority, but that we might give ourselves for a pattern unto you, to imitate us. For also, when we were with you, this we 10 commanded you, that if any one will not work, neither let him eat. For we hear of 11 some walking among you disorderly, working not at all, but being busy-bodies. Now such 12 we command and exhort by our Lord Jesus Christ, that, working with quietness, they eat their own bread. But ye, brethren, be not 13 weary in well-doing. But if any one obey not 14 our word by the epistle, note that man; and have no company with him, that he may be shamed; and count him not as an enemy, but 15 admonish him as a brother. But may the Lord 16 of peace himsell give you peace always in every way. The Lord be with you all.

The salutation by the hand of me, Paul; 17 which is a sign in every epistle: so I write. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with 18 you all. Amen.

[^16]

# EPISTLE TOTHEHEBREWS. 

©ramslated from the (arcel,

ON THE BASIS OF THE COMMON ENGLISH VERSION.

WITH NOTES.

"Sure I am, that there cometh more knowledge and wnderstandinge of the Scripture by theyr sondrie Translacyons, then by all the Glosea of oure sophistical Doctores. For that one interpreteth somthynge obscurely in one place, the aame translateth another (or els him elfe) more manifestly by a more playne rocable of the same meanyng in another place."

## Entered, according to Act of Congress. in the year 1857, by

THE AMERICAN BIBLE UNION,
In the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the Southern District of New York.

[^17]
## INTRODUCTION.

## "OESERAL RULES FOR THE DIRECTION OE TRANSLATORS AND REVISERS EMPLOYED BY THE AMERICAN BIBLE UNION.


#### Abstract

"1. The exact meaning of the inspired text, as that text expressed it to those who understood the original Seriptures at the time they were first written, must be translated by corresponding mords and phrases, so far as they can be found, in the vernacular tongue of those for whom the version is designed, with the least possible obscurity or indefiniteness. " 2 . Wherever there is a version in common use, it shall be made the basis of revision, and all unnecessary interference with the established phraseology shall be asoided; and only such alterations shall be made as the exact meaning of the inspired text aud the existing state of the language may require. "3. Translations or revisions of the New Testament shall be made from the received Greek text, critically edited, with known errors corrected."


## "SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS TO THE EEVISERS OF THE ENGLISH NEW TESTAMENT.

"]. The common English version must be the basis of the revision: the Greek Text, Bagster \& Sons' octavo edition of 1851.
"2. Whenever an alteration from that version is made on any authority additional to that of the reviser, such authority must be cited in the manuscript, either on the same page or in an appendix.
': 3. Fvery Greek word or phrase, in the translation of which the phraseology of the common version is clanged, must be carefully examined in every other place in which it occurs in the New Testament, and the fiews of the reviser be given as to its proper translation in each place."

In the application of the foregoing rules to a revision of the Epistle to the Hebrews, I have kept in view the principles ammounced in the Introduction to my revision of Ephesians, to which I would refer the reader. Now, as formerly, it has been an object of anxiety to approach as near the simplicity of the Greek text, as the idiom of our language would allow. There are passages in this Epistle, whose meaning has been the subject of much discussion among interpreters. In many of the renderings it is obvious, that a difference in theological opinions has had much influence on the results at which those interpreters arrived. It is no easy matter to abandon long-cherished predilections and prejudices, and seek the truth simply, for its own worth. Yet the interests of truth often demand that opinions, which have been imperceptibly accumulating in the mind until they form a part of its habitual trains of thought, should be abandoned, when they are not fairly and distinctly based on the testimony of God. During the progress of the work, I have labored to rise above all the prepossessions, which my creed might produce, and to have a conscience void of offense, so that in the end, I might have no cause to regret that I had been biased by considerations, which could not be safely met, when earthly things had passed away. How far I have been faithful to my trust, how successfully I may have preserved "the mind of the Spirit", as made known in the "lively oracles", must be left to the judgment of the candid reader. Preferring the simplicity and force of the style of the Common Version to any other model, which has met my eyc, I have selected words already used in that version, where such ones could be found to meet the changes, that were deemed necessary. Where an instance occurred, in which I could not find a proper equivalent for a Greek
word in the terms of that version, I have chosen one of Saxon origin in preference to one derived from the Latin or French. In reference to the phraseology, it has been my endeavor while aiming at exactness to use language that would be free from expressions which, though literal, might offend the ear and violate the usage of. our tongue. As to the degree to which literality is to be carried, the tastes and judgments of translators vary. One consideration has had much weight, and it will, I humbly conceive, have a bearing on the labor of the Final Revisers. It is this: the great mass of those, who may read the Revised English Scriptures, will not be scholars. Such readers, familiar with the usus loquendi of their own language alone, will be prejudiced against any translation, no matter how faithful, if its phraseology is marked by idioms, inversions, and inharmonious sounds, to which they are strangers. If their taste be allied to what a scholar would deem prejudice, it should be recollected, that it is a prejudice, which will be found unconquerable. I deem it a matter of no little importance, that the style of the Revision should appear easy and natural to "the common people". I am far from feeling sure, that I have not erred on this point. In aiming at a close translation, my style may in some passages appear stiff and inharmonious. Where two modes of rendering a passage seemed nearly of equal merit, one has been incorporated, and the other suggested as an alternative.

The notes present the reasons for the changes, that have been made, with as much conciseness as was consistent with a clear exhibition of the authorities and arguments, which led to the alterations.

Where the supplementary words of the Common Version are not clearly implied in the Text, they have been omitted. In a few instances, where a supplement is implied, though that version furnishes none, it has been placed in the Revised Text. It will be seen that all the supplements are distinguished, in conformity with former usage, by Italic type. There are advantages in this which far outweigh the inconveniences resulting from the use of two fonts of type on the same page.

The crrata are very few. I should do injustice to my own feelings, did I not here express my gratitude to the printers for the care, patience, and skill with which their task has been performed.

The Revision is submitted to the public, in the hope that it may aid in the great enterprise of presenting to the world the Scriptures faithfully translated into one of its widely-spoken languages. To the great Author of all truth, who has mercifully given me health and endurance to bring the work to a close, be all the praise which is due for his goodness.

[^18]
# aUthorities Notlced in THIS TRaNSLaTION. 

## VERSIONS OTHER THAN ENGLISH

Vulgate, edition of Van Ess, 1824. Also that of Fleck, Leipsic, 1840 , with var. readings.
Eeza's N. Test., Geneva, 1850, and Lond., 1814.
Montanus' Lat. V. of N. Test., N. Y., 1831, and Philad., 1805.

Erasmus' N゙. Test., Frankfort, 1653.
Castalio's N. Test., London, 1776.
Peshito Syriac. Edited by Buchanan and Watts, Lond., 1816.
Luther's German N. Test., Frankfort, 1838.
Belgic (Low Dutch), London, 1817.
French Genevan. Martin's N. Test., N. Y., 1839, cited as "G. French".

French N. Test.: edited by Gaussen and D'Aubigné, Lausanne, 1843, cited as "S. French" (Swiss French).
De Wette's Bible, cited as "De Wette", Heidelberg, 1839. IIeb. N. Test. of British and For. Bib. Soc., Bagster, 1836.
De Valcra's Spanish, cited as "Span." Revised 1850. N. Y.
Diodati, rerised by Achilli, published by Am. Bib. Union, 1854 , cited as "Ital."

## EARLY ENGLISH VERSIONS.

Wielif's, Tyudale's, Cranmer's, Genevan, and Rhemish, as publishod in the English Hexapla. L. Tomson's, London, 1579.

## RECENT ENGLISH VERSIONS.

Wakefield's N. Test., Cambridge, 1820.
Dickinбon's N. Test, Boston, 1833.
A. Campbell's N. Test., Bethany, Va., 1832.

Kendrick's N. Test. Prof. A. C. Kendrick, Philadelphia.

Wesley's N. 'Test., N. I.., 1835.
Sharpe's N. 'Test., Londou, 1844.
VERSIONS OF HEBREWS.
Stuart's, with commentary.
Henry Craik's.
Sampson's.
Dr. Gray's (in MS.), made for A. B. U.
"U." (a MS.), made for A. B. U.. cited as "U."
COMMENTARIES.
Bengel's Gnomon, London, 1850.
Stuart's (with his Transl.).
Professor 'Turner's.
A. Barnes'.

Calrin's Commentary on Ilebrews.
The Citation "Text. Recept." refers to that of Bagster.

## LEXICONS AND DICTIONARIES.

Liddell and Scott's Greek Lex., N. Y., 1849, cited as "Liddell".
Robinson's Lex. N. Test., cited as "Rob".
Bretschneider's Lexicon-Manuale, Greek N. Test., Leipsic, 1829, cited as "Bretsch."
Scapula*s Gr. Lex., Bâsle, 1520.
Heidericus' Gr. Lex., Leipsic, 1767.
J. H. Bass' Lcx. N. Test., London, 1848.

Greenfield's Lex. Ň. Test., London, 1823.
Gesenius' Ileb. Lexicon.
Leverett's Latin Dictionary, Boston, 1839.
Johnson's English Dictionary.
Webster's Dict., 4to.

## GRAMMARS \&c.

Buttmann's Gr. Gram., by Prof. Robinson, N. Y., 1851.
Anthon's Gr. Gram., N. Y., 1844.
Kühner's, N. Y., 1853.
Stuart's Gr. N. T., cited as "Stuart", Andover, 1834.
Winer's Gr. N. Test., translated by Stuart and Robinson, 1825. Trollope's, London, 1842.
Stuart's Heb. Gram.
Bullion's Eng. Gram., N. Y., 1849.
"Hoogeven's Greek Particles", abridged by Seager, Londou, 1829, cited as " Hoogeren".

## CRITICAL EDITIONS OF THE GREEK TESTAMENT.

Tittmann's, edited by Prof. Robinson. N. Y., 1842.
Knapp, fourth edition, Leipsic, 1829.
Scholz, as published in the "English Hexapla" by Bagster.
Lachmann's readings, as published in the margin of Bagster's 8vo. Gr. Test., adopted by the A. B. U. as the basis of its version. The readings of Lachmann in the margin of Robinson's Tittmann have been examined.

Tischendorf's readings, as noticed in the margins of Bagster's 8 ro. Gr. Test.
Griesbach's N. Test.
$\qquad$


[^0]:    men's but God's; or also this, that, at the time and in the manner of their acceptance, they bad manifested their appreciation of the difference; in either case the supplements of E. V., it-as, are unuecessary, and in the former case especially improper; the writer's meaning being already indicated by the use of $\delta_{\dot{x} \chi}^{x} \varepsilon o \vartheta a \iota$ (see N. s). It may have been some sense of this, that in the original edition of E. V. exempted these words from being printed as supplements.-Tr. S.;-Fab., Erasm., Camerar., Castal., Musc., Vat., Cocc., Beng., Mack., Greenf., Gösch., Schott, Lünem. ('The addtion of a $\omega_{s}$ [ov̉z
    
    [see Kühner II. p. 226], was so much the more inadmissible, because the Apostle wished to express, not merely what the preached word was in the view of the Thessalonians, but at the same time what it was in fact. Hence also the emphatic
    
    u For the above form, men's word . . . God's word, or for the omission of the definite article before the double hóyov, see all foreign verss. (except B. and L., Grcenf., Fr. S.) :Wakef., Thom., Peile (in the first instance. The second גórov, he nuderstands of the Personal Word.).

[^1]:    * E. V., 2 Cor. $5:-$;-Wesl., Wakef., Newc., (longing), Mack. (ardentlyd.), Thom., Peile (cagerly d.). See 1 Pet. 2:2, N. e. ——For even as, see ch. 2: II, N. a.

    1 The words Sii $\tau$. $\pi$ reoz 2 ?. are kept together in R. ;-Dodd., Wakef., Murd., Turub.;-besides many foreign verss.
    ${ }^{m}$ Fr.,S. (à votre sujet);-Grot., Coce., Schott, (vestri or - a caussa), Guyse, Peile, (as above), Stola, Van Ess, De W., Koch, (eurethalben or -uegen), Conyb. (on your behalf). Others generally are divided letween in (Syr., Vulg., Wakef., \&c.) and de, concerning, \&c., (Calv., Castal., Dodd., Mack., Newc., Boothr., Gösch., Lünem., \&c.).
    ${ }^{0}$ Comp. ch. J : 2, N. g.
    
    
    p The word again (T., C., G., B., recompense to God again), while it is scarcely an equivalent for the $\dot{\alpha} z^{\prime} t i$ of the rerb (in exchange, in relurn for), is here, probably on account of the resulting ambiguity, dropped by R.;-Wells, Dodd., Wesl., Wakef., Thom., Scholef., Conyb., Murd., Kenr. Several (Mack., Newc., Penn, Sharpe) translate $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi o \delta$. to return.-For concerning, see ch. 1:9, N. o, \&c. Esi $=$ on the ground of.
    ${ }^{9}$ E. V., ch. $5: 16$, and generally ;-R. ;-Bens., Dodd., Wesl., Mack., Newc., Thom., Boothr., Kenr.
    r E. V., ch. I : 5 ;-Wesl.
    B Gr. = more than superabundantly. Except that some editions of the Sept. have irteqzateptaoov at Dan. 3:22 for , Syr.), the phrase is peculiar to our Apostle. It occurs again in ch. 5:13 (E. V. very highly) and Eph. 3:20 (E. V. exceed-
    ing abundantly). From the various methods that have been employed in the present instance to represent this emphasis, may be selected the following: Dt. (zeer overrloedig), It. (intenti̊simamente), Tr. S. (avec une extrême instance);-Fab. (superabundanter), Pagn., Pisc., Zanch., (quam vehementissime), Cocc. (magis quanz abundanter), Schmidt (plus quam amplius), Berlenburger Bibel, De W., Lünem., Von der II.. (über die Maassen), Beng. (auf das allerhöchste), Dodd. (superabundantly), Wakef., Mack., (most exccedingly), Newc. (as
     earncsily), Stier (gar sehr), Kioch (mchr als überflüssig, über die Maassen sehr, überschwenglich mehr). And see the Lexicons.
    ' A present tense, subjunctive or infinitive, is employed by W., B., R. ;-Dodd. and the later English verss. (except Newe. and Boothr.) ;-and nearly all foreign verss.
    a Both senses of xataptib $\omega$, to repair, restore-to complete, perfect, are found in the N. T., aud both are, perhaps, best provided for by the above phrase.-B. (repair) ;-Germ. (erstalten. Most other German rerss. have ergänzen or ersetzen), Fr. M. (suppléer) ;-Ambrosiast., Erasm., Calr., Castal., Musc., Vat., Gösch., Wahl, (use supplere), Bez. (sarciamus), Mart. (supplire), Wakef., Mack. and Kenr. (supply);-Green (to supply, make good), Rob. (to fill out, to supply).-Wesl. and Kenr. omit the auxiliary verb. Very many retain the construction by infinitive moods: to see your face, $\mathbb{E} \mathrm{c}$.

    * B. (the wanting's) ;-Mont. (defectiones), Cocc., Schmidt, Beng., (defeetus), Bens., Dodd., Baumg. (die Mängel;-and so Flatt: De W., Lünem.), Wakef., Mack., Thom. (the remainders) Kenr.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {q }}$ For thost who，see rh． $4: 13$ ，NN．q，r，\＆e．

[^3]:    $m$ The word ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, bracketed by Knapp, is cancelled by Griesb., Hey., Scholz, Schott, Bloomf., Lachm., Tisch. Comp. Rev. $22: 21$, N. r, \&c. I recommend the following marginal note: 'Many omit the word Amen.'
    r The subscriptions to the two Epistles to the Thessalonians are bracketed by Knapp and Theile; given in small type by Hahn; cancelled by Match., Griesb., My., Scholz, Schott, Lachm., 'Tisch. Bloomf. retains the second. Not only, however, have these additions to the Apostolical Epistles no canonical authority in any case; but in the present case, as is very generally agreed, they are historically inaccurate; it being all but certain, that both Epistles were written from

[^4]:    - R. ;-Fr. M.,-S. ;-Van Ess, Pean, Sharpe, Kenr., 'Yurnb. (too).

[^5]:    - Wakef., Thom., Penn, Fr., S., Conyb., Turnb., retain the ' construction by an infinitive: so as to place, seat, himself. But aủtór is not the olject (as if for reitóv [Grot., Koppe, Peit]), but the emphatic suhject, of xayioat: 'IIc, who himself has lost all reverence for the Divine, come in what form it may' (Lünem.).
    d The Greek order strikingly represents, first, Antichrist's intrusion into ( $\varepsilon i_{s}$ ) the peculiar dwelling-place (prtós; on which word, sce Trench, Synonyms of the N. T.. §3.) of God; then, his usurping session there; and, lastly, his blasphemous os-
     fullowed closely by Mack.; less so, by [Bens.] Peile: insomueh that he in the rery Temple of God takes his seat as God, \&c.; not to mention foreign verss.
    ${ }^{e}$ The words, $\omega_{s}$ © $\begin{gathered}\sigma^{2}, \text {, are retained by Bengr, Matth., }\end{gathered}$ Koppe, Knapp, Schott ; bracketed by lahn and Bloomf.; condemned by Mill ; cancelled by Wells, Griesb. and the other recent editors, on the authority of A.B.D.* very many cursive MSS. Vulg. Aeth. \&c. Origen, \&c, I recommend the following, as a marginal note: 'Many omit the words, as God.'
    ${ }^{\text {f E. V., }} 1$ Cor. 4: 9 (set forth) ;-Augnst., Ambrosiast., Erasm., Musc., Vat., Tremell., (use ostentare;-for the Vulg. ostendens), II. More (makes a show), Mack. (openly showing), Lünem. (sich zur Schau stellt), Murd. (displaycth), Peile (exhibiting), Turnb. (showing himself off);-Rob. (lo show forth, to set forih, to declare).
    ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Marginal note: 'Or, used to tell' —e'̉eyor', not once, but often; the trimmph of evil, and the consequent fiery trial of truth and righteousness, in the times preceding the Lord's advent, having been fimiliar topics of apostulic address. It is true, howerer, that the imperfect does not necessarily in such a case carry this implication, but may be simply equivalent to our own colloquial: $I$ was then saying. Comp. ch. 3:10, N. q.-Tulg. (dicebam), ltalian verss. (dicera), French verss. (disois);-Ambrosiast., Fib., Calv., Mont., (as Fulg.;-most of the other verss. erroneously substituting dixcrim), Moldenh. and later German verss. (sagte), Conyb. (often told).

[^6]:    r Comp. E. V. at the original Is. 11: 4 , and see Rev. 13: 15, N. y. Fr. M. marg. (soufle) ;-1Iamm., Whitb. ( or br.' ;-and so Scott and Mill), Wells, B. and L. (as Fr. M. marg.), Bens., Dodd., Pyle, Moldenh. (Ithem), Mart. (fato), Mieh., Stolz, All., Kistemaker, Mey., Gerl., De W., Limem., Von der II., (Hauch), Wakef. and later Engl. verss., Schott (halitu);-the lexicons generally.

    - ILamm. and Wells: his own. But see 1 Thess. 2:7, N. i, \&c. Here the emphasis is the more nosuitable, as no such riew had yet arisen in the Church, as that Antichrist should perish, except in Christ's own presence, and by Ilis avenging hand.

[^7]:    Est., Bens. (false miracles, signs, \&e. ;-and nearly so Newc. [but in the margin, as above], Boothr., Turnb.), Dodd. (as T.), Beng., Moldenh., Mich., Krause, Wakef. (imposture of mir., and of s., \&e.), Mack. (power and s., and mir. of f.), Thom. (the P., and s., and wonders of f.), Stolz, Van Ess, Clarke (as allowable). Mey., Flatt, Peit, Schott, Sharpe (as aboce), Olsh., De W., Bloomf., Conyb. (the might and s. and w. of $f$. ), Linnem. Others restrict it to ariuziors wai téacau. So the Vulg. virtute [some cditions and Am. here insert a comma] et signis et prodigiis mendacibus is interpreted by $\mathbf{R}$. ( $p$., and lying s. and w.) and Kenr. ( 1 ., and false s., and prodigies); and so Calv., Muse., Vat., Corn. a Lap., Baumy., Peile (might, eren in falsc allestations and w.), Yon der 1I.
    y Lünem.: 'The genitive [ $\psi$ हvidovs] can signify: . . . of
    which the nature is falsehood; or: which procecd from false-
    hood; or: which lead to falsehood, of which the object is falschood. The last view is to be preferred.' It is the view also of Ambrosiast., Grot., De W., Win. ; and is allowed, or conı binel with the first vief, by Chrysost. and most others
     of v .10 , as a proof that the idea of purpose was the prominent one in the present case also).-For the translation of $\psi$ vevovs by a noun in the genitire, see B. (of lying);-Dt., It.. Fr. M., S.;-Tertull., August., Ambrosiast., Talb., Mont., Engl. Ann. ('Gr. of a lie, or, falsehood'), Cocc., Schmidt, Tillotson (? lies), Mack. (as above;-and so Newc. marg., Thom., Sharpe Conyb.), Scott (of a lie), Greenf., Gösch., De W. See alse for folschood, マ. 11, N. m.

[^8]:    a Or, as very many: As a nurse might cherish her own children, so s.c.

    - Or, lives. c Tery many omit the word for.

[^9]:    - Or, as many read, the prophets.
    e Gr. orphaned.
    ' Gr. an hour's time. ${ }^{\text {g }}$ Or, used to foretell.

[^10]:    ${ }^{-}$Gr. more than superabundantly.
    ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Many understand, in business.
    ; Or, in. $\quad$ Or, as very many read, you.

[^11]:    1 Or, no one.
    ${ }^{n}$ Gr. to the meeting of.
    ${ }^{p}$ Many omit for.
    ${ }^{m}$ Or, in a, or the, word.

    - Gr. it be written.

    Q Or, impends over.

[^12]:    * Or, as many, exhort. : Gr. more than superabundantly
    ${ }^{t}$ Many read, But prove. "Many omit the word Amen.

[^13]:    - Gr. fire of flame.
    w Gr. suffer punishment, everlasting destruction, from.

[^14]:    x Or, our God and Lord. y Gr. from.
    z Gr. by. a Or, as some read, of Christ.
    b Many omit the words, as God.

    - Or, used to tell. dSome read, Lord Jesus.

[^15]:    - Or, presence.
    \& Or, as many, unrighteousness, among.
    ${ }^{8}$ Or, as some read, for. ${ }^{\text {b Gr. for that. }}$
    ${ }^{1}$ Or, falsehood. ${ }^{3}$ Gr. in.
    ${ }^{k}$ Gr. by word or by epistle of us.
    ${ }^{1}$ Gr. in. ${ }^{m}$ Many omit you.
    ${ }^{n}$ Or. as many read, work and word.
    - Gr. not of all [is] faith [the attainment].

[^16]:    p Gr. the evil: or, as many, the wicked one. q Gr. upon.
    ${ }^{r}$ Or, as most read, they rcceived. : Or, used to command. - Gr. be not mixed up with him.

[^17]:    Miller \& IIolman; Printers and Stereotypers, New York.

[^18]:    General Note. The reasons for such changes as "which" for "that ", "whoever" for "whosoever ", "to" for "unto", "those who" for "them that", etc., aro too obyious to require attention in notes.

